ORIGINAL ARTICLE

GAP Non Union Managed in Radius and Ulna by Non –Vascularized Fibula Graft in Adults

MUHAMMAD KHALID SYED¹, GHULAM QADIR KHAN², WAQAS AHMAD³, SYED IMRAN HAIDER⁴, ZAFAR ALI⁵, MUHAMMAD IQBAL⁶

¹Assistant Professor of Orthopedic Surgery, PGMI/AMC/Lahore General Hospital Lahore..

²Assistant Professor of Orthopedic Unit-I Nishter Medical University Multan.

³Senior Registrar Orthopedic Surgery Unit-I Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

⁴Medical Officer Ortho Pedic Unit-I Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

⁵Senior Registrar Orthopedic Unit-I Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

⁶Professor of Orthopedic Surgery Unit-I King Edward University Lahore /Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

Correspondence to Dr. Muhamamd Khalid Syed, Email kazmikhalid @yahoo.com, Contact #: 0333-4287008

ABSTRACT

Background: The gap nonunion considered to be a common and complex problem for orthopedic surgeon. The most common bone which is used to fill this gap is usually fibula. Fibula is easy to access with minimum complication at donor site.

Aim: To evaluate the result to fill the gap in forearm bones with fibula.

Methods: 13 patients were selected. The age was between 20to 50 years. The mean age was 34.9 years. The graft was taken from the mid shaft of fibula and cancellous bone graft taken from the proximal tibia. Both grafts were placed at gap and fixed with small fragment narrow DCP.

Results: The bone gaps were from 4cm to the 13cm. The means gap was of 7cm. Bone union was achieved in 12(92.30%) patients after first procedure. The bone union was achieved in remaining 1(7.67%) patients after secondary bone grafting. There was satisfactory range of motion at distal and proximal joint in all patients.

Conclusions: Fibular bone grafting is a treatment of choice in gap nonunion because procedure is simple, and effective with low complication rate and high satisfaction rate.

Keywords: Gap non-union, fibula, Bone graft

INTRODUCTION

The nonunion of shafts of forearm bone is very common¹. There are many reasons of this nonunion but most common etiology is disturbance of arterial supply to the long forearm bones². Other causes are infection and lack of stability. The high level of forces transmitted through long levers and inadequate reduction of fracture are other causes³.

In order to control to infection debridement is necessary. The debridement consists of removal of all dead bone and soft tissues. Any foreign body and loose implants should also be removed. A bone defect is always left after proper debridement⁴.

There are various methods to cover these defects. Osteogenesis by bone segment transport by external fixators is most common method. Cancellous bonegraft, non-vascularized and vascularized fibula graft are other options⁵. About 2-3cm defects are usually fill by autogenous bone. About 6 cm or more bone defects are coved by fibula bone graft. The fibula grafts may be non-vascularized or vascularized. Special skills for microsurgical anastomosis are mandatory for vascularized fibular graft. This procedure is technically very demanding and also has a risk of vascular compromise and graft rejection⁶. Bone gap are may be covered with the use of ring external fixator, a procedure called distraction osteogenesis⁷.

These external fixators are not commonalty used in upper limb but many surgeons reported favorableoutcomes⁸. There are minimum chances of recurrence of infection with these external fixations because metal work is not used in the bones⁹.

A few complications are reported with wire insertion because there are narrow safe corridors present in the forearm¹⁰. A study of 9 patients with nonunion of forearm bones treated with ring external fixator is conducted. The study reported that patients are not satisfied by the treatment¹¹. But microsurgical techniques like free vascularized tissue transfer are not available in all hospitals¹².

The main reasons for gap in bones are open fractures and trauma Tumor excision from bone and osteomyelitis. Fibular bone graft fixated with implant considered to be a best treatment option in gap non unions, although ring fixator and monorail fixators are other options⁴⁻⁶.

Received on 09-04-2022 Accepted on 19-08-2022 Our main aim is to evaluate the result to fill the gap in forearm bones with fibula.

METHODS

The study was conducted from 1-1-18 to 1-8-18 at Mayo Hospital Lahore. The age of the patients was ranged from 20 to 50 years with the mean age was of 34.9 years. 9(69.23%) patients were male and 4(30.76%) patients were females. The bone gap calculated was from 3 to 13cm with the acreage gap was of 7.5cm.

Inclusion criteria

Either gender Adult between 20 to 50 years

Closed non infective non union

One bone involves either radius or ulna

Exclusion criteria

Gap more than 14 cm

Infected graft site

Pathological bone

Patients Neuro muscular disorder

Gap at both forearm bones

Through appropriate approach soft tissues were removed with carefully. Both ends of the bone refashioned and marrow cavity opened after trimming the sclerosed margin. After traction the gap size was measured. Under pneumatic tourniquet control through posterolateral incision fibula was approached. The measured fibular graft was taken from the mid shaft. The graft was fixed at the site of gap using plate and screws.3 to 4 screws should be inserted in to the proximal and distal end of forearm bones to gain maximum strength The fibula graft was fixed by 2 to 3 screws Cancellous bone graft taken from proximal tibia was applied at both ends of the fibular graft to aid in union. Back slab given for 4 weeks.

Intravenous antibiotics were given for 5 days then oral antibiotics were given for further 5 days. Follow up was conducted at one month. Two month. 3 months, 5 months and 7 months. In 5 (38.40%) cases of with a suspension of delayed union bone, about 10 to 15 mm bone marrow aspiration from iliac crest and injected in both ends of fibula. The statistical analysis was done by using SPSS-20.

RESULTS

Out of total 13 patients, male were 9(69.23%) and females were 4(30.76%). Ulna was involved in 8(61.53%) patients and radius in 5(38.46%) patients. Right side was involved in 9(69.23%) patients and left side in 4(30.76%) patients. The nonunion was due to trauma in 8(61.53%) patients and open fractures were in 2(15.38%) patients. On union was due to the tumor excision in 1(7.69%) patient and in 2(15.38%) patients the reason was osteomyelitis.

Follow up period was from 12 weeks to 30weeks and 16 weeks was mean period of follow up. The gap in the bones was from 4cm to 13cm and average bone gap was about 7cm (4-13cm). Union achieved in 7(53.84%) patients after the first procedure. Union was achieved in 5(38.46%) patients after the second procedures (bone graft) Bone marrow aspiration was injected at both graft ends as an out patient's procedure in main operation theater under local anesthesia. Cancellous iliac crest bone grafting has to be done in 1(7.69%) patient under general anesthesia after 5 months and we achieved union after 2 months.

In 11(84.61%) patients at both proximal and distal joints the range of motion was satisfactory and pain free and in 2(15,38%) patients the range of motion was not full. In one patients distal and in second patient proximal joint was painful and stiff joint. Both patients recovered completely by physiotherapy and gained satisfactory range of motion. No complications noted both at the legs and forearm. No implant failure, re fracture or infection noted during study period.

DISCUSSION

The use of fibula graft in gap nonunion is not a new procedure which is very simple and easy. There are very less complications at donor site. This method is relatively easy and patient friendly. Swamy et al worked on 20 patients of nonunion. All patients were of pediatric age. He reported 80% union rate with first procedure and remaining 4 patients achieved union after second procedure of bone grafting⁸.

Patwardhan et al worked on 26 patients of pediatric age grouch. They achieved union in 24(92%) patients in first operation and reaming 2 patients suffered with delayed union. These 2 patients got union after bone grafting⁹. They were on opinion that this is simple procedure without any special skills with low complication rate.

Lenze et al reported excellent results with minimum complication rate in gap nonunion treated by fibula graft, they concluded this procedure is very valuable in gap less than 12cm¹⁰.

El-Sayed et al repotted union rate of (92%) in their 11 patients in a study of 12 patients. Their patients were with post traumatic bone defects. They used external fixator in tibia and used plates and screws in upper limb. They placed cotio-cancellous bone graft in the entire length of fibula¹¹.

Morsi et al reported union rate of 6(86%) in his total 8 patients. Steinlechner et al found union in 7(88%) patients. total patients were 8 and Lowell et al reported union rate of 8(80%) in his patients. total patients were 10. They all reported separately excellent results with a non-vascularized fibular graf¹²⁻¹⁴.

Al Zahrani et al reported 92% union rate in his patients with gap nonunion due to different reasons. He found stress fractures in 26% of his patients. There was no significant hypotrophy³. As compared to all the above studies, we report similar outcomes in non-vascularized fibula grafting in gap non-unions secondary to trauma, osteomyelitis and tumor. Limitations of study are small sample size. Our results are similar and comparable to these studies

CONCLUSION

Non vascularized fibular bone grafting is a simple and effective treatment option which does not require any special skill, has a very low complication rate and has very high patient compliance.

Conflict of interest: Nil

REFERENCES

- Enneking WF, Eady JL, Burchardt H. Autogenous cortical bone grafts in the reconstruction of
- segmental skeletal defects. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2020; 62:1039-58.
- Yadav SS. Dual fibular grafting for massive bone gaps in the lower extremity. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1990; 72:486-94.
- Al-Zahrani S, Harding MG, Kremli M, Khan FA, Ikram A, Takroni T. Free fibular graft still has a place in the treatment of bone defects. Injury. 2019; 24:551-4.
- Kocaoglu M, Eralp L, Rashid HU, Sen C, Bilsel K. Reconstruction of segmental bone defects due to chronic osteomyelitis with use of an external fixator and an intramedullary nail. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2020; 88:2137-45.
- Cattaneo R, Catagni M, Johnson EE. The treatment of infected nonunions and segmental defects of the tibia by the methods of Ilizarov. ClinOrthopRelat Res. 2018; 280:143-52.
- De Pablos J, Barrios C, Alfaro C, Canadell J. Large experimental segmental bone defects treated by bone transportation with monolateral external distractors. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2019; 298:25965.
- Eh Lee, Goh JC, Helm R, Pho RW. Donor site morbidity following resection of the fibula. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1990; 72:129-31.
- Swamy MKS., Rathi A, Gupta V. Results of nonvascularised fibular grafting in gap non-union of long bones in pediatric age group. J ClinOrthop Trauma. 2021;4(4):180-4.
- Patwardhan S, Shyam AK, Mody RA, Sancheti PK, Mehta R, Agrawat H. Reconstruction of bone defects after osteomyelitis with nonvascularized fibular graft: A retrospective study in 26 children. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2020; 95:1-6.
- Lenze U, Kasal S, Hefti F, Krieg AH. Nonvascularised fibula grafts for reconstruction of segmental and hemicortical bone defects following meta/diaphysealtumour resection at the extremities BMC Musculoskeletal Disord. 2019; 18:289.
- El-Sayed M, El-Hadidi M, El AdlWael. Free nonvascularised fibular graft for treatment of posttraumatic bone defects. ActaOrthop Belg. 2007; 73:70-6.
- Morsi E. Tibial reconstruction using a nonvascularised fibular transfer. IntOrthop. 2018; 26:377-80.
- Steinlechner C, Wand M, Kandawire NC. Nonvascularised fibular transfer in the management of defects of long bones after sequestrectomy in children. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2005; 87:1259e1263. Lawal YZ, Garba ES, Ogirima MO, Dahiru IL, Maitama MI, Abubakar K, et al. Use of nonvascularized autologous fibulRef
- dosReis FB, Faloppa F, Fernandes HJ, Albertoni WM, Stahel PF. Outcome of diaphyseal forearm fracture-nonunions treated by autologous bone grafting and compression plating. Ann SurgInnov Res 2009; 3:5. Back to cited text no. 1
- Hertel R, Pisan M, Lambert S, Ballmer FT. Plate osteosynthesis of diaphyseal fractures of the radius and ulna. Injury 1996; 27:545–548.
- Giebel GD, Meyer C, Koebke J, Giebel G. Arterial supply of forearm bones and its importance for the operative treatment of fractures. SurgRadiolAnat 1997; 19:149–153.
- Soucacos PN, Dailiana Z, Beris AE, Johnson EO. Vascularised bone grafts for the management of non-union. Injury 2006; 37 (Suppl 1): S41–S50.
- Madhusudhan TR, Ramesh B, Manjunath K, Shah HM, Sundaresh DC, Krishnappa N. Outcomes of Ilizarov ring fixation in recalcitrant infected tibial non-unions – a prospective study. J Trauma Manag Outcomes 2008; 2:6.
- Hosny GA. Forearm lengthening. J OrthopaedTraumatol 2005; 6:132– 137
- Hosny G, Shawky MS. The treatment of infected non-union of the tibia by compression-distraction techniques using the Ilizarov external fixator. IntOrthop 1998; 22:298–302.