Comparison of Outcome of three Different Approaches for Supracondylar Humerus Fractures in Children
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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Supracondylar humerus is one of the most common elbow fractures in children. It accounts for about 60% of all the elbow fracture. It is a challenging orthopedic presentation. It is more common in the children younger than 15. The bending structure and weak metaphoric sclerotin of distal humerus are the underlying cause behind these fractures. Fractures are classified according to the Gartland’s criteria.

Study design: It is a retrospective study conducted at Sahara Medical College, Narowal and Pakistan Railway General Hospital, Rawalpindi for duration of six months from August 2022 to January 2023.

Material and Methods: The patients were aware of the study objective. The demographic features of each selected patient were recorded. There were total 150 patients included in this study. Patients were divided into three groups on the basis of type of approach used for the treatment of supracondylar humerus fracture; lateral approach, medial approach and posterior approach as group A, B and C respectively.

Results: The average age of patients was 7.61±4.5, 7.2±3.6 and 6.5±4.5 years for group A, B and C respectively. The reason of fracture was determined and the data revealed that most of the injuries were related to sports. P value was calculated and the data was statistically significant.

Conclusion: Our results suggest that as compared to posterior and medial, lateral approach is better as it showed significant radiological and functional outcomes. It can be used by surgeons as it takes less time and the complications rate is lower.
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INTRODUCTION
Supracondylar humerus is one of the most common elbow fracture in children. It accounts for about 60% of all the elbow fracture. It is a challenging orthopedic presentation. It is more common in the children younger than 15. The bending structure and weak metaphoric sclerotin of distal humerus are the underlying cause behind these fractures. Fractures are classified according to the Gartland’s criteria. Gartland type I is unstable whereas type II has different angulation. The conversion of olecranon into the bending structure by the absorption of axial energy leads to hyper extensive elbow. The extension type fractures accounts for about 98% of the supracondylar humeral fractures.

The management of these fractures can be challenging, and several approaches have been described in the literature. Each approach has its own advantages and disadvantages, and the choice of treatment depends on several factors such as the severity of the fracture, the age of the child, and the experience of the surgeon. The three most commonly used approaches are closed reduction and percutaneous pinning, open reduction and pinning, and closed reduction and casting. Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning is a minimally invasive approach that involves the reduction of the fracture. This technique has the advantage of being less invasive and having a shorter hospital stay and a faster recovery time than open reduction and pinning. However, it may not be suitable for more complex fractures or fractures with significant displacement, and there is a risk of nerve injury, especially with medial pin placement. Open reduction and pinning has the advantage of providing better visualization and is often considered as effective for more complex fractures or those with significant displacement. However, it is a more invasive procedure and requires a longer hospital stay and recovery time than closed reduction and pinning. Closed reduction and casting is less invasive than the other two approaches and may be suitable for less severe fractures or fractures in younger children. However, it has a higher risk of loss of reduction and may require a longer period of immobilization and rehabilitation. Treatment of such fractures is challenging for the surgeons and treating pediatric elbow fractures is far more difficult and challenging for the orthopedics. The supracondylar femur injuries are the most difficult and challenging injuries. The scarcity of data is present in literature about these approaches. There is need to study the outcomes of these approaches in detail. Several factors are considered as the predictor of the outcomes such as degree of displacement, age and type of fracture. This study aimed to compare the outcomes of the three approaches in detail.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
It is a retrospective study at Sahara Medical College, Narowal and Pakistan Railway General Hospital, Rawalpindi for duration of six months from August 2022 to January 2023. The approval was taken from the ethical and review board committee of the hospital. The patients were aware of the study objective. The demographic features of each selected patient were recorded. The data was collected. There were total 150 patients included in this study. Patients were divided into three groups on the basis of type of approach used for the treatment of supracondylar humerus fracture; lateral approach, medial approach and posterior approach as group A, B and C respectively. The patients diagnosed with following conditions were excluded from the study:

• The patients underwent re-surgery, open fracture in the past
• The patients diagnosed with neuro vascular injuries
• The patients diagnosed with compartment syndrome

The age range of the selected patients was between 6-9 years. The statistical analysis was performed by using the SPSS software. The data was collected and recorded and then the results were presented in the form of tables. Post-operative outcomes were compared for each group.

RESULTS
There were 50 patients in each group. The average age of patients was 7.61±4.5, 7.2±3.6 and 6.5±4.5 for group A, B and C respectively. The reason of fracture was determined and the data revealed that most of the injuries were related to sports. P value was calculated and the data was statistically significant.

The comparison of the outcomes of study groups indicated that the Average shaft condylar angle was 40±8.3°, 44.1±3.2° and 42.3±3.2° for group A, B and C respectively. Whereas, the average Baumann angle was 21.2±3.2°, 22.3±3.2° and 22.5±4.3° for lateral, median and posterior approach respectively. The operation time ranged from 40-45 minutes for all groups.
revealed that most of the patients had fracture on their right side. There were no significant complications found among the patients. The rate of pin tract infection in the medial approach group was 4% and it was found that excellent functional outcomes were achieved in the medial approach group. There were 3 cases of nerve injury reported by posterior approach group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Characteristics of patients with supracondylar humerus fracture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A (Lateral approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falling from height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports related injury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The functional outcomes were compared for three groups and it was found that excellent results were shown in 40, 37 and 19 patients of lateral, medial and posterior group respectively. Good outcomes were reported by 10,13 and 31 patients in lateral, medial and posterior group respectively. There was no case of poor outcome reported by patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Comparison of outcomes of the study groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral approach (n=50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average shaft condylar angle (degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

One of the most common type of elbow fractures that is reported among children is humeral super condyle fracture. There are two peroneaneous abnormal decreases that are found in such fractures\(^1\). Kirschner wire fixation is normally used for the treatment of this fracture as it is safe, inexpensive and simple technique to use\(^2\). There are ways in which a wire can be positioned. There have been research going on to find the best possible position or approach that should be used to place pin\(^3\). It is not feasible to hyper flex the elbow beyond 120°\(^4\) as it can lead to decrease in radial pulse. As the triceps muscle does not give support in such case so the risk of losing the decrease is pertinent. Therefore, it is recommended to perform surgical procedure in children for Gartland type III supracondylar fractures\(^5\). There were total 150 patients included in this study. Patients were divided on the basis of type of approach used for treatment of supracondylar humerus fracture; lateral approach, medial approach and posterior approach as group A, B and C respectively. There were 50 patients in each group. The age of patients ranged from 6 to 9 years. The average age of patients was 7.61±4.5, 7.2±3.6 and 6.5±4.5 for group A, B and C respectively.

The reason of fracture was determined and the data revealed that most of the injuries were related to sports. In our study majority of the patients were male value was calculated and the data was statistically significant. Our results were in accordance with the previous studies where the average surgical time was 38 minutes\(^6\). The comparison of the outcomes of study groups indicated that the average angle for lateral approach was 40±3.4\(^7\), 44±3.2\(^8\) and 42±3.5\(^9\) for group A, B and C respectively. In previous studies the average shaft condylar angle was 41±3.3\(^10\), 39±7.2\(^11\) and 41±6.3\(^12\) for group A,B and C respectively\(^13\). In our study, the average Baumann angle was 21.2±3.2\(^14\), 22.3±3.2\(^15\) and 22.5±4.3\(^16\) for lateral, median and posterior approach respectively. The operation time ranged from 40-45 minutes for all groups. Data revealed that most of the patients had fracture on their right side. There were no significant complications found among the patients. There were 8 cases of pin tract infection reported in the medial approach group. In the previous studies there were 6 cases of pin tract infection found in the medial approach group\(^17\). There were 3 cases of nerve injury reported by posterior approach group. The functional outcomes were compared for three groups and it was found that excellent results were shown in 40, 37 and 19 patients of lateral, medial and posterior group respectively. Good outcomes were reported by 10,13 and 31 patients in lateral, medial and posterior group respectively. There was no case of poor outcome reported by patients. As per studies in case of lateral and medial group, 100% patients had excellent scores reported by patients\(^18\).

As per another study, 72% patients had excellent scores for lateral and medial approach. Studies have shown that operation time taken for medial approach is quite less than the other two approaches, our studies also showed medial approach being the most time consuming operation as it was done in 45 minutes\(^19\). In our study the complication rate was quite low. There was no nerve injury reported by any patient that went through lateral approach for treatment. These studies resemble previous studies where no case of nerve damage was found in the lateral approach group\(^20\,21\). There was no significant difference found between the radiological and functional outcomes of all groups. In our study as per Flynn’s criteria the functional outcomes were evaluated and results showed that the lateral approach used for treatment of super condy whole fracture in children is better than the posterior and lateral approach as this approach showed maximum cases with excellent results. It can be used by surgeons as it takes less time and the rate of complications is less.

CONCLUSION

The study was carried out for the comparison of outcome of three different approaches for supracondylar humerus fractures in children. Our results suggest that as compared to posterior and medial, lateral approach is better as it showed significant radiological and functional outcomes. It can be used by surgeons as it takes less time and the rate of complications is less.
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