

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparison of Ultrasound-Guided Ankle Block Versus Anatomical Landmark-Guided Ankle Block in Ankle and Foot Surgery Under Regional Anesthesia

MUHAMMAD JAVED KHAN¹, ABDULLAH BABAR², IMRAN UL HAQ³, ROHEENA WADUD⁴, KHAYYAM FARID⁵, ABDUL WAHEED⁶

¹Assistant Professor, Khyber Teaching Hospital/KMC, Peshawar

²Training Medical Officer Anaesthesiology, Khyber Teaching Hospital

³Assistant Professor Anaesthesia / Surgical ICU, Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar

⁴Associate Professor, Anaesthesia Department, Lady Reading Hospital/ MTI, Peshawar.

⁵Training Medical officer (TMO), Anaesthesiology, Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar.

⁶Associate Professor in Anaesthesia, Anesthesia department, Akhtar Saeed Medical and Dental College, Lahore.

Correspondence to: Roheena Wadud, Email: rohenawadud6@gmail.com, Cell: 0333-9239215

ABSTRACT

Background: As more patients undergo foot and ankle surgery, regional anesthesia's significance in postoperative treatment has grown. Anesthesiologists and pain specialists have adopted regional anesthesia in large numbers. Numerous techniques, such as nerve stimulation, anatomical markers, and ultrasonography, have been used to block the saphenous nerve.

Objective: The present study aimed to assess the traditional anatomic landmark-guided approaches in lower limb surgery performed under regional anesthesia with ultrasound-guided ankle blocks in surgical anesthetic methods.

Method: This randomized control study is carried out at the hospital. A total of 60 participants with scheduled foot and ankle surgery were randomly divided into two study groups (each n=30), Ultrasound-guided ankle block (USG) and anatomical landmark-guided ankle block (ALG).

Results: Recruited participants had a mean age of 50.2± 14.02, with 39 (65%) male and 21 (35%) female. A total of 49 patients (82%) were able to undergo anesthesia successfully, with 26 (86.60%) of those patients belonging to the USG block group and 23 (76.60%) of those patients belonging to the ALG block group undergoing anesthesia successfully (p-value = >0.999).

Practical implication: This study will help to comprehend either ultrasound-guided ankle block or anatomical landmark-guided ankle block in foot surgeries give better results.

Conclusion: The results of this research indicate that the success rates of the two procedures are statistically insignificantly different; however, the USG ankle block for surgical anesthesia performed under regional anesthetic had a greater success rate than the anatomic landmark-guided technique.

Keywords: Foot surgery, USG ankle block, anesthesia, ALG Block, postoperative treatment

INTRODUCTION

The administration of the proper analgesia is essential for early discharge since foot surgery typically results in postoperative pain and is frequently performed in the daycare environment. Day-case procedures benefit greatly from regional anesthesia because it provides excellent analgesia following surgery¹. The saphenous nerve is the femoral nerve's last sensory branch. It provides innervations to the skin that covers the lower leg's medial, anteromedial, and posteromedial regions. This innervation runs from the medial malleolus level to the cephalad portion of the knee. When a regional approach is preferred, saphenous nerve blockade is required for operations involving the medial side of the foot or ankle.² Ultrasonography, nerve stimulation, landmarks, and other techniques have all been investigated as potential saphenous nerve-blocking methods³.

Recent ultrasound methods have never been contrasted with commonly employed non-ultrasound therapies. Ankle blocks can provide persistent postoperative analgesia and encourage early mobilization, although popliteal sciatic blocks, metatarsal blocks, ankle blocks, and combinations of these techniques are also acceptable regional anesthetic therapies. Historically, nerve landmark identification has been used for ankle blocks⁴. Inconsistencies exist in the research examining the effectiveness and performance of ankle blocks. Comparing the USG approach to the traditional strategy may increase block success, especially in the hands of less experienced surgeons. In still photographs, it is challenging to distinguish the small nerves that surround the ankle. Since one can easily follow their progress thanks to real-time imagery, one can easily determine their location and boundaries⁵. The research discovered that in lower limb surgery, excellent surgical anesthesia occurred more frequently in the USG group (84 percent versus 66 percent, p 0.001) than in the ALG ankle block group. According to the research, the USG ankle block has been proven to be more successful than the traditional method of ankle blocking for surgical anesthesia⁶. The conventional approach is still used since there is a shortage of local data and prior studies

demonstrating that the USG ankle block is more efficient⁷. The present study aimed to assess the traditional anatomic landmark-guided approaches in lower limb surgery performed under regional anesthesia with ultrasound-guided ankle blocks in surgical anesthetic methods.

METHODOLOGY

Participants Recruitment: This randomized control study was conducted after the hospital's ethical committee's approval. A total of 60 patients scheduled to undergo foot and ankle surgery were recruited for the present study after the duly filled informed consent. The recruited participants between ages 25-75 years and of both genders were randomly divided into two groups, Ultrasound-guided (USG) ankle block (n=30) and Anatomical landmark-guided (ALG) ankle block (n=30). Participants with neurological disorders and chronic diseases like hypertension, diabetes and heart problems were excluded from the study.

Clinical procedure Data collection: A linear transducer ultrasound machine (8–18 MHz) was utilized. The deep peroneal nerve, superficial peroneal nerve, tibial nerve, sural nerve, and saphenous nerve were the five nerves that the needle tip was positioned next to, and it deposits local anesthetic until the spread around each nerve was completed. Age and gender-related demographic data were through the questionnaire. Participants in the USG group received an ultrasound-guided ankle block, whereas the ALG group received an anatomical landmark-guided ankle block. Then the participants were monitored for 15 minutes to ensure that the anesthetic had been successfully injected at the operation site. The body's feeling at the surgery site was evaluated using the pin pricking technique. The term "successful surgical anesthesia" was used if there was no feeling at the operative site.

Statistical analysis: SPSS version 26 was used to compare the results between USG and ALG study groups. P-value ≤0.005 was considered significant. Demographic data of the recruited participants were presented as Mean±S.D using Microsoft Excel (2016).

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the present study's recruited participants are shown in Table 1. The present study comprises 39 out of 60 male participants, whereas 21 were female, with a mean age of 50.2 years and a mean BMI of 22.55. Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics of the randomly distributed participants in the USG and ALG study groups. The age Mean±S.D of the participants in USG and ALG was 51.46±14.93 and 48.93±13.17, respectively. The BMI Mean±S.D of the participants in USG and ALG was 22.59±1.89 and 22.51±1.64, respectively. Figure 1 and 2 shows no significant variation between the age and BMI of the participants between the USG and ALG study groups. According to the study's findings (Figure 3), effective anesthesia was experienced in 49 participants (82%), while failed anesthesia was reported in 11 participants (18%). The study's findings indicated (Table 3) that both 23 (76.60%) participants in the ALG block group and 26 (86.60%) participants in the USG block group had effective anesthesia. Statistics showed that this difference was not significant. that is, p-value=>0.9999. Participants under 50 (Table 4): In the USG group, 14 patients (100%) were successful in their anesthesia, compared to 13 (72.20%) in the ALG block group. Similar results were seen in participants above 50: In the USG block group, 12 participants (75%) had effective anesthesia, while in the ALG block group, 10 participants (83.30%) underwent successful anesthesia. Male

participants (Table 5): In the USG group, effective anesthesia was obtained in 16 (88.88%) participants, while in the ALG group, successful anesthesia was achieved in 17 (81%) participants. Similar results were shown in female participants: In the USG group, 10 (83.3%) patients achieved effective anesthesia, and in the ALG block group, 6 (66.7%) achieved successful anesthesia. The study groups and the effective anesthesia of the patients, stratified by BMI, were shown to vary statistically insignificantly (Table 6).

Table 1: demographic characteristics of study participants

Gender	
Male	39(65%)
Female	21(35%)
Age	
Mean	50.2
S. D	14.02
Median	48.5
Min	27
Max	75
BMI	
Mean	22.55167
S. D	1.76121
Median	23
Min	18.5
Max	25

Table 2: Demographic characteristics of the participants in study groups

USG Group												
Gender		Age					BMI					
Male	Female	Mean	S. D	Median	Min	Max	Mean	S. D	Median	Min	Max	
18(60%)	12(40%)	51.46	14.93	56	27	75	22.59	1.89	23	18.5	25	
ALG group												
Gender		Age					BMI					
Male	Female	Mean	S. D	Median	Min	Max	Mean	S. D	Median	Min	Max	
21(70%)	9(30%)	48.93	13.17	47.5	29	71	22.51	1.64	22.8	19	25	




Figure 1: Comparison of ages of the participants in the study groups. T-test was conducted to determine the level of significance. P value <0.005. The X-axis has study groups, while Y-axis has age (years).




Figure 2: Comparison of BMI of the participants in the study groups. T-test was conducted to determine the level of significance. P value <0.005. The X-axis has study groups, while Y-axis has BMI (Kg/m²).




Figure 3: Percentage of the participants with successful anesthesia and unsuccessful anesthesia

Table 3: Successful anesthesia achieved by the participants in study groups

		Study groups			P value
		USG Group	ALG Group	Total	
Successful anesthesia	yes	26 86.60%	23 76.60%	49 81.6%	>0.99 99
	No	4 13.40%	7 23.40%	11 18.4%	

Table 4: Successful anesthesia in the study group participants via age-wise distribution

Age	Successful anesthesia	USG	ALG	Total
<50	Yes	14 100%	13 72.20%	27 84.30%
	No	0 0%	5 27.80%	5 15.60%
>50	Yes	12 75%	10 83.30%	22 78.60%
	NO	4 25%	2 16.70%	6 21.40%

Table 5: Successful anesthesia in the study group participants via gender-wise distribution

Gender	Successful anesthesia	USG Group	ALG Group	Total
Male	Yes	16 88.88%	17 81%	33 84.6%
	No	2 11.11%	4 19%	6 15.4%
Female	Yes	10 83.3%	6 66.7%	16 76.1%
	No	2 16.7%	3 33.3%	5 23.9%

Table 6: Successful anesthesia in the study group participants via BMI-wise distribution

BMI	Successful anesthesia	USG Group	ALG Group	Total
18	Yes	1 100%	0 0	1 100%
	No	0 0	0 0	0 0
19	Yes	2 100%	1 100%	3 100%
	No	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
20	Yes	2 100%	1 33.3%	3 60%
	No	0 0%	2 66.7%	2 40%
21	Yes	1 33.3%	5 83.3	6 66.7%
	No	2 66.7%	1 16.7%	3 33.3%
22	Yes	4 80%	4 80%	8 80%
	No	1 20%	1 20%	2 80%
23	Yes	5 100%	4 80%	9 90%
	No	0 0%	1 80%	1 10%
24	Yes	6 100%	6 85.6%	12 92.3%
	No	0 0%	1 14.4%	1 7.7%
25	Yes	4 80%	1 50%	5 71.4%
	NO	1 20%	1 50%	2 28.6%

DISCUSSION

Before and after surgery, nerve blocks are often used to reduce pain. This kind of regional anesthesia is advised to provide a safer perioperative experience, pain control, and decreased postoperative opiate consumption^{8,9}. An ankle block may provide anesthesia and analgesia, which are necessary for foot surgery. In the USG-guided block group, 26 patients (86.60%) and the ALG group, 23 patients (76.6%), both had successful anesthesia (p-value = >0.9999).

A small volume (mean of 16 mL) USG-guided ankle block was reported to be inferior in analgesia in the first 24 hours postoperatively by Shah et al., despite block success being equal (89% vs. 80% for USG vs. ALG). Traditional USG ankle blocks, which employ amounts of 5-8 mL/nerve, may be safe to administer forever as a consequence¹⁰. The number of patients requiring any opioid analgesia in the PACU and patients whose pain was manageable at admission and upon discharge has not been shown to differ significantly between the USG and ALG groups, as has been established in several previous studies. There are therapeutic benefits to using USG to place a nerve block, as documented in several trials¹¹. Multiple studies have shown the efficacy of this approach in reducing patient complications and providing adequate pain control without resorting to narcotics.

Despite its high success rate (89-100%), the anatomical landmark strategy has a poor reputation due to its complexity and lack of trustworthiness¹². Miguez et al. used a randomized control trial to test how well their therapy worked. The author of a study on the quality of surgical anesthetics used in foot surgery patients was disappointed to discover no significant variations in block effectiveness or complications when comparing individuals¹³.

In the present research, 86% of patients who received USG anesthesia and 76% who received ALG anesthesia experienced successful sedation. However, the ALG method's success rate is 95%. Numerous factors influence whether an endeavour succeeds or fails. If the ankle block is used inappropriately, it might overestimate the anesthetic effect during surgery. It's also worth noting that the outcomes will vary widely unless the block approach is standardized across both groups.

In the first 24 hours after surgery, analgesia from a USG-guided ankle block with a relatively low volume (mean of 16 mL) was shown to be lower to that from an ALG-guided ankle block (89.1% vs. 80.9%, respectively), despite both blocks being equally effective. In light of this, it is feasible that the standard USG ankle block volumes of 5-8 mL/nerve may be utilized forever¹⁴. Numerous studies have demonstrated that there is no statistically significant difference between the USG and ALG groups in terms of pain levels on admission to the PACU or at discharge, or in terms of the proportion of patients who get any opioid analgesia at all while in the PACU. Use of ultrasound guidance (USG) to position a nerve block has been demonstrated to have therapeutic benefits in a number of trials. Multiple studies have shown that this approach mitigates patient issues and effectively manages pain without the use of narcotics. Although the anatomical landmark strategy has a high success rate (89-100%), it is often dismissed as cumbersome and unreliable¹⁵.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study observed successful anesthesia in 26 participants of the USG group and 23 participants of the ALG group. However, a great variation in the percentage of effective anesthesia was observed in the study group, but the results were not significantly different (P=>0.999). Future studies should be carried out in a multicenter environment to reduce bias in this study's results since anesthetic expertise is essential to the effectiveness of both procedures.

REFERENCES

1. Purushothaman, L., A.G. Allan, and N. Bedforth, Ultrasound-guided ankle block. Continuing Education in Anaesthesia, Critical Care and Pain, 2013. 13(5): p. 174-178.
2. Blumenthal, S., et al., Additional femoral catheter in combination with popliteal catheter for analgesia after major ankle surgery. British journal of anaesthesia, 2011. 106(3): p. 387-393.
3. Saranteas, T., et al., Anatomy and clinical implications of the ultrasound-guided subsartorial saphenous nerve block. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine, 2011. 36(4): p. 399-402-399-402.
4. Kirkpatrick, J.D., B.D. Sites, and J.G. Antonakakis, Preliminary experience with a new approach to performing an ultrasound-guided saphenous nerve block in the mid to proximal femur. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, 2010. 35(2): p. 222-223-222-223.
5. Flack, S. and C. Anderson, Ultrasound guided lower extremity blocks. Pediatric Anesthesia, 2012. 22(1): p. 72-80.
6. Kent, M.L., et al., A comparison of ultrasound-guided and landmark-based approaches to saphenous nerve blockade: a prospective, controlled, blinded, crossover trial. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 2013. 117(1): p. 265-270.
7. ANJUM, M.N., et al., Comparison of Ultrasound Guided Ankle Block versus Anatomical Landmark Guided Ankle Block in Minor Ankle and Foot Surgeries.
8. Xu, J., et al., Peripheral nerve blocks for postoperative pain after major knee surgery. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2014(12).
9. Edinoff, A.N., et al., Novel Regional Nerve Blocks in Clinical Practice: Evolving Techniques for Pain Management. Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, 2021. 11(4).

10. Shah, A., et al., Landmark technique vs ultrasound-guided approach for posterior tibial nerve block in cadaver models. *Indian Journal of Orthopaedics*, 2020. 54(1): p. 38-42.
11. Redborg, K.E., et al., Ultrasound improves the success rate of a tibial nerve block at the ankle. *Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine*, 2009. 34(3): p. 256-260-256-260.
12. Rudkin, G. and T. Micallef, Impediments to the use of ankle block in Australia. *Anaesthesia and intensive care*, 2004. 32(3): p. 368-371.
13. Rudkin, G.E., A.K. Rudkin, and G.C. Dracopoulos, Ankle block success rate: a prospective analysis of 1,000 patients. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, 2005. 52(2): p. 209-210.
14. Redborg KE, Antonakakis JG, Beach ML, Chinn CD, Sites BD. Ultrasound improves the success rate of a tibial nerve block at the ankle. *Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine*. 2009 Apr 1;34(3):256-60.
15. Rudkin GE, Micallef TA. Impediments to the use of ankle block in Australia. *Anaesthesia and intensive care*. 2004 Jun;32(3):368-71.