Assessment of Root Anatomy and Variations of Third Mandibular Molars in the Population of Peshawar using Cone Beam Computed Tomography

ASMA AMIR¹, IMRAN QURESHI², AMBEREEN HUMAYUN³, FAROOQ KHAN⁴, IMRAN KHATTAK⁵, YUSRA JAMIL KHATTAK⁶

¹Lecturer, ²Professor, ³Assistant Professor, ⁴Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, ⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Biology, Peshawar Dental

College, Prime Foundation, Riphah International University, Islamabad

⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Biology, Rashid Latif Dental College, Lahore Correspondence to: Asma Amir, EMail: asmakhanpmc@gmail.com, Cell: 0332-9979348

ABSTRACT

Background: Third molars have root canal structure that is frequently incredibly complicated and highly varied. Greater heterogeneity in root patterns and canal shape has been linked to mandibular third molars.

Aim: To assess the root and canal anatomy of mandibular third molar in the people of Peshawar using cone beam computed tomography.

Study Design: Retrospective study.

Place and Duration of Study: Khyber College of Dentistry and Rehman College of Dentistry, from 1st December 2020 to 31st January 2022.

Methodology: One hundred and ninety five CBCT scans of third mandibular molars were examined and the data in regards to their root number, canal number, Vertucci's classification, gender and age relation of morphology in mandibular third molars was statistically assessed.

Results: Greater number of 3rdmandibular molars had two roots (89.7%) and two canals (67.7%). Most mesial roots had Vertucci Type I (61%), distal root had type I canals as well (92.8%)

Conclusion: The current study concluded that mandibular third molars showed great variation in Root canal configuration. Majority had two roots and two canals per root.

Keywords: Canal configuration, Vertucci's classification, Mandibular third molars, Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT)

INTRODUCTION

Genetic variables affect the root morphology and anatomy of different species' root designs, so it's important to evaluate the root variances among populations.¹ The morphology of the tooth's outer layer is lost during the clearing technique, which is an in vitro approach to examine the 3-D anatomy of the pulpal morphology. In 1990s, Italian and Japanese groups created CBCT. This method aids in the three-dimensional visualization of root canal architecture.²

Third molar is a common tooth undergoing extraction due to reasons like caries, pericoronitis as well as gingival and periodontal disease.³ Recently third molars have been used in auto-transplantation process to replace first and second molar teeth that cannot be restored.⁴ Information in regards to anatomy and root number may be crucial for extractions and subsequent endodontic treatments in auto transplantation.⁵ Hence knowing the canal anatomy of 3rd molar is very beneficial for a good endodontic treatment as variations in root canal system are major challenge faced by dentist.1 Commonly 3rd mandibular molar may have 1-4 roots, with numbers of encased root canals varying from 1-6, still the number can vary according to different studies.^{6,7} According to a thorough assessment of the literature, no local studies employing the CBCT technique is carried on the root number, root canal number, or canal morphology in 3rd mandibular molar teeth in the population of Peshawar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective research was done using, CBCT scans of patients visiting Khyber College of Dentistry and Rehman College of Dentistry, Peshawar from 1st December 2020 to 31st January 2022. Consent was obtained from the teaching hospitals. The CBCT scans of 195 patients (field of view of 40mm x 40mm or 60mm x 60mm and lowest dose radiation. 10mA, 32 s scan time, 10.8 s exposure time, 5cm diameter-5 cm height scan volume, France) were then assessed with CS3D imaging software (NNT) in a HP laptop (HP Probook 450 G5) with a resolution of 1280 x 1024 pixels. The scans were amplified by adjusting brightness, grey scale and contrast by using image processing tool for good visualizing. The images were interpreted and evaluated for radiographic findings. Canal configuration types, root number and canal number were assessed by the use of NNT toolbar, rolling downward carefully through the scans from the chamber to the tip

of root at the axial slices. The scans were then rotated in full axis, their slices were taken in coronal, axial and sagittal planes. Good quality CBCT scans of completely formed root apices of 3rd mandibular molars with no periapical disease, no endodontic treatment and no calcification/resorption were selected.

The data of the examined scans were recorded and evaluated to determine the frequencies and percentages root numbers, root canal number and root canal morphology of mandibular third molars. The results were presented in the form of tables. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS-26 and data was assessed by applying Pearson Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. P <0.02 was considered significant.

RESULTS

There were 63.6% scans were of males and 36.4% scans were of females. Majority of the patient's age were between 25-32 years (57.94%). More than half of the mandibular third molar scans evaluated showed 2 canals (67.6%). 26.2% encased 3 canals; 1 and 4 canals were observed in 3.1% of scans respectively (Table 1).

Table 1: Frequency of number of root canals in third molars (n=195)

No. of Root Canals	No.	%
1	6	3.1
2	132	67.6
3	51	26.2
4	6	3.1

It was observed that only 9 were single rooted molars; 186 had two roots (mesial root and distal 186 each) and only 11 had distoangular root configuration. Only 5(2.6%) MnTM showed Type I (1-1) configuration in single rooted third molars; 119(61%) showed Type I (1-1) configuration in mesial roots. A majority 181 (92.8%) showed Type I (1-1) configuration Distal root and 11(5.6%) showed Type I (1-1) configuration in distolingual root. Moreover only 1(0.5%) Type II (2-1) configuration in distolingual root. Moreover only 1(0.5%) Type II (2-1) configuration was observed among single rooted third molars whereas a minority 4(2.1%) showed Type II (2-21) configuration in single rooted third molars as well as nearly a quarter 19(9.7%) showed Type III (1-2-1) configuration in single root third molars and 1(0.5%) in distal root; Type V (1-2) configuration were found in

only 1(0.5%) single rooted third molars, 31(15.9%) mesial root and 4(2.1%) distal root. Moreover type VII (1-2-1-2) configurations were observed in only 1(0.5%) mesial root (Table 2).

It was found statistically a significant (p=0.035) difference observed between distal root of mandibular third molars and

different categories of genders (Table 3). It was also found that there is no statistically significant (>0.243) difference observed between different categories of age and anatomical parameters of mandibular third molars (Table 4).

Table 2: Distribution of	mand	libular	third	mola	r teeth	n on t	the basis	of root	canal	configuration	(Vertucci's	classification)
	× /												

	Vertucci's canal configuration								
Root morphology	Type I	Type II	Type III	Type IV	Type V	Type VI	Type VII	Type VIII	Total
	(1-1)	(2-1)	(1-2-1)	(2-2)	(1-2)	(2-1-2)	(1-2-1-2)	(3-3)	
Single Root	5(2.6%)	1(0.5%)	1(0.5%)	1(0.5%)	1(0.5%)	-	-	-	9(4.6%)
Mesial Root	119(61%)	4(2.1%)	19(9.7%)	12(6.2%)	31(15.9%)	-	1(0.5%)	-	186(95.4%)
Distal Root	181(92.8%)	-	-	1(0.5%)	4(2.1%)	-	-	-	186(95.4%)
Distolingual Root	11(5.6%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11(5.6%)

Table 3: Association of gender with anatomical parameters of mandibular third molars (n=195)

Parameter	No.	Mean±SD	P value				
Number of roots							
Male	124	2.04±0.26	0.084				
Female	71	1.96±0.39	0.004				
Number of root canals							
Male	124	2.32±0.51	0.333				
Female	71	2.24±0.66	0.333				
Single root							
Male	2	1.50±0.70	0.560				
Female	7	2.29±1.70					
Mesial root							
Male	122	2.12±1.59	0.057				
Female	64	2.11±1.69	0.957				
Distal root							
Male	122	1.03±0.36	0.035*				
Female	64	1.23±0.92					
Distolingual root							
Male	7	1.00±0.00					
Female	4	1.00±0.00	-				

*Significance value ≤ 0.05

DISCUSSION

Greater heterogeneity in root patterns and canal shape has been linked to mandibular third molars.⁸ Differences in teeth anatomy among various ethnicity should be examined. Researches that are conducted among various ethnicity shows a trend in root number and canal number.⁹

Current study found that mean age of the study subjects was 30.05 ± 8.49 ; furthermore a majority 113 (57.9%) belong to 25 to 32 years age category and among them 124 (63.6%) were males. This study also reported that total 195 CBCT of 3rd mandibular molars were evaluated and it was observed that a vast number 175(89.7%) had two roots; 11(5.6%) had three and 9(4.6%) of MnTM had one root, this was consistent with the study done by Ahmed H. and abbot reviewed the literature from 1972 to 2012 and they also concluded that the majority MnTM were two rooted.¹⁰ Another study by Razumova¹¹ showed similar findings as they reported that MnTM is found mostly along with two roots. In similarity with our results Maryum et al¹² reported that the majority of teeth had two roots on average (73%), one root on average (21%), and three roots on average (5.5%) of the sample. According to Gulabivala et al¹³, 68% of 3rdmandibular molars had two roots, and 11% had just one root.

In the present study, more than half 132 (67.7%) of the CBCT of third molars evaluated showed two root canals followed by 51 (26.2%) showed 3 root canals. Distal root revealed type I Vertucci classification in more than 90% of cases. Mesial root showed Vertucci type I in 61% of the cases followed by type V (15.9%), type III (9.7%), type IV (6.2%) and type II (2.1%). Majority of distal roots 181 (92.8%) showed type I configuration.¹¹

This research showed that statistically no significant difference was observed in different categories of age and anatomical parameters of mandibular third molars whereas statistically a significant (p=0.035) difference was observed between distal root of mandibular third molars and different

categories of gender. The impact of gender on the exterior and internal root anatomy of mandibular third molars has already been examined by several studies.^{14-17}

Table 4: Association of age with anatomical parameters of mandibular third molar

Parameter	No.	Mean±SD	P value		
Number of roots					
17-24 years	30	1.97±0.41			
25-32 years	113	2.04±0.29			
33-40 years	34	2.00±0.34	0.67		
41-49 years	7	2.00±0.00			
50 and above	11	1.91±0.30			
Number of root canals	S				
17-24 years	30	2.23±0.67			
25-32 years	113	2.31±0.55			
33-40 years	34	2.29±0.62	0.903		
41-49 years	7	2.14±0.37			
50 and above	11	2.36±0.50			
Single root					
17-24 years	3	2.33±2.30			
25-32 years	3	2.00±1.00			
33-40 years	2	1.00±0.00	0.537		
41-49 years	-	-			
50 and above	1	4.0			
Mesial root	•				
17-24 years	27	2.15±1.72			
25-32 years	110	2.15±1.64			
33-40 years	32	2.06±1.66	0.997		
41-49 years	7	2.00±1.29			
50 and above	10	2.00±1.49			
Distal root	•				
17-24 years	27	1.15±0.77			
25-32 years	110	1.03±0.28			
33-40 years	32	1.25±0.98	0.193		
41-49 years	7	1.00±0.00			
50 and above	10	1.40±1.26			
Distolingual root	•		•		
17-24 years	2	1.00±0.00			
25-32 years	7	1.00±0.00			
33-40 years	2	1.00±0.000	-		
41-49 years	0	-			
50 and above	0	-			

*Significance value ≤ 0.05

CONCLUSION

The current study concluded that mandibular third molars showed great variation in root canal configuration. Majority had 2 roots and 2 canals per root. Vertucci's type I was commonly seen in mesial root and distal roots of 3rdmandibular molars. More researches should be conducted to confirm the results in the people of Peshawar.

REFERENCES

 Neelakantan P, Subbarao C, Ahuja R, Subbarao CV, Gutmann JL. Cone-beam computed tomography study of root and canal morphology of maxillary first and second molars in an Indian population. J Endod 2010;36(10):1622-7.

- Somasundaram P, Rawtiya M, Wadhwani S, Uthappa R, Shivagange V, Khan S. Retrospective study of root canal configurations of mandibular third molars using CBCT Part-II. J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11(6):ZC55.
- Hashemi HM, Beshkar M, Aghajani R. The effect of sutureless wound closure on postoperative pain and swelling after impacted mandibular third molar surgery. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012;50(3):256-8.
- Yan Q, Li B, Long X. Immediate autotransplantation of mandibular third molar in China. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2010;110(4):436-40.
- Kitahara T, Nakasima A, Shiratsuchi Y. Orthognathic treatment with autotransplantation of impacted maxillary third molar. Angle Orthod 2009;79(2):401-6.
- Sert S, Şahinkesen G, Topçu FT, Eroğlu ŞE, Oktay EA. Root canal configurations of third molar teeth: a comparison with first and second molars in the Turkish population. Aust Endod J 2011;37(3):109-17.
- Sidow SJ, West LA, Liewehr FR, Loushine RJ. Root canal morphology of human maxillary and mandibular third molars. J Endod 2000; 26(11): 675-8.
- Faramarzi F, Shahriari S, Shokri A, Vossoghi M, Yaghoobi G. Radiographic evaluation of root and canal morphologies of third molar teeth in Iranian population. Avicenna J Dent Res 2013;5(1):30-2.
- Somogyi-Csizmazia W, Simons AJ. Three-rooted mandibular first permanent molars in Alberta Indian children. J Can Dent Assoc 1971; 37(3):105-6.

- Ahmed HMA, Abbott P V. Accessory roots in maxillary molar teeth: a review and endodontic considerations. Aust Dent J 2012;57(2):123-31
- Razumova S, Brago A, Barakat H, Howijieh A. Morphology of Root Canal System of Maxillary and Mandibular Molars. Hum Teeth Key Ski Clin Illus 2019;23.
- Kuzekanani M, Haghani J, Nosrati H. Root and canal morphology of mandibular third molars in an Iranian population. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2012;6(3):85-8.
- Gulabivala K, Opasanon A, Ng YL, Alavi A. Root and canal morphology of Thai mandibular molars. Int Endod J 2002;35(1):56-62.
- Bolaños MV, Moussa H, Manrique MC, Bolaños MJ. Radiographic evaluation of third molar development in Spanish children and young people. Forensic Sci Int 2003;133(3):212-9.
- Orhan K, Ozer L, Orhan Al, Dogan S, Paksoy CS. Radiographic evaluation of third molar development in relation to chronological age among Turkish children and youth. Forensic Sci Int 2007;165(1):46-51.
- Park J-B, Kim N, Park S, Ko Y. Evaluation of number of roots and root anatomy of permanent mandibular third molars in a Korean population, using cone-beam computed tomography. Eur J Dent 2013;7(3):296-301.
- Ahmad I, Azzeh M, Zwiri A, Abu Haija MA, Diab M. Root and root canal morphology of third molars in a Jordanian subpopulation. Saudi Endod J 2016;6(3):113.