

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Audit of Maternal Near Miss Cases in A Tertiary Care Hospital of MardanMAIMOONA QADIR¹, NABILA KHAN²

Assistant Professor Gynae, Khyber Medical College/Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar

Assistant Professor Gynae, Bacha Khan Medical College, Mardan Medical Complex

Correspondence to Dr. Maimoona Qadir, Email: dr.maimoona1983@gmail.com, Contact No. 0346-9196731**ABSTRACT****Aim:** To determine the frequency and causes of maternal near miss events at a tertiary care hospital of Mardan.**Methodology:** This descriptive cross sectional study was conducted at Gynaecology department of Mardan Medical Complex from January 2018 to December 2019. Cases of maternal near miss events were collected from the records of women who met the WHO criteria for near miss. All the data was entered into structured proforma and analysis done.**Results:** Total near miss cases were 215 and total live births were 9,228. The near miss rate was 23/1000 and maternal near miss to maternal mortality ratio was 3.7:1. Mean age was 26±3.2 years. Age group of 21-30 years was the high risk group with 112(52%) cases, followed by 31-40 years age group with 81(38%) cases. 89(41%) patients were multigravidas and 77(36%) were grand multi gravidas. Gestational age of more than 28 weeks was seen in 146(68%) women and less than 28 weeks in 17(8%) whereas 52(24%) were postnatal. 184(86%) were unbooked cases. Regarding the causes of near miss events, haemorrhage was seen in 96(45%), hypertension in 53(24.6%), Sepsis in 26(12%), cardiac disease in 10(4.6%), anaphylactic shock in 8(4%), anesthetic complications in 6(3%), epilepsy and hepatic encephalopathy in 4(2%) each and 8(4%) were due to indirect causes.**Conclusion:** Near miss cases have many similarities with maternal deaths and give us knowledge about the issues that need to be solved in order to reduce the grave situations where a maternal death can be prevented.**Keywords:** Near miss, Mortality, Morbidity, Haemorrhage, Hepatic Encephalopathy, Sepsis**INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of maternal health care services is to improve the standards of obstetric care keeping in view the inquiries on the maternal deaths¹. Severe acute maternal morbidity or near miss events in terms of obstetrics means a woman who escaped a life threatening situation of medical disorder, organ dysfunction, or some event during the course of her pregnancy or delivery². These cases are similar in their pathological, physiological and clinical basis with the maternal mortality cases³.

Maternal near miss is the situation where woman presents with serious complication in the antenatal period, delivery and within 42 days postpartum, but survived incidentally or good hospital care⁴. These cases help in identifying the pitfalls in our health system and evaluate the specific areas where improvement is desired⁵. The near miss concept is a useful way to pick the relationships between women who survived and women who infant, died of these conditions, to make us able us to evaluate the standards of women healthcare⁶.

The identification and the detailed understanding of near miss cases helps in further evaluation of the aetiological factors of maternal mortality⁷. The near miss cases are always high in number, if compared to mortality cases, and prove to be more useful in provision of information about the factors which lead to morbidity or mortality during pregnancy or 42 days of delivery or termination of pregnancy⁸. WHO definition has actually given us a common basic material of maternal near miss events across different countries and enabled comparison to be conducted⁹.

The aim of our study was to figure out the frequency of near miss cases and the causes of near miss events amongst the obstetric patients in our institution in order to serve as a complimentary method for audit of the quality obstetric health in our institution.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This descriptive cross sectional study was conducted in Gynae Department of Mardan Medical Complex from January 2017 to December 2019. The near miss cases were selected according to the WHO 2009 criteria, and included clinical, laboratory and management based criteria. Maternal mortality during the study period was also analysed.

Received on 21-08-2022

Accepted on 13-01-2023

Approval was taken from hospital ethical committee. Details of all patients with age, parity, period of gestation, booked/unbooked, mode of delivery, obstetric complication, whether admitted in ICU, length of stay, nature of organ system failure or dysfunction if present, number of blood or blood product transfusions, details of any surgical procedure to save the life of the mother was also recorded in a predesigned proforma. Final diagnosis with respect to haemorrhage, hypertension, sepsis, cardiac disease, and other medical disorders contributing to maternal near miss and maternal deaths was made and taken into account. The analysis of the data was done using SPSS 22.0 and results were calculated in frequencies and percentages.

RESULTS

Total number of deliveries in study duration was 9,406 and total number of live births was 9,228. Near miss cases were 215, making the prevalence of near miss 2.3%. Maternal near miss ratio was 23/1000 and maternal near miss to maternal mortality ratio was 3.7:1.

Mean age of near miss cases was 28±3.2 years. 11(5%) cases presented in less than 20 years age group, 11(52%) were 21-30 years, 81(38%) were 31-40 years and 11(5%) were more than 40 years age. 49(23%) women were primigravidas, 89(41%) were multigravidas and 77(36%) were grand multigravidas. 17(8%) women were less than 28 weeks, 146(68%) were more than 28 weeks till delivery and 52(24%) were postnatal at the time of near miss event. 184(86%) patients were unbooked.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics (n=215)

Characteristic	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
< 20 years	11	5%
21- 30 years	112	52%
31- 40 years	81	38%
>40 years	11	5%
Parity		
Primigravida	49	23%
Multigravida	89	41%
Grand Multigravida	77	36%
Gestational age		
<28 weeks	17	8%
>28 weeks	146	68%

Postnatal	52	24%
Booking status		
Booked	31	14%
Unbooked	184	86%

Regarding the causes of near miss events, 96(45%) were due to haemorrhage, in the form of antenatal, intrapartum, intraoperative, or postpartum haemorrhage. 53(24.6%) were hypertensive, 26(12%) were due to sepsis, 10(4.6%) were due to cardiac disease, 8(4%) had anaphylactic shock, 6(3%) had anesthetic complications, 4(2%) were epileptic, 4(2%) had hepatic encephalopathy and 8(4%) were due to indirect causes.

142(66%) of our near miss cases remained admitted in ICU, 86(40%) had blood transfusions, 45(21%) needed ventilator support, and 71(33%) needed surgical intervention.

Table 2: Causes of near miss events (n=215)

Cause	Frequency	Percentage
Haemorrhage	96	45%
Hypertension	53	24.6%
Sepsis	26	12%
Cardiac disease	10	4.6%
Anaphylactic Shock	8	4%
Anesthetic complications	6	3%
Epilepsy	4	2%
Hepatic Encephalopathy	4	2%
Indirect causes	8	4%
Total	215	100%

DISCUSSION

Obstetric near miss cases reflect the major causes of obstetric death cases or in other words the standards of maternal healthcare. The near miss criteria formulated by WHO in 2009 is unique in considering clinical, laboratory and management parameters⁴. All the factors were not applicable in this study, especially the laboratory based, as pH, lactate, ketoacids whereas management criteria was applicable.

In our study, the prevalence of near miss was 23/1000 live births. In a local study done by Shahid A et al, this figure was reported as 52/1000¹⁰, whereas it was 10/1000 in another local study by Naz T et al at Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar¹¹. It was reported as low as 4.4/1000 in another study¹² and the range varied between 15-40/1000 in different international studies^{5,13}. The difference in figures is probably due to difference in definition and identification of cases.

The maternal near miss to maternal mortality ratio is 3.7:1 in our study, which means for every 3.7 near miss cases, there is one maternal death. Higher ratios reflect better care, which means that more cases of near miss escaped death. The ratio was seen as 3.5:1 in another local study¹¹ and same as ours in study done at Ziauddin hospital Karachi in 2018². Majority of patients were unbooked (86%), presenting in critical condition. This depicts that in our society, women are still unaware of the dreadful complications of pregnancy, 77.4% antenatal women arrived in unbooked status at hospital in another study¹¹.

Mean age was 28±3.2 years, almost similar to that seen in study by Shaheen F³. Most of our cases fell in 21-30 years age group, close to the results of Mansuri F et al in their research done at Ahmadabad, India in 2019¹⁶. 41% of our multigravidas fall prey to near miss events, 63.6% and 45.6% were the figures seen in other studies^{2,9}.

The basic obstetric conditions which ends up in a near miss event are almost similar in most of the cases. In our study, haemorrhage was the topmost cause with greater potential for near miss in 44.6% cases. Close figures of 45.6% were observed by Sultana S et al in their study². Most of the cases in this group were referred from private clinics or periphery, received in very critical condition and needed blood transfusions and peripartum hysterectomies. Ray N et al observed 38.5% of their near miss cases attributed to haemorrhage¹.

Hypertensive disorders attributed for 24.6% of near miss cases in our study. Shaheen F in her study done at Holy family hospital, Rawalpindi reported hypertensive disorders as the topmost cause of near miss events attributing to 48.5% cases⁹, whereas this figure was 40.3% in another study¹. Lotufo FA et al reported same figure as ours¹². Sepsis attributed to 12% cases in our study, whereas this figure was as high as 30% in two international studies^{14,15}. Cardiac diseases were responsible for 5% cases of near miss in our study whereas 5.6% cases were observed in another study¹¹.

The benefits of assessment of near miss cases are that they help us in creating safe and approachable obstetric health care for future. The factors lacking at patients end are the traditions of home delivery, non-booking, poor compliance with obstetricians advise, disbelief in modern medicine etc. Factors related to health system include poor referral system, lack of or inadequate intensive care facility, deficiency of staff, beds etc.

CONCLUSION

Obstetric emergencies need life saving measures. Combating of the near miss cases at the primary health care facilities is the need of the hour. To cope with the issue of near miss, women should be addressed at a basic level about antenatal visits, compliance with obstetrician's advise, deliveries in a hygienic environment, trained birth attendants and birth spacing evaluation of antenatal women for all the risk factors in a especially designed high risk antenatal OPD and providing high risk patients intensive care can reduce the incidence of near miss events.

Conflict of interest: Nothing to declare

REFERENCES

1. Ray N, Patil SK, Kshirsagar NS. Maternal near miss in as tertiary care hospital: a cross sectional study. *J Evolution Med Dent Sci* 2016; 5(51): 3252- 4.
2. Sultana S, Ishtiaque S, Fareed S, Kamal S, Aslam Z. Clinical spectrum of near miss cases in obstetrics. *Cureus* 2019;11(5): 2- 7.
3. Tura AK, Trang TL, Stekelenburg J. Applicability of the WHO maternal near miss tool in sub-Saharan Africa; a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy and childbirth* 2019; 7(79):
4. WHO. Evaluating the quality of care for severe pregnancy complications: the WHO near miss approach for maternal health. World Health Organization 2011.
5. Say L, Souza JP, Pattinson RC. Maternal near miss-towards a standard tool for monitoring quality of maternal health care. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2009; 23: 287- 96
6. Shivananda RP, Verma S, Rai L, Kumar P, Pai MV, Shetty J. Near miss obstetric events and maternal deaths in a tertiary care hospital: an audit. *J Pregnancy* 2013; 10(155): 39- 45
7. Da silva JMP, Fonseca SC, Dias MAB, Izzo AS, Teixeira GP. Concept, prevalence and characteristics of severe maternal morbidity and nearmiss in Brazil: a systematic review. *Rev Bras Saude Mater* 2018; 18(1): 1519- 22.
8. Mbachu I, Ezeama C, Osuagwu K, Umeononihu OS. A cross sectional study of maternal near miss and mortality at arural tertiary centre in southern Nigeria. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2017; 17(1): 251-5.
9. Shaheen F, Begum A. Maternal near miss. *JRMC* 2014; 18(1): 130- 2.
10. Shahid A, Rizwan S, Khwaja N. Near miss events: frequency and most common causes. *Pak J Med Health Sci* 2015;9: 65.
11. Naz T, Akhter N, Naib JM, Gul N. Maternal near miss morbidity and mortality- A continuum. *J Med Sci* 2014; 22(4): 171- 6.
12. Lotufo FA, Parpinelli MA, Haddad SM, Surita FG. Applying the new concept of maternal near miss in an intensive care unit. *Clinics* 2012; 67(3): 225- 30.
13. Roosmalen VJ, Zwart J. Severe acute maternal morbidity in high income countries. *Best practice and Research: Clinica Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2009; 23(3): 297- 304.
14. Ngonzi J, Tornes YF, Mukasa PK. Puerperal sepsis, the leading cause of maternal deaths at a tertiary care university teaching hospital in Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2016; 16: 207
15. Buddeberg BS, Aveling W. Puerperal sepsis in the 21st century: progress, new challenges and the situation worldwide. *Postgrad Med J* 2015; 91: 572- 8.

16. Mansuri F, Mall A. analysis of maternal near miss at tertiary level hospitals, Ahmadabad: A valuable indicator for maternal health care. Ind J Comm Med 2019;44: 217- 21.