ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Safety and Efficacy of Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in Paediatric age Patients: Paving the Way Towards Minimally Invasive Techniques in **Resources Limited Areas**

TARIQ AHMAD¹, MUHAMMAD ASIF², FARMAN ULLAH KHAN³, MUHAMMAD ASIF AMMAR⁴, ASIF KHAN⁵, NASRUM MINALLAH⁶ Assistant Professor, Paediatric Urology, Institute of Kidney Diseases, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar

²Consultant Urologist, DHQ Hospital, Mardan

³Medical Officer Urology, Timergara Teaching Hospital, Timergara

Assistant Professor Urology, CMH Kharian Medical College, Kharian Cantt

⁵Senior Registrar Urology, Saidu Group of Teaching Hospital, Swat
⁶Registrar, Department of Urology and Renal Transplant Institute of Kidney Diseases, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar Corresponding author: Nasrum Minallah, Email: drnasrumminallah@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the safety and effectiveness of mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) in paediatric age patients. Materials and Methods: This descriptive case series study was conducted in the Department of Paediatric Urology, Institute of Kidney Diseases, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, Pakistan from January 2020 to April 2022. Children < 14 years, diagnosed with renal stone > 1cm in size on non-contrast CT of Kidney Ureter and Urinary Bladder (KUB) and having negative urine culture were enrolled in the study. Patients having abnormal renal functions and bleeding diathesis were omitted from the study. Informed written consent was taken from the parents of all the children. Children with no stone fragments in the kidney or ipsilateral ureter on non-contrast CT KUB at one month were labelled as stone free.

Results: A total of 213 children who underwent mini-PCNL were analyzed. 130 (61.03%) of the stones were 10-15 mm and 83 (38.97%) were > 15 mm in size. The mean operation time was 56.02 + 7.82 (40-81) minutes . The mean hospital stay was 2.22 + 0.67 (2-7) days. The mean decrease in haemoglobin was 1.30 + 0.67 (0.2-4.0) gm/dL. No major intraoperative complication was observed. 24 (11.27%) of the patients developed post-operative complications including 10.33 % minor and 0.94 % major complications which were statistically insignificant. As a monotherapy mini-PCNL achieved complete stone clearance at one month in 191 (89.67%) of the patients. Retreatment was required in 22 (10.33%) of the patients including extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) in 7 (3.29%), ureteroscopy (URS) in 10 (4.69%) and Re-PCNL in 5 (2.35%) patients.

Conclusion: This study concludes that mini-PCNL in a paediatric population is safe and effective for renal stones > 10 mm with acceptable stone clearance and complications.

Keywords: Kidney calculi, Pediatrics, Percutaneous, Children, Urolithiasis

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of urolithiasis is increasing in both developed and under developed countries, affecting both children and adult population with recurrence rates as high as $50\%1^{.2.3}$. With such high incidence, recurrence and serious complications like renal failure (30%) and hydronephrosis (10%), early effective and minimally invasive diagnosis and management of renal stones are mandatory^{4,5}. Ultrasound is the first-line diagnostic study which allows visualization of urinary stones, signs of hydronephrosis and increased renal echogenicity⁴

Minimally invasive procedures like ESWL, URS and PCNL can effectively treat most of the renal stones in paediatric population^{6,7,8}. However, PCNL remains main therapeutic modality in paediatric patients with large renal stones (> 1.5 cm), lower pole stones >1 cm, anatomical abnormality impairing stone clearance, or known cystine or infection stones^{7,9}

Due to small kidney size and higher mobility, mini-PCNL is challenging task to perform in children keeping high level of safety and efficacy. In the intended study paediatric age patients who underwent mini-PCNL were prospectively assessed with the aim to assess the outcomes of mini-PCNL in paediatric population in terms of stone free rate and complication rate.

Objective: To evaluate safety and effectiveness of mini-PCNL in pediatric age patients

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This descriptive case series study was conducted in the Department of Paediatric Urology, Institute of Kidney Diseases, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar Pakistan from January 2020 to April 2022 after obtaining approval from hospital ethical committee. Children < 14 years, diagnosed with renal stone > 1cm in size on non-contrast CT KUB and having negative urine culture were enrolled in the study. Patients having abnormal renal functions and bleeding diathesis were omitted from the study. Informed written consent was taken from the parents of the children. Children with no stone fragments in the kidney or

ipsilateral ureter on non-contrast CT KUB at one month were labelled as stone free and declared as procedure success. The presence of residual fragments of any size in the kidney at one month was defined as failure of the procedure.

Procedure: All the subjects were admitted to the unit one day prior to PCNL procedure. Baseline investigations including clotting profile were performed. All children underwent mini-PCNL under general anaesthesia (GA) in prone position. Cystourethroscopy was performed and ureteric catheter 3 Fr passed in lithotomy position. Position of the patient was changed into prone. Pelvicalyceal system (PCS) was opacified by retrograde administration of contrast (urograffin). PCNL needle (18G) was used for PCS puncture under C-arm guidance using Bull's Eye technique. The glide wire (0.035 inch) was passed through the needle into PCS and ureter. Dilatation of the tract was done with single step dilatore and secured with 12 or 14 Fr access sheath. 10 Fr paediatric nephroscope was then introduced to reach the stone under direct vision through the access sheath. Stone fragmentation was done with Swiss Pneumatic lithoclast (2 mm probe). Fragments were extracted using two prongs forceps. Tubeless procedure was defined as procedure in which only DJ-Stent was passed without placing a nephrostomy tube whereas totally tubeless procedure was defined as procedure in which neither DJS nor nephrostomy was placed. CT KUB was performed at one month post-operatively to look for any residual stone fragments in the kidney or ipsilateral ureter. DJ-Stents were removed four weeks after the procedure under GA.

RESULTS

Table-1 shows patient and stone characteristics. 213 children were enrolled in the study. 139 (65.26%) were male and 74 (34.74%) were female. 130 (61.03%) of the stones were 10-15mm in size and 83 (38.97%) of the stones were > 15 mm in size. 88 (41.31%) of the stones were on the right side and 125 (58.69%) of the stones were located on the left side. Majority of the stones 177 (83.10%) were located in the renal pelvis. The remaining stones

were either located in the upper/middle pole 4 (1.88%) or lower pole 23 (10.80%). 9 (4.22%) stones were staghorn stones. 25 (11.74%) had grade 0 hydronephrosis (HDN), 49 (23.00%) had grade 1, 116 (54.46%) had grade 2 and 23 (10.80%) had grade 3 HDN.

perioperative Table-2 shows and postoperative characteristics of patients. The mean operation time was 56.02 + 7.82 (40-81) minutes .The mean hospital stay was 2.22 + 0.67 (2-7) days. The mean decrease in haemoglobin was 1.30 + 0.67 (0.2 to 4.0) g/dL. Supracostal access was obtained in 127 (56.62%) and subcostal access was obtained in 86 (40.38%) of the cases. Single puncture was required in majority of the cases 201/213 (94.4 %) to achieve maximum stone clearance although multiple punctures were required in 12/213 (5.6 %) of the cases. In 195 (91.55%) of the patients DJS was inserted at the completion of the procedure and in 18 (8.45%) neither nephrostomy nor DJS was placed. No major intraoperative complication was observed. 24 (11.27%) of the patients developed post-operative complications including postop fever in 8 (3.76%), transient hematuria in 11 (5.16%). 4 of which needed blood transfusion. UTL in 3 (1.41%) and urosepsis in 2 (0.94%) of the patients.

As a monotherapy mini PCNL achieved complete stone clearance at one month in 191 (89.67%) of the patients. Additional therapy was required in 22 (10.33%) of the patients which included ESWL in 7 (3.29%), URS in 10 (4.69%) and Re-PCNL in 5 (2.35%) of the cases. 187 (87.79%) of the stones were CaOx.CaP, 4 (1.88%) were uric acid, 8 (3.76%) were Cystine, 12 (5.63%) were struvite stones and in 2 (0.94%) cases stone composition was unknown.

Characteristic	Result
Gender	
Male	139(65.26%)
Female	74(34.74%)
Stone Size (mm)	
10 to 15 mm	130 (61.03%)
> 15 mm	83 (38.97%)
Stone Laterality	
Right	88 (41.31%)
Left	125 (58.69%)
Stone Location	
Renal Pelvis	177 (83.10%)
Upper/Middle Pole	4 (1.88%)
Lower Pole	23 (10.80%)
Staghorn	9 (4.22%)
Grade of HDN	
Grade 0	25 (11.74%)
Grade 1	49 (23.00%)
Grade 2	116 (54.46%)
Grade 3	23 (10.80%)

Table 2: Perioperative and postoperative characteristics of patients	
--	--

Table 2. Perioperative and postoperative characteristics of patients		
Characteristic	Result	
Operative Time (minutes)	56.02 + 7.82	
Hospital Stay (days)	2.22 + 0.67	
Puncture Location		
Supracostal	127 (56.62%)	
Subcostal	86 (40.38%)	
Puncture Number		
Single	201 (94.37%)	
Multiple	12 (5.63%)	
Exit Strategy		
Totally Tubeless	18 (8.45%)	
Tubeless	195 (91.55%)	
Drop in Haemoglobin (g/dl)	1.30 + 0.67	
Stone Free Rate	191 (89.67%)	
Re-treatment Rate	22 (10.33%)	
ESWL	7 (3.29%)	
URS	10 (4.69%)	
Re-PCNL	5 (2.35%)	
Complications		
Intraoperative Complications	None	
Postoperative Complications	24 (11.27%)	

Clavien Grade I	1
	0 (0 700()
Postop Fever	8 (3.76%)
Transient Hematuria	11 (5.16%)
Clavien Grade II	
UTI	3 (1.41%)
Clavien Grade III	-
Clavien Grade IV	
Urosepsis	2 (0.94%)
Stone Composition	
CaOx.CaP	187 (87.79%)
Uric acid	4 (1.88%)
Cystine	8 (3.76%)
Struvite	12 (5.63%)
Unknown	2 (0.94%)

DISCUSSION

Paediatric kidney stones are big public health problem in developing countries as the incidence is rising and may reach up to 30%¹⁰. Moreover, paediatric renal stones should be evaluated thoroughly as they may recur later in the life and may cause serious complications primarily due to underlying anatomical defects and metabolic abnormalities. Furthermore, stone clearance rates are also important in paediatric population as even the residual stone fragments of 4-5 mm in size, which are considered clinically insignificant in adults, may become symptomatic in pediatric population and require therapeutic intervention. Moreover, minimally invasive procedures should be opted to overcome the adverse effects and interference with renal development and function¹¹.

Although ESWL is considered non-invasive treatment for kidney calculi, other minimally invasive procedures like mini-PCNL and flexible URS are considered more effective and safe as residual stone fragments may not clear following ESWL. Even with flexible URS, similar problem of residual stone fragments retention occurs and need of stenting before the procedure arises although it is less invasive procedure than PCNL. Therefore, PCNL can be an effective alternative for larger stones > 1.5 cm in renal pelvis, > 1 cm stones in lower pole and when residual stone fragments cannot be cleared due to anatomical abnormality by ESWL or fURS modalities^{7,9}.

Mini-PCNL uses access sheath ranging from 14 to 20 Fr and is considered the safest and most effective minimally invasive technique compared to Micro-PCNL which is more time-consuming and requires highly selected indications¹². Standard PCNL causes more complications like post-operative fever, bleeding, transient hematuria and longer duration of hospitalization¹³.

In the current study the stone free rate (SFR) was 89.67% which was higher than the study of Baydilli et al. which was 80.6%¹⁴. Our SFR is comparable to Ahmad et al. which was 86.6%¹⁵. In contrast Nerli et al, reported 94.5% SFR which was much higher than our study¹⁶. In our study supracostal access was obtained in 127 (56.62%) and subcostal access in 86 (40.38%) of the patients. However site of puncture does not cause significant difference in terms of SFR and adverse effects as both supracostal access and subcostal access for paediatric renal stone have same results¹⁷.

Increased operating time, female gender, stone size and multiple punctures to gain access can lead to more bleeding1^{8,19}. In this study the mean operation time was 56.02 + 7.82 (40 to 81) minutes which was shorter than the study by Baydilli N et al. which was 77.5 (20-240) minutes [14] Our operation time was comparable to the study performed by Ahmad et al. which was 53 + 15 minutes [15]. However it is comparatively longer than the study conducted by El-Tabey et al. which was 46.6 + 6.3 minutes²⁰.

The mean hospital stay in our study was 2.22 + 0.67 (2 to 7) days. The mean hospital stay in the study conducted by Rehman et al. was 4.3 + 2.2 days which shows longer hospital stay than our study²¹. In Arthy et al. the mean hospital stay was 17.25 + 11.23 hours which is shorter than our study [22]. It was similar to hospital stay in study by Brodie et al. which was 2.24 days^{23} . In our study

the mean drop in haemoglobin was 1.30 + 0.67 (0.2 to 4.0) g/dL which is similar to that mentioned in literature^{24,25}.

In our study we did not observe any major intraoperative complication. Post-operative complication rate was 11.27% including postop fever in 8 (3.76%), hematuria in 11 (5.16%), 4 (1.88%) of which needed blood transfusion, UTI in 3 (1.41%) and urosepsis in 2 (0.94%) of the patients which were treated with long term intravenous antibiotics. Our overall complication rate is similar to Baydilli et al. which is 12.9%¹⁴ and much better than Ansari et al. which is 49.1%¹⁷. Arthy et al. showed a complication rate of 8.7%, lesser than our study including urosepsis in 2.9% and hematuria in 5.7% of the patients which did not require any blood transfusion²². This reduce rate of complication may be due to their small sample size (70) in comparison to our study (213).

Tubeless PCNL is associated with reduced urinary leakage, post-operative pain, need of analgesia, short duration of hospital stay and quicker recovery in comparison to standard $PCNL^{26}$. In the current study DJS was placed in 195 (91.55%) of the patients and in 18 (8.45%) no tube was placed.

In the current study re-treatment rate was 10.33%, which included ESWL in 7 (3.29%), URS in 10 (4.69%) and Re-PCNL in 5 (2.35%) of the cases. However all patients were stone free after additional therapy. Bilen et al. reported a re-treatment rate of 6.52%, lower than our study which may be due to use of adult size instruments in their study²⁷. However re-treatment rate in our study is lower than the study by Nouralizadeh et al. i.e 20.84 $\%^{28}$.

Stone analysis showed CaOx.CaP in 87.79% of the patients, followed by struvite (5.63%), cystine (3.76%) and uric acid (1.88%). In 0.94 % the composition was not known.

Limitations: The limitation of the study may be the conversion of mini PCNL to conventional PCNL in very large stones due to bleeding in the pelvicalyceal system which further make the vision blurred.

CONCLUSIONS

This study concludes that mini-PCNL in a paediatric population using access sheath of 12 or 14 Fr is safe and effective for renal stones > 10 mm with high stone clearance rate and acceptable complications.

Conflict of Interest: None Financial Disclosure: None

REFERENCES

- Issler N, Dufek S, Kleta R, Bockenhauer D, Smeulders N, van't Hoff W. Epidemiology of paediatric renal stone disease: a 22-year single centre experience in the UK. BMC Nephrol. 2017 Dec;18(1):1-8.
- Rizvi SA, Sultan S, Zafar MN, Ahmed B, Umer SA, Naqvi SA. Paediatric urolithiasis in emerging economies. Int J Sur. (2016) 36:705-12.
- Choong S, Whitfield H, Duffy P, Kellett M, Cuckow P, Van't Hoff W et al. The management of paediatric urolithiasis. BJU Int. 2000 Nov;86(7):857-60.
- Grivas N, Thomas K, Drake T, Donaldson J, Neisius A, Petřík A et al. Imaging modalities and treatment of paediatric upper tract urolithiasis: A systematic review and update on behalf of the EAU urolithiasis guidelines panel. J Pediatr Urol. 2020 Jul 4.
- Van Batavia JP, Tasian GE. Clinical effectiveness in the diagnosis and acute management of pediatric nephrolithiasis. Int. J Surg. 2016 Dec 1;36:698-704.
- Silay MS, Ellison JS, Tailly T, Caione P. Update on urinary stones in children: current and future concepts in surgical treatment and shockwave lithotripsy. Eur Urol Focus. 2017 Apr 1;3(2-3):164-71.
- Sultan S, Aba Umer S, Ahmed B, Naqvi SA, Rizvi SA. Update on surgical management of pediatric urolithiasis. Front Pediatr. 2019 Jul 3;7:252.
- Türk C, Petřík A, Sarica K, Seitz C, Skolarikos A, Straub M et al. EAU guidelines on interventional treatment for urolithiasis. Eur Urol. 2016 Mar 1;69(3):475-82.

- Lu P, Song R, Yu Y, Yang J, Qi K, Tao R et al. Clinical efficacy of percutaneous nephrolithotomy versus retrograde intrarenal surgery for pediatric kidney urolithiasis: a PRISMA-compliant article. Med. 2017 Oct;96(43).
- He Q, Xiao K, Chen Y, Liao B, Li H, Wang K. Which is the best treatment of pediatric upper urinary tract stones among extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy, percutaneous nephrolithotomy and retrograde intrarenal surgery: a systematic review. BMC Urol. 2019 Dec;19(1):1-6.
- Caione P, Collura G, Innocenzi M, De Dominicis M, Nappo SG, Capozza N. Percutaneous endoscopic treatment for urinary stones in pediatric patients: where we are now. Transl Pediatr. 2016 Oct;5(4):266.
- Zanetti SP, Talso M, Palmisano F, Longo F, Gallioli A, Fontana M et al. Comparison among the available stone treatment techniques from the first European Association of Urology Section of Urolithiasis (EULIS) Survey: do we have a queen?. PLoS one. 2018 Nov 2;13(11):e0205159.
- Mahmood SN, Aziz BO, Tawfeeq HM, Fakhralddin SS. Mini-versus standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy for treatment of pediatric renal stones: is smaller enough?. J Pediatr Urol. 2019 Dec 1;15(6):664-e1.
- Baydilli N, Tosun H, Akınsal EC, Gölbaşı A, Yel S, Demirci D. Effectiveness and complications of mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy in children: one center experience with 232 kidney units. Turk J Urol. 2020 Jan;46(1):69.
- Ahmad T, Izhar M, Naeem M, Khan Ra, Khan I. Mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy through upper calyceal puncture in paediatric age patients; a single centre experience. J Postgrad Med Inst. 2020 Jul 1;34(3).
- 16. Nerli RB, Ghagane SC, Mungarwadi A, Patil S. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in children. Pediatr Surg Int. 2021 Apr 15:1-7.
- Ansari MS, Syal S, Madhavan K, Srivastava A, Soni R, Yadav P. Efficacy and Safety of Supracostal Access for mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy in pediatric patients. Urol. 2020 Mar 1;137:152-6.
- Ullah S, Ali S, Karimi S, Farooque U, Hussain M, Qureshi F et al. Frequency of blood transfusion in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Cureus. 2020 Oct;12(10).
- Onal B, Dogan HS, Satar N, Bilen CY, Gunes A, Ozden E, et al. Factors affecting complication rates of percutaneous nephrolithotomy in children: results of a multi-institutional retrospective analysis by the Turkish Pediatric Urology Society. J Urol 2014;191:777-82.
- El-Tabey MA, Abd-Allah OA, Ahmed AS, El-Barky EM, Noureldin YA. Preliminary study of percutaneous nephrolithotomy on an ambulatory basis. Curr Urol. 2013;7(3):117-21.
- Rehman OF, Khan A, Harvey H, Umair M, Murtaza B, Nawaz M et al. Mini PCNL: a viable single stage treatment for pediatric nephrolthiasis in resource limited countries. J Pediatr Urol. 2020 Dec 30.
- Aarthy P, Thangarasu M, Prakash JS, Raghavan D, Jain N, Balakrishnan A et al. Safety and efficacy of mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy as daycare procedure: a prospective observational study. Afr J Urol. 2021 Dec;27(1):1-6.
- Brodie KE, Lane VA, Lee TW, Roberts JP, Raghavan A, Hughes D et al. Outcomes following 'mini'percutaneous nephrolithotomy for renal calculi in children. a single-centre study. J Pediatr Urol. 2015 Jun 1;11(3):120-e1.
- Adhikari MB, Karna S, Adhikari K, Baidya JL. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in paediatric population: a single center experience. J Nep Health Res Counc. 2020 Sep 7;18(2):205-9.
- Haberal HB, Dogan HS, Citamak B, Hazir B, Altan M, Bilen CY et al. Outcomes of percutaneous nephrolithotomy in preschool age group: a single-center study. J Endourol. 2020 Oct 1;34(10):1001-7.
- Yadav P, Madhavan K, Syal S, Farooq A, Srivastava A, Ansari MS. Technique, complications, and outcomes of pediatric urolithiasis management at a tertiary care hospital: evolving paradigms over the last 15 years. J Pediatr Urol. 2019 Dec 1;15(6):665-e1.
- Bilen CY, Koçak B, Kıtırcı G, Özkaya O, Sarıkaya Ş. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy in children: lessons learned in 5 years at a single institution. J Urol. 2007 May;177(5):1867-71.
- Nouralizadeh A, Basiri A, Javaherforooshzadeh A, Soltani MH, Tajali F. Experience of percutaneous nephrolithotomy using adult-size instruments in children less than 5 years old. J Pediatr Urol. 2009 Oct 1;5(5):351-4.