CLINICAL AUDIT

To Assess the Accuracy of Radiology Procedure Codes for Standardization and Structure of Radiology Department: A Clinical Audit

UMMARA SIDDIQUE UMER¹, MUHAMMAD ASIF², MUHAMMAD SALMAN RAFIQUE³, ABDULLAH SAFI⁴, MAHWISH JABEEN⁵, SANA KUNDI⁶

¹Associate Professor Radiology, Rehman Medical Institute, Peshawar

²Radiology Trainee, Rehman Medical Institute, Peshawar

³Consultant Radiologist, Pakistan Kidney and Liver Institute and Research Center, Lahore

⁴Assistant Professor Radiology, RMI, Peshawar

⁵Assistant Professor Radiology, RMI, Peshawar

⁶Consultant Radiologist, Pakistan Kidney and Liver Institute and Research Center, Lahore

Correspondence to Dr Ummara Siddique Umer

ABSTRACT

Aim: To see accuracy of radiology procedure codes for standardization and structure of radiology department

Methods: 100 cases for each procedure i.e. radiology, fluoroscopy, MRI and CT scan were selected. Request forms were reviewed and the assigned codes were compared with the films of radiology on a system called picture archiving and communication system (PACS). This system determines the appropriate coding.

Results: In this study, accurate coding in MRI, fluoroscopy, CT scan and X rays was 99%, 95%, 90% and 85% respectively according to local guidelines.

Conclusion: This study concludes that MRI has maximum accuracy i.e. 99% and x rays have minimum i.e. 85%. **Keywords:** Codes, CT, MRI

INTRODUCTION

Codes i.e. compact labels, are used by computers for identifying information. In hospital, a patient is given a specific code and this code is linked to all the visits and tests of the patient. Major function within the health information management is assignment of medical diagnosis and procedure codes for research and also for administrative and financial purposes.¹

In radiology, codes can be either procedure codes identifying the type of examination or accession numbers representing the specific date or time of an examination. This study only focuses on the former, since the interchange of data depends on commonalities in examination type. For each imaging examination, there is typically an associated procedure code in the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) metadata. In clinical information system, there is use of procedure codes. and are a critical part of radiology processes. For automated identity of prior imaging studies and radiation dose tracking data, structured coding system was used.²

METHODS

In September, 2019, a study was done in radiology section of RMI, Peshawar. The procedure coding of MRI, CT scan, fluoroscopy and X rays were reviewed. 100 cases for every procedure were selected randomly from collected record of last 3 years. The request receipts were reviewed and codes were compared with the films of radiology on PACS system.

RESULTS

Ninety percent of the CT, 99% of the MRI, 95% of the Fluoroscopy, 90% of CT scan and 85% of X ray films were accurately coded according to local guidelines.

Table	1:	Coding	System

	CT scan	MRI	Fluoroscopy	X-rays
N=	100	100	100	100
Appropriate	90	99	95	85
Wrong codes	10	01	05	15
Results	10%	01%	05%	15%

Received on 12-09-2021 Accepted on 22-03-3033 Fig1: View of HMIS (left screen) and PACS (right screen) showing how the billing and procedure codes are visualized by the reporting radiologists. The boxes have been placed to hide patient's identity codes.



DISCUSSION

Physician advised Imaging and received by radiology department as a descriptive name e.g. CT Pelvis for right iliac fossa pain, X Ray chest PA and lateral and these are assessed by the radiology experts to decide the required protocol e.g. if order is of CT abdomen for abdominal pain and ultrasound showed lesions in liver then the ideal protocol would be CT Liver Dynamic, for which a specific code is entered in the system by the technologist performing the study.

It has been observed that prior practice and certain departments used vendor-provided and procedure codes. The main drawback of this being inability to be easily generalized, varied terms across sites and they may be incomplete, which is hurdle in standardization of radiology practice. A study done by Rubin DL showed that terminology regarding radiology can be translated into an ontology, a representation of terminologies that is both human-brows able and machine-processable.³

The strength for electronic exchange of clinical information is standardized radiology procedure codes. Ideally, 100% of the codes should be accurate as per locally set guidelines of each radiology department. Our study showed that most of the MRI studies performed (99%) were accurately coded, whereas the radiography (X Ray) codes were the ones with least accuracy (85%) suggesting that X Ray technologists need to be more trained to stick to the codes list. One reason for least accuracy in radiography codes can be large patient inflow and technologist is bound to make some errors during code entry into the system e.g. writing X Ray CHEST instead of CHEST for the X RAY chest of patient. The other modality which had comparatively less accuracy was CT. The patient inflow in radiology for CT scans is second highest following X ray requests; again the reason for low accuracy appears to be large patient inflow related bound to make some errors. Provision of guidance to technologists is required how to adopt these codes and a repeat studies should be done.

This study showed the role of radiology procedure codes in the health care facility, current standards in radiology procedure coding and the challenges of adopting standardized codes. To our knowledge, no such study has been done before. Such studies should be done more often to ensure standardization of clinical and radiological practices for a smooth workflow.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that MRI has maximum accuracy i.e. 99% and x rays have minimum i.e. 85%.

Conflict of interest: Nil

REFERENCES

- 1. Brodnik M. Exploratory Study of Radiology Coding in Health Information Management Practice. Perspectives in Health Information Management. 2009; 6:1-9.
- Wang KC, Patel JB, Vyas B et al. Use of Radiology Procedure Codes in Health Care: The Need for Standardization and Structure. Radio Graphics 2017; 37:4, 1099-1100.
- Rubin DL. Creating and Curating a Terminology for Radiology: Ontology Modeling and Analysis. J Digit Imaging. 2008 Dec; 21(4): 355–62.
- Wang KC, Kohli M, Carrino JA. Technology standards in imaging: a practical overview. J Am Coll Radiol 2014;11(12 pt B):1251–9.
- Langlotz CP. RadLex: a new method for indexing online educational materials. RadioGraphics 2006;26(6): 1595–7.
- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS. Medicare and Medicaid programs; electronic health record incentive program: stage 2-final rule. Fed Regist 2012;77(171): 53967–54162.