## **REVIEW ARTICLE**

# Degree of Hearing Loss in Association with Site of Tympanic Membrane Perforation: A Systematic Review

HAFIZ MUHAMMAD USAMA BASHEER<sup>1</sup>, ATIF IKRAM<sup>2</sup>, ASIF HANIF<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Audiology (ENT), Service Hospital, Lahore-Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Rehabilitation sciences, UOL, Lahore-Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatustics, FAHS, UOL, Lahore-Pakistan

Correspondence to Dr. Muhammad Usama Basheer, Email: obienmayo@gmail.comTel:+92-307-8434534

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Tympanic Membrane perforation is commonly seen in a middle ear pathology called chronic otitis media that affects at least 0.5% of the total world population.

Aim: To evaluate the nature of hearing loss in tympanic membrane perforation (TMP) based on the site of perforation.

Study design: Systemic review.

**Methodology:** All the articles were taken from Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, Pub Med, NCBI (NLM Catalog) and HEC Digital Library within five years range: 2017-2021. All the researchers were screened widely by a well-intentioned peer review group.

**Results:** Articles (n=19) were included in the review. In case of site of perforation, most of the articles compared anterior site with posterior and central, depicting that posterior site causes more hearing loss than anterior site, while central perforations had greater hearing loss than anterior/posterior site. The total sample size of these 19 articles collectively was (n=1,650). Most severe hearing loss with respect to site of TM perforation was demonstrated in only 1 study (5.26%) out of 19 and that was 61-80 decibels. One study (5.26%) showed no correlation between hearing loss and site of TM perforation.

**Practical Implication**: Sometimes, it is seen in ENT practices that there was a dilemma regarding the severity of hearing loss with respect to site of perforation. It benefited otolaryngologists to find a systematic review on the provided topic, to learn and know more about the association between hearing loss and site of TMP.

**Conclusion:** We concluded that anterior sites of perforations cause less hearing loss than posterior, central or marginal. **Keywords:** Hearing loss; Tympanic membrane perforation; Site of TM perforation.

## INTRODUCTION

Tympanic Membrane (TM) perforation is commonly seen in a middle ear pathology called chronic otitis media (COM) that affects at least 0.5% of the total world population.<sup>1</sup> Owing to perforation, there is a shift in sound pressure and decrease in ossicular pairing. Various authors have recognized relation between tympanic membrane perforation and hearing loss.<sup>2</sup> COM generates mild (from 26 dB) to moderate (up to 55 dB) conductive hearing loss (CHL) in more than the fifty percent cases, usually<sup>3</sup>.

HL is categorized as a decline in hearing ability which ranges from minimum loss to maximum HL.<sup>4</sup> HL is a chief issue that often goes ignored. It comprises three major types (conductive, mixed and sensorineural).<sup>5</sup> Degree of HL is the intensity at which hearing thresholds of a person lie i.e. mild degree hearing loss (26-40 dB) or moderate/severe degree hearing loss (>40 dB).6TMP may possibly take place after an acute or chronic infection of the middle ear or after a shock.<sup>7</sup> Whereas the effect of perforation on the system of the middle ear is multifaceted and not fully definite, we know that in single membrane perforations with the ossicles remaining undamaged, CHL up to 50 decibels can occur.8 The site and the size of the TMP as well as the volume of the middle ear and that of the mastoid are various factors that affect the intensity of the hearing impairment<sup>9</sup>. Outcomes obtained in the few prior studies illustrate that larger perforations that are posteriorly located add to the CHL level, particularly at lower frequencies. There has been much contradictory information about commonness of hearing loss related with TMP in association with size, site or duration.<sup>10</sup>The effect of TMP on conduction of sound is not easy to correlate due to extra pathological change in the middle ear. An improved considerate thought about effect of perforation on middle ear will assist us predict the extent and frequency of hearing loss<sup>11</sup>.

Sometimes, it is seen in ENT practices that there is a dilemma regarding the severity of hearing loss with respect to site of perforation. It was beneficial for otolaryngologists to find a systematic review on the provided topic, to learn and know more

Received on 22-08-2022

Accepted on 11-12-2022

about the association between hearing loss and site of TMP.

The objective of the study was to evaluate the nature of hearing loss in tympanic membrane perforation (TMP) based on the site of perforation.

## METHODOLOGY

Different search-engines/databases (Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, PubMed, NCBI (NLM Catalog) and HEC Digital Library) were brought into browsing dated 31/10/2021 to search the articles related to our topic. Many keywords were used in many possible combinations to search the articles related to our research i.e.: hearing loss, type of hearing loss, site of TMP, audiological findings in TMP, association of hearing loss and TMP. Only the articles from 2017-2021 were included. Paid & non permitted articles were excluded.

The search on databases/search-engines identified 61 topics related to our topic, among which only 32 were as per requirement. Out of it, 7 articles were abstracts only, so they were excluded as well. Out of the remaining 25 full text free articles, 6 were excluded because they were discussing other pathologies too besides association between type and degree of hearing loss and site of TMP. Finally, 19 articles were found eligible to carry systematic review forward with them. All the researchers were inspected widely by a well-intentioned peer review group.

Figure-1: Depicting Identification of studies via database



#### RESULTS

For site of perforation, different terminologies were used to describe site of perforation and nature of HL. All of these terminologies along with association were given in Table-1. Moreover, all the perforations were dry.

Toble 1.	Cito	of	norforation	and	noturo	of	hooring	1000
Table-1:	Site	OT	perforation	and	nature	OI	nearing	IOSS

Study	Perforation Site	Degree of HL (dB)	Prevalent Type of HI	
Hardik Darad	Anterior & Non	Lesser	Conductive	
2017 <sup>12</sup>	Malleolar	20000.	Conductive	
-	Posterior & Malleolar	Greater	Conductive	
M Radef Dawood	Anterior Central	34.7	Conductive	
2017 <sup>13</sup>	Posterior Central	37.8	Conductive	
	Central Malleolar	39.2	Conductive	
Teja Deepak	Large Central	41.6	Conductive	
Dessai 2017 14	Small Central	22	Conductive	
	Posterior	27.5	Conductive	
	Anterior	41.3		
Sushil Gaur 2017	Large Central	20.2	Conductive	
15	Large Peripheral	14	Conductive	
	Small Central	17.6	Conductive	
	Small Peripheral	17	Conductive	
	Anteroinferior	18.4	Conductive	
	Posteroinferior	19.8	Conductive	
David J.	This study did not c	orrelate hearing loss	with site of	
Carpenter 2017 <sup>16</sup>	perforation	but size of perforation	on.	
Johnson Ediale	Central Anterior	26-40	Conductive	
2018 <sup>17</sup>	Central Posterior	26-40	Conductive	
	Marginal	61-80	Conductive	
Ravi Dudda 2018	Anterior	32.65	Conductive	
18	Central	43.20	Conductive	
	Posterior	46.61	Conductive	
KartikHerkal 2018	Posterior	28.6	Conductive	
19	Anterior	26.5	Conductive	
	Subtotal	34.2	Conductive	
AparaaiitaUpadhy	Anterior	Mild-Moderate	Conductive	
ay 2018 <sup>20</sup>	Posterior	Mild-Moderate	Conductive	
Arvinder Singh	Central	39.34	Conductive	
Sood 2018 21	Posteroinferior	34.82	Conductive	
	Anteroinferior	35.25	Conductive	
	Anterosuperior	15	Conductive	
Amied H Ali 2018	Central	37	Conductive	
22	Posterior	25.6	Conductive	
	Anterior	24.8	Conductive	
Emmanuel	Anterior	30	Conductive	
ChofforNchinda	Posterior	32.9	Conductive	
2018 <sup>23</sup>	Both	37.9	Conductive	
Rabeea J Atiyah 2018 24	Most of the sites	Mild (16-40)	Conductive	
Satish Kumar	Anterior	32.1	Conductive	
Bandaru 2019 25	Posterior	35.5	Conductive	
	Both	36.4	Conductive	
Ramandeep	Postero-superior	15 dB AB Gap	Conductive	
Singh Virk 2019	Postero-inferior	0.5 dB AB Gap	Conductive	
26	Central	9.5 dB AB Gap	Conductive	
	Antero-superior	8 dB AB Gap	Conductive	
	Antero-inferior	13 dB AB Gap	Conductive	
Anil HT 2019 27	Antero-inferior (AI)	25	Conductive	
	Postero-inferior (PI)	28	Conductive	
	Antero-superior (AS) +AI	30	Conductive	
	PS+PI	35	Conductive	
	AI+PI	38	Conductive	
	AS+AI+PI	39	Conductive	
	AS+AI+PS+PI	45	Conductive	
Shubhangi Gupta	Anterior	29.9	Conductive	
2019 <sup>28</sup>	Posterior	35.8	Conductive	
	Multiple	44.9	Conductive	
	Total	38.7	Conductive	

MirzaAneesa	Anterior	27.11	Conductive
2019 <sup>29</sup>	Posterior	36.96	Conductive
	Central	42.4	Conductive
MuntazirHussain	Anterior	Anterior ones	Conductive
2021 <sup>30</sup>	Posterior	have 5.5 dB	
		greater HL	
		than posterior.	

Table-2 represented association of HL & perforation site but with an addition of a column representing number of studies that stated the given intensity of HL as per site.

Table-2: Degree of hearing loss as per site of perforation

Perforation Site	Degree of HL	No. of Studies
Anterior Central	Mild	2(Dawood et al 2017, Edial et al 2018) 13,17
Posterior Central	Mild	2(Dawood et al 2017, Edial et al 2018) 13,17
Central	Mild	3(Dawood et al 2017, Sood et al 2018, Ali et al 2018) <sup>13,21,22</sup>
Large Central	Moderate	1 (Dessai et al 2017) <sup>14</sup>
Small Central	Normal Hearing	2(Dessai et al 2017, Gaur et al 2017) <sup>14,15</sup>
Posterior	Mild	8(Dessai et al 2017, Herkal et al 2017, Nchinda et al 2018, Upadhyay et al 2018, Bandaru et al 2019, Anil HT et al 2019, Gupta et al 2019, Aneesa et al 2019) 14.19.23.20.25.27.28.29
Anterior	Moderate	1 (Dessai et al 2017) <sup>14</sup>
Large Central	Normal Hearing	1 (Gaur et al 2017) <sup>15</sup>
Large Peripheral	Normal Hearing	1 (Gaur et al 2017) <sup>15</sup>
Small Peripheral	Normal Hearing	1 (Gaur et al 2017) <sup>15</sup>
Antero-inferior	Normal Hearing	2(Gaur et al 2017, Anil HT et al 2019) <sup>15,27</sup>
Postero-inferior	Normal Hearing	1 (Gaur et al 2017) <sup>15</sup>
Marginal	Moderately Severe	1 (Ediale et al 2018) <sup>17</sup>
Anterior	Mild	8(Dudda et al 2018, Herkal et al 2017, Nchinda et al 2018, Upadhyay et al 2018, Bandaru et al 2019, Anil HT et al 2019, Gupta et al 2019, Aneesa et al 2019) 18.19232025272829
Posterior	Moderate	1 (Dudda et al 2018) <sup>18</sup>
Central	Moderate	2(Dudda et al 2018, Aneesa et al 2019) <sup>18,29</sup>
Subtotal	Mild	1 (Herkal et al 2018) <sup>19</sup>
Postero-inferior	Mild	2(Sood et al 2018, Anil HT et al 2019) 21,27
Antero-inferior	Mild	1 (Sood et al 2018) <sup>21</sup>
Antero-superior	Normal Hearing	1 (Sood et al 2018) <sup>21</sup>
Posterior	Normal Hearing	1 (Ali et al 2019) <sup>22</sup>
Anterior	Normal Hearing	1 (Ali et al 2019) <sup>22</sup>
Multiple	Moderate	1 (Gupta et al 2019) 28
Total	Mild	1 (Gupta et al 2019) 28

Though it was found that most of the studies established mild degree hearing loss with most of the sites of perforations but looking at the individual intensities in decibels, posterior perforations had greater hearing loss than anterior ones. The studies that discussed central perforations depicted that central one had greater hearing loss than anterior and posterior perforations. Only one (Ediale et al 2018) of the studies discussed marginal perforation that had moderately severe degree of conductive hearing loss. It is the highest degree of hearing loss no greater than 55 dB. One study (5.26%) showed no correlation between hearing loss and site of TMP.

### DISCUSSION

We have established by comparing all the studies that anterior sites of perforations cause less hearing loss than posterior, central or marginal.

Édiale et al 2018 led a cross sectional study in Benin City of Nigeria. They tracked down that CHL had the most noteworthy commonness; 64.3% in right ear and 55.9% in left ear. Slight degree of CHL was found very common. Nonetheless, the

seriousness of hearing disability expanded with the size and furthermore vitiated with respect to the area of TM perforation<sup>17</sup>. A study conducted in Karachi, Pakistan by Hussain et al 2021 stated similar results. They resulted that a distinction of 5.5 decibels was noted among different TMPs of posterior and anterior area. Off course, posterior sites had greater HL<sup>30</sup>.

Darad et al 2017 concluded that it was seen that there is immediate connection among site of TMPs and HL. There was observed less hearing impairment in small TMPs. Posterior TMPs

had a more prominent HL than anterior TMPs and multi quadrants. This study was from Gujrat, India focusing different parameters of HL and TMPS and their correlation<sup>12</sup>. Another study by Alsarhan (2016) in Iraq assessed that there was an increase in hearing loss with the increase in the size of perforation. They also stated that TMP site was related to HL as we stated; their result was statistically significant with a P value 0.037 stating that TMPs in the posterior quadrants had greater HL than other quadrants<sup>31</sup>.

### CONCLUSION

We concluded thatanterior sites of perforations cause less hearing loss than posterior, central or marginal. Type of HL in all the studies was conductive.

Limitations: Further reviews can be conducted by including a wider range of years and including paid articles as well in the study. Not many articles in our review discussed central and marginal perforations.

Authors' Contribution: HMUB: Conceptualized the study and formulated the initial draft, AI: Drafting the manuscript, AH: Contributed to the analysis of data and proofread the draft Conflict of Interest: None to declare

Financial Disclosure: None

## REFERENCES

- Rana AK, Upadhyay D, Yadav A, Prasad S. Correlation of tympanic membrane perforation with hearing loss and its parameters in chronic otitis media: an analytical study. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2020 Jun;72(2):187-93.
- Zhang Y, Wang J, Wang Y, Fu Q, Li Y. Association Between the Air-Bone Gap and Vibration of the Tympanic Membrane After 2 Myringoplasty. Ear, Nose & Throat Journal. 2021 May;100(4):241-8.
- Pannu KK, Chadha S, Kumar D. Evaluation of hearing loss in tympanic 3. membrane perforation. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2011 Jul;63(3):208-13.
- Basheer HM, Rehman A, Waseem H, Zaib W. Evaluating the 4. causative factors that lead to rejection of hearing aids among young adults having moderate to severe degree sensorineural hearing loss. JFJMU [Internet]. 6Dec.2021 [cited 6Jan.2022];15(2):54-7.
- Brodie A, Smith B, Ray J. The impact of rehabilitation on quality of life 5. after hearing loss: a systematic review. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology. 2018 Oct;275(10):2435-40.
- 6. Mamo SK, Wheeler KA. The combined burden of hearing loss and cognitive impairment in a group care setting for older adults. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. 2021 Feb 17;64(2):328-
- 7. Gurunathan RK, Perry M. The Ear and Associated Structures: Part III. InDiseases and Injuries to the Head, Face and Neck 2021 (pp. 1589-1608). Springer, Cham.
- Littlefield PD, Brungart DS.Long-term sensorineural hearing loss in 8 patients with blast-induced tympanic membrane perforations.Ear and hearing. 2020 Jan 1;41(1):165-72.
- Remenschneider A, Polanik MD, Kozin ED. In office repair of tympanic 9. membrane perforations.Operative Techniques in Otolaryngology-Head
- and Neck Surgery. 2021 May 13. Nahata V, Patil CY, Patil RK, Gattani G, Disawal A, Roy A. Tympanic 10. membrane perforation: Its correlation with hearing loss and frequency

affected-An analytical study. Indian Journal of Otology. 2014 Jan 1;20(1):10

- 11. O'Connor KN, Cai H, Puria S. The effects of varying tympanicmembrane material properties on human middle-ear sound transmission in a three-dimensional finite-element model. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 2017 Nov 10;142(5):2836-53.
- Darad H, Sinha M. Comparative assessment of hearing in various tympanic membrane perforations in patients at Bhuj, Kutch Gujarat, India: a cross sectional study. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017 Apr:3(2):273-5.
- 13. Dawood MR. Frequency dependence hearing loss evaluation in perforated tympanic membrane. International archives of International archives otorhinolaryngology. 2017 Oct;21:336-42.
- 14 Dessai TD, Philip R. Influence of tympanic membrane perforation on hearing loss.Global J Otolaryngol. 2017;5(5):1-4.
- Gaur S, Sinha ON, Bhushan A, Batni G. Observations on tympanic 15. membrane perforations (safe type) and hearing loss. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2017 Mar 1;69(1):29-34.
- Carpenter DJ, Tucci DL, Kaylie DM, Frank-Ito DO. The anatomic 16. determinants of conductive hearing loss secondary to tympanic membrane perforation. Journal of otology. 2017 Sep 1;12(3):125-31.
- 17. Ediale J, Adobamen PR, Ibekwe TS.Audiometric assessment of adolescents and adults with tympanic membrane perforation in Benin City.Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018 Jul;4(4):901-6.
- Dudda R, Rangaiah ST, Prasad MH, Balaji NK. Correlation between 18. degree of hearing loss and intraoperative findings in tubotympanic type of chronic suppurative otitis media.Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018 Mar;4:537-41.
- Herkal K, Ramasamy K, Saxena SK, Ganesan S, Alexander A. 19 Hearing loss in tympanic membrane perforations: an analytic study. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018 Sep;4(5):1233-9.
- Upadhyay A, Sachdeva K. A Study of Correlation between Shape, Site 20. and Size of Tympamic Membrane Perforation and Its Effect on Hearing.
- Sood AS, Pal P, Kumar A. Tympanic membrane perforation: 21. correlation of hearing loss with its site and size. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018 Mar;4(2):397-402.
- 22. Ali AH, Alshareda IM.Relationship between tympanic membrane perforation and conductive hearing loss in patients with chronic otitis media.International Journal of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery. 2018 Jan;4(1):11.
- Choffor-Nchinda E, Djomou F, Biouele RM, Mindja D, Bola A, Kewe I, 23. Vokwely JE, Njock R. Determinants of hearing loss severity in tympanic membrane perforations in a sub-Saharan African setting. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 2018 Nov;132(11):1013-7.
- Atiyah RJ, Abdulsayed MN, Albbadri SA. Tympanic Membrane Perforations Site affect the Degree of Hearing Difficulty. University of Thi-Qar Journal Of Medicine. 2018;16(2):163-71.
- Ivaturi PB. Does the anatomical location, size of tympanic membrane 25. perforation effects the degree and frequencies of hearing loss?
- Virk RS, Kudawla K, Bansal S, Rathod R, Behera S. Correlation of site 26. and size of tympanic membrane perforation and middle ear air space volume with magnitude of hearing loss. Annals of Otology and Neurotology. 2019 Mar;2(01):10-5.
- Anil HT, Byahatti N. Relation of site and size of Tympanic membrane 27. perforation on Hearing loss with help of PTA. Small (involving 1 guadrant). 2020 Jan 15;10:12-9.
- Gupta S, Harshvardhan R, Samdani S. To Study the Association of the 28. Size and Site of Tympanic Membrane Perforation with the Degree of Hearing Loss.Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2019 Nov;71(2):1047-52.
- Aneesa M, Siraj S, Ali A. Correlation of tympanic membrane 29. hearing loss. International Journal perforations with and Head and Neck Surgery. 2019 Otorhinolaryngology Sep;5(5):1213.
- 30. Hussain M, Wasif M, Awan MS, Khalid S, Sheikh Z, Iftikhar H. Use of endoscope in teaching of otolaryngology residents about site and size of tympanic membrane perforation and its impact on degree of hearing loss in adult patients: A cross sectional study. JPMA.The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2021;71(1 (Suppl 1)):S14.
- 31. Alsarhan HW, Dawood MR, Khammas AH, Hamad AK.Assessment of hearing loss in tympanic membrane perforation.Advanced Arab Academy of Audio-Vestibulogy Journal. 2016 Jan 1;3(1):16.