ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Accuracy of 0.3 Tesla MRI for Diagnosing Meniscal Tears in the Knee a Multi-Center Study

ERUM HABIB¹, FAIZ UL AZIZ², NASIR ALI³, MUHAMMAD YASEEN⁴, MIAN JAVED IQBAL⁵, SHAH ABDUR RAHIM⁶

¹Assitant professor Radiology, Qazi Hussain Ahmed Medical Complex Nowshera

²Assistant Professor Orthopedic, Qazi Hussain Ahmed Medical Complex, Nowshera..

³Assistant professor orthopedic, Qazi Hussain Ahmed Medical Complex Nowshera.

⁴Medical Officer orthopedic, qazi Hussain Ahmed Medical Complex Nowshera

⁵Assistant Professor Orthopedic, lady reading hospital peshawar.

⁶Post graduate resident orthopedic, Combined Millitary Hospital(CMH) peshawar.

Corresponding author. Faiz ul Aziz, Email: drfaizulaziz@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aims to compare the accuracy of a 0.3-tesla MRI in diagnosing meniscal injury in the knee to that arthroscopic findings.

Duration and place of study Qazi Hussain Ahmad Medical Complex Nowshera, Pakistan, from Jan 2021 to Jan 2022. (departments of Radiology and Orthopedic).

Methodology: One hundred patients who satisfied the study's inclusion criteria were sent from the orthopedics. We successfully collected patient data and permission from the Qazi Hussain Ahmed Medical Complex Nowshera. outpatient department and tertiary care hospital kpk between January 2021 and January 2022. All of the 0.3 Tesla scans were completed by a single MRI tech. To confirm the findings of the MRI, an arthroscopy was done by a professor of orthopedics. We tracked everything in a proforma spreadsheet and analyzed the data.

Results The result is that 100 patients participated in the trial. There were 96 males (or 95%) and four females (5%). Individuals' ages varied from the low teens to the high fifties. Patientshad a mean age of 30.3 +/- 6.82 years. We found that, in contrast to arthroscopy, our method for diagnosing meniscal injuries of the knee joint was susceptible (96%), specific (95%), and accurate (95%).

Conclusion: For the evaluation of meniscal injuries, MRI is a reliable, accurate, and noninvasive method. **Keywords**: Arthroscopy, MRI, and Knee Replacement are Some Key Terms

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic Resonance Imaging has improved as a diagnostic tool for the musculoskeletal system since its first in 1981¹. MRI scans are risk-free since they do not use ionizing radiation. As a result of its superior signal-to-noise ratio, excellent resolution, decreased artifacts, shorter imaging periods, and increased accuracy, MRI has now replaced conventional radiography as the principal imaging method in diagnosing knee joint pathology². MRI reveals osseous abnormalities and offers information on the cartilages, menisci, ligaments, and surrounding soft tissues³. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may detect meniscal tears as linear, complex, or diffuse regions of increased signal intensity inside the meniscus that are incontact with the articular surface⁴. Because of its great accuracy and possible therapeuticintervention in the same environment, knee arthroscopy has also been increasingly employed for diagnostic reasons. Infection, haemarthrosis, adhesions, and anesthesia complications4 are some of the problems that might arise. My study aimed to determine whether 0.3-Tesla MRI may serve as a screening tool for identifying meniscal injuries in the knee, hence decreasing the prevalence of unnecessary arthroscopic operations in our community⁵

METHODS AND MATERIALS

We collected patient data and permission from the Qazi Hussain Ahmad Medical Complex Nowshera outpatient department and tertiary care hospital between January 2021 and January 2022. The investigation comprised 14-56-year-olds who went to the orthopedic outpatient department (OPD) with knee instability and locking and were assigned to us for MRI. The outpatient orthopedics department of Qazi Hussain Ahmad Hospital, Nowshera, sent 100 patients with knee instability and locking with meniscal damage to the Radiology department from January 2021 to January 2022. Knee tumors, knee surgery, and intra- articular fractures were eliminated. After the orthopedic surgeon took a medical history and examined these patients, a technician conducted 0.3-Tesla MRIs. MRIs showed medial and lateral meniscal injury. One orthopedic surgeon compared MRI findings with knee arthroscopy, the gold standard in patient follow-up. Knee tumors, knee surgery, or intra- articular fractures disqualified patients. MRI scans were unsafe for pregnant women or those with metallic implants. One researcher studied the Toshiba 0.3 Tesla Visart TM series. The imaging approach included sagittal (T1), coronal (T2), and axial (T2*) T2-weighted images. Knee and extremity imaging coils were employed. Two board-certified radiologists independently assessed the images and reported their results. A modified Lotysch et al. classification system rated meniscal damage on MR images.

Meniscal tears had grade three signal intensity on MRI (i.e., intra meniscal signal intensity unequivocally extending to an articular surface). A skilled orthopedic surgeon performed each arthroscopic assessment. Anterolateral or trans patellar portals were used to implant the 30-degree arthroscope into the knee. Each structure was examined and explored. After diagnosing, the arthroscopist recorded the arthroscopic diagnosis and any subsequent treatment. The arthroscopist either paused the operation to fix it or continued.

Data collection comprised of arthroscopy and MRI findings. **Analyzing The Data:** SPSS version 22 analyzed all data. Gender was qualitative, given in frequency and percentage. Age average and standard deviation were given. We examined MRI's sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy against arthroscopy. Accuracy is the percentage of accurate diagnoses (true positives + false negatives) (FN). TP/(TP+FN), TN/(FP+TN), PPV, and NPV are defined as follows.

RESULTS

Participants' ages varied from 14 to 56. (average 31 years). Of them, 16 (15%) were between the ages of 15 and 26, 67% were between the ages of 27 and 36, 14% were between the ages of 37 and 46, and 3% were between the ages of 47 and 56. (Table 1). Cases by age group (n =100) are broken down in Table 1.

Table 1: Frequency of cases by age group (n=100)

(Age (years)	=n	%age	
14-26	16	15	
27-36	66	65	
37-46	14	15	
47-56	4	5	

Table 2: Samples are distributed based on gender (n=100).

Gender	=n	%age	
1. Male	96	96%	
2. Female	4	4%	

Table 3: Cases are divided according to the side involved (n=100).

Knee side	=n	%age	
1. Left	72	72%	
Right	28	28%	

Table 4: Results of MRI and arthroscopy (n=100)

Modality	Medial Meniscus	Lateral Meniscus
1. Arthroscopy	84	26
2. MRI	82	23

Table 5: reliability of arthroscopy-based magnetic resonance imaging

Result	Medial Meniscus	Lateral Meniscus
1. True +ve	82	48
2. True –ve	14	48
False +ve	2	2
4. False -ve	2	2

Table 6: The percentage of MRI diagnoses that are correct.

Validity	Medial Meniscus	Lateral Meniscus
1. Accuracy	95	95
2. Sensitivity	96	95
3. Specificity	86	95
4. Negative predictive value	86	97
5. Positive predictive value	96	96

There were a total of 96 (96%) male patients and 4 (4%) female patients (Table 2). A large proportion (73%) of the patients were in their 30s and 40s, with just 4% of this group being female. Seventy-two (71% of patients) had problems with their left knees, whereas only 29 (29% of patients) had issues with their right knees (Table 3). Twenty-five lateral meniscal rips (51%, or 25/100) were reported at the surgery. The majority of the 26 rips (23 out of the total) were discovered by MRI (Table 4). Both the rip found after surgery, and the tear detected during the MRI were absent throughout the procedure. Forty-eight true positives, one false positive, and 48 false negatives were recorded (Table 5). All four measures of diagnostic efficacy (PPV, NPV, sensitivity, and specificity) for lateral meniscal tears were perfect (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Occasionally, edema and muscle spasms caused by acute knee damage might inhibit full knee extension⁶. MRI is currently the gold standard for detecting internal derangements of the knee6, thanks to its accuracy, safety, and additional advantages compared to diagnostic arthroscopy. Arthroscopy is the "gold standard" for identifying meniscal and cruciate ligament tears but requires surgical incisions and extra recovery time. Patients may have an infection, pain, DVT (deep vein thrombosis), blood loss, anesthetic

issues, and discomfort⁷. As noninvasive

Diagnostic techniques improve, such as MRI, it is preferable to undertake this procedure exclusively for therapeutic reasons. A regular MR examination may rule out any internal abnormality of the knee. It reveals issues with the meniscus, ligaments, and

cartilage. Most orthopedic doctors now favor this kind of exam⁸. Using arthroscopic results as the goldstandard, this study examined the diagnostic accuracy of 0.3 Tesla MRI for identifying meniscal injuries in the knee. A total of 100 cases were analyzed, with 82 of them involving medial meniscal tears and 48 involving lateral tears⁹.

Gul-e-khana et al. examined the medial meniscus in only 6 of 100 patients but found that 82 of those patients (82% of the total) had abnormalities. A lateral meniscal tear was detected in 35

cases $(35\%)^{10}$. Most meniscal tears (62 of 66) were classified as medial in research involving 66 patients conducted by Winters K et al. Twenty-six of the 66 meniscal tears were on the side. In our

study, patients' mean ages were 31.456.90 (average 31 years) 11. Winters K et al.16 found that, on average, their patients were 35 years old at the time of the treatment. Patients inour research who received both MRI and arthroscopy tended to be younger (31.456.90 years old, to be exact) than those in the general

population¹². In the current research, 100 patients (96%) were male, and only three patients (3%) were female, an age disparity compared to previous studies caused by the fact that our younger population meets with accidents more often since they are the leading figures in the growth of a growing country. Gul-e-khanda et al. found that of the 100 patients studied, 63 (or 63%) were male, and 37 (or 37%) were female. There were 55 male patients (55%) and 45 female patients (45%) in the research by Winters K et al.

14. Although females make up roughly 55% of the population in our society, our data suggest

that men are more likely to be injured in accidents due to factors such as being the primary breadwinners in their families and the age group most likely to be involved in such incidents (those aged 19-34)¹³.

In contrast to the 2-year research by Gul-e-khanda et al. four and the 5-year study by Winters

K. et al.14, our study lasted for just six months. Noble16 did research in which he argued thatdoctors should refrain from doing arthroscopies until essential, noting that MR imaging findings may sometimes supplement doctors' clinical judgment. The overall sensitivity of MRI for menisci was found to be 85% in a study by Mackenzie R et al., and its specificity was found to be 96% in a

survey by Gul-e-khanda et al¹⁴, with the following breakdowns for the medial and lateral menisci: 99% sensitivity, 67% specificity, 91% PPV, 99% NPV, and 91% accuracy for the medial, and 85%

Medial meniscus MRI had a sensitivity of 87%, specificity of 92%, PPV of 90%, NPV of 89%, and accuracy of 92% in another study by Winters K et al. 22; lateral meniscus MRI had a sensitivity of 46%, specificity of 91%, PPV of 55%, NPV of 88%, and accuracy of 82% in our study of 100 cases with MRI and arthroscopy. According to our research, an MRI of the menisci has the following sensitivity (97%), specificity (85%), and accuracy (96%) for the

medial meniscus and the lateral meniscus, respectively¹⁵.

90% PPV, 85% NPV, and 96% accuracies; lateral meniscus led to 97% sensitivity, 97%

specificity, and 97%

The pooled sensitivity of medial and lateral menisci was 92% and 80%, respectively, and the pooled specificity was 87% and 97%, according to a meta-analysis by Oei and colleagues18 that included 29 studies from 1992 to 2015 that evaluated the validity of MRI concerning meniscal and ligamentous disorders of the knee. The posterior horn of the medial meniscus andthe anterior horn of the lateral meniscus are the most often affected regions in meniscal tears, respectively¹⁶. MRI has been found to have a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 81-97% for meniscal injuries¹⁷. We found similar outcomes in our research. Retrospective analysis of arthroscopy videotapes of instances with false-positive MR imaging findings by Quinn and Brown revealed that the suspicious region of the meniscus was never visible in any of the cases¹⁸. Therefore, many false-positive MR imaging results26 may be attributable to

Arthroscopic false-negative findings¹⁹. However, our research confirms that MRI can accurately diagnose knee internal derangement. Due to its low cost, high value, and lack of invasiveness, MRI has emerged as a crucial diagnostic tool in recent years²⁰.

CONCLUSION

Tears of the menisci may be evaluated using a noninvasive and highly accurate imaging technique called magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). It may be an initial diagnostic tool for individuals with knee soft tissue injuries.

REFERENCES

- Mackenzie R, Palmer CR, Lomas DJ, Dixon AK. Magnetic resonance imaging of the knee: diagnostic performance studies. Clin Radiol 1996; 5:251-7.
- Sampson MJ, Jackson MP, Moran CJ, Shine S, Moran R, Eustace SJ. Three Tesla MRI for diagnosing meniscal and anterior cruciate ligament pathology: a comparison to arthroscopic findings. Clin Radiol 2008; 63:1106-11.
- Khanda GE, Akhtar W, Ahsan H, Ahmad N. Assessment of menisci and ligamentous knee injuries on magnetic resonance imaging: correlation with arthroscopy. J PakMed Assoc 2008; 58:537-40.
- Esmaili Jah AA, Keyhani S, Zarei R, Moghaddam AK. Accuracy of MRI compared with clinical and arthroscopic findings in ligamentous and meniscal injuries of the knee. Acta Orthop Belg 2005; 71:189-96.
- Loo WL, Liu YB, Lee YHD, Soon YHM. A Comparison of Accuracy between Clinical History, Physical Examination and Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Arthroscopy in diagnosing Meniscal and Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tears. J Orthopaedics 2008; 5:8.
- 6. Avcu S, Altun E, Akpinar I, Bulut MD, Eresov K, Biren
- T. Knee joint examinations by magnetic resonance imaging: The correlation of pathology, age, and sex. North Am J Med Sci 2010; 2:202-4.
- Nishikawa H, Imanaka Y, Sekimoto M, Hayashida K, Ikai H. Influence of Verification Bias on the Assessment of MRI in the Diagnosis of Meniscal Tears. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009; 193:1596–1602.
- Mustonen AOT, Koivikko MP, Lindahl J,Koskinen SK. MRI of Acute Meniscal Injury Associated with Tibial Plateau Fractures: Prevalence, Type, and Location. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 191:1002–9.
- 9. McMahon PJ, Dettling JR, Yocum LA, Glousman RE. The Cyclops

lesion: a cause of diminished knee extension after rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament. Arthroscopy 1999; 15:757-61.

- Remer EM, Fitzgerald SW, Friedman H, Roger LF, Hendrix RW, Schafer MF. Anterior cruciate ligament injury: MR imaging diagnosis and patterns of injury. Radiographics 1992; 12:901-15.
- Reigstad O, Grimsgaard C. Complications in knee arthroscopy. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2006; 14:473-7.
- Mackenzie R, Palmer CR, Lomas DJ, Dixon AK. Magnetic resonance imaging of the knee: diagnostic performance studies. Clin Radiol 1996; 5:251-7.
- Mackenzie R, Dixon AK, Keene GS, Hollingworth W, Lomas DJ, Villar RN. Magnetic imaging of the knee: assessment of effectiveness. Clin Radiol 1996; 51:245-50.
- 14. Helms CA. The impact of MR imaging in sports medicine. Radiology 2002; 224:631-5.
- 15. Winters K, Tregonning R. Reliability of magnetic resonance imaging for a traumaticknee injury. NZMJ 2005; 118:1-8.
- Singh JP, Garg L, Shrimali R, Setia V, Gupta V. MR Imaging of the knee with arthroscopic correlation in twisting injuries. Indian J Radiol Imaging 2004; 14:33-40.
- 17. Noble J. Unnecessary arthroscopy. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1992; 74:797-8.
- Oei EH, Nikken JJ, Verstijnen AC, Ginai AZ, Myriam Hunink MG. MR Imaging of the Menisci and Cruciate Ligaments: A Systematic Review. Radiology. 2003; 226:837-48.
- Vahey TN, Broome DR, Kayes KJ, Shelbourne KD. Acute and chronic tears of the anterior cruciate ligament: differential features at MR imaging. Radiology 1991; 181:251-3.
- Quinn SF, Brown TF. Meniscal tears diagnosed with MR imaging versus arthroscopy: how reliable a standard is an arthroscopy? Radiology 1991; 181:843-7.