Comparative Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Acute Cholecystitis in DHQ Abbottabad, KP-Pakistan

IBRAR AHMED¹, AJMAL KHAN², FARYAL SAEED³, KAMRAN KHAN⁴, MARIUM KHURSHID⁵, SANA ISRAR⁶

¹Medical Officer, Type D Hospital Garhi Habibullah Mansehra

²General Surgeon, Surgery Dept; THQ Besham, Shangla

³Senior Registrar, Women Medical & Dental College, Abbottabad ⁴Assistant Professor, Abbottabad International Medical Institute, Abbottabad

⁵Medical Officer, RHC Shinkiari, Mansehra

⁶Assistant Professor, Shahina Jamil Hospital, Abbottabad

Correspondence to Dr. Faryal Saeed, E-mail: surgeonfaryalsaeed@gmail.com Cell: 0336-9915477

ABSTRACT

Background: Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy before 72 hours is ideal for the treatment of acute cholecystitis after 72 hours, acute or ongoing fibrosis presents careful issues.

Aim: To compare the results of an acute cholecystitis blood pressure monitoring center and a specialist acute surgical service 72 hours after symptoms.

Study design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and duration of study: Department of General Surgery Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Hospital, (DHQ) Abbottabad from 1st October 2021 to 30th March 2022.

Methodology: One hundred and eighty acute cholecystectomy patients who went through CEL were enrolled. Record was gathered which included postoperative confusions, length of medical clinic stay, and season of a medical procedure. To get comparable outcomes, subgroup examination of older patients was performed.

Results: Eighty operations were performed within 72 hours of symptoms and 100 operations were performed after 72 hours, Patients who received early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for more than 72 hours had longer complication-free time" (125.4 vs. 116 minutes, P=0.035) and hospital stay (4.59 vs. 3.09 days, P=0.001). Postoperative sampling was higher in patients older than 75 years (P < 0.001).

Conclusion: Acute cholecystitis patients going through CLE in a careful unit might accomplish improved results even following 72 hours of side effects. In a subset of older patients, extensive hemostasis ought to be performed. **Keywords:** Acute cholecystitis, early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (ELC)

INTRODUCTION

Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (ELC) is the treatment of decision for acute cholecystitis (AC). This method has a critical risk of complications as it's a difficult surgical procedure (0.56-1.2%)^{1,2}. CA, expanded severity, high surgical excision has been accounted for up to 21.5%^{3,4}. Past researchers demonstrated that benefit of admission for ECL versus over DLC has been accounted for to be more useful, with low monetary costs^{5,6}.

Cao et al⁷ and Wu et al⁸ reported that presentation of ECC in the span of 7 days of side effect beginning was related with improved results for example less scar contribution, less days off work, and more limited emergency clinic stays and side effects showed up following 10 days.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been considered as the golden standard for treatment. LC is more beneficial because it provides less pain, faster evacuation, faster recovery, better cosmetic results and lower cost⁹. Due to the increase in living standards, the elderly population is gradually increasing, so the prevalence of cholecystitis in the population is also increasing. Age-related comorbidities are the most important factors that increase the likelihood of mortality and morbidity after surgery^{10,11}.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of General Surgery, Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Hospital, (DHQ) Abbottabad from 1st October 2021 to 30th March 2022 after IRB permission. Abdominal pain, proof of intense cholecystitis on ultrasound, CT scan or MRCP who in this way went through LC in a similar confirmation was included. Patients determined to have gallstone pancreatitis and intense cholangitis who went through LC were barred were excluded. The data was entered and analyzed through SPSS-26. One-sample t-test and Chi-square tests were applied. P<0.05 was considered as significant.

Received on 15-04-2022 Accepted on 27-08-2022

RESULTS

Eighty surgeries performed within 72 hours of symptom while 100 patients underwent surgery within 72 hours of symptom onset were included in the ELC group (Table 1). There is no bile duct injury or or surgical procedure for 30 days, there was no significant in change rate and postoperative collection in the ELC group over 72 hours after side effect beginning, nonetheless, more patients with CPD had blood loss greater more than 200mL in 72 hours contrasted with patients without side effects" (12.4% versus 2.3%, p<0.001)". Median length of hospital stay was significantly for patients getting CLE over 72 hours after side effect beginning (a.59 versus 3.09 days, P = 0.001)". Median operative time was significantly longer for patients getting ELC after side effect beginning (126.4 versus 116 minutes, P = 0.035) [Table 2].

Table 1: Comparison of different variables in both groups (n="	80)
--	-----

Variable	≤72 h since symptoms (n=80)	72 h since symptoms (n=100)	P value		
Mean age (years)	53	55.3	0.204		
Mean BMI (kg/m ²)	27.8	26.1	0.02		
ASA Class					
1	15 (18.75%)	18 (18%)			
2	45 (56.25%)	67 (67%)	0.959		
3	20 (25%)	15 (15%)			
Tokyo Guidelines severity grading					
1	18 (22.5%)	-			
2	65 (81.25%)	98 (98%)	< 0.001		
3	-	2 (2%)			
Diabetes mellitus	16 (20%)	38 (38%)	0.137		
End stage renal failure	1 (1.25%)	4 (4%)	0.095		
Previous abdominal surgery	8 (10%)	9 (9%)	0.569		
done					
IHDs	4 (5%)	12 (12%)	0.33		
On anti-platelets/	3 (3.75%)	14 (14%)	0.045		
anticoagulants					
Chronic obstructive	-	1 (1%)	0.408		
pulmonary disease					

Table 2: Comparison of measures in both groups (n=180)

Variable	≤72 h since symptoms (n=80)	72 h since symptoms (n=100)	P value	
Mean total length of hospitalization (days)	3.09	4.59	0.001	
Mean no of days stayed in hospital after operation (days)	2.25	2.66	0.084	
Bowel injury	-	-	-	
Bile duct injury"	-	-	-	
Post operative collection	2 (1.6%)	2 (2%)	0.698	
Conversion to open	-	2 (2%)	0.241	
Wound infection	2 (1.6%)	2 (2%)	0.698	
Re-operation within 30 days	-	-		
Blood loss (mL)				
<50	59 (47.2%)	64 (64%)	0.01	
50-200	27 (21.6%)	49 (49%)	0.27	
>200	2 (1.6%)	16 (16%)	< 0.001	

DISCUSSION

LC is also considered a safe procedure for patients. However, there are studies that report shorter hospital length of stay, mortality, and morbidity with higher ASA scores¹²⁻¹⁶. In a study by Yi et al¹⁷ reported that a higher ASA score increased mortality and morbidity, but did not affect operative time or discharge time. Complication rates in patients undergoing LC have been reported to be 5–15%, while mortality rates for the same group range from 0-1%18. 72-hour observation of ELC symptom acceptance in TG13¹⁹ Early surgical procedure, recently characterized as laparoscopic cholecystectomy, in no less than 72 hours of side effect beginning, is currently known as TG18. Likewise, the most recent TG18 actually affirms that beginning in the span of 72 hours of symptoms is ideal. Likewise this study affirms that a devoted ESAT group can deliver fantastic outcomes. That's why this study has been observed; no difference in postoperative complications between laparoscopic cholecystectomy before or after 72 hours which is consistent with previous results²⁰.

The open cholecystectomy is necessary when the Calot's triangle of bile is considered unsafe, as demonstrated by two patients who underwent CLE for more than 72 hours²¹⁻²³.

Regardless of the increased surgical technical requirements due to fibrous adhesions and duration of symptoms, choosing the same intraoperative procedural scheme with a coordinated and experienced ESAT team results in clinically comparable operative times²⁴.

CONCLUSION

Acute cholecystitis patients undergoing CLE can achieve better results even after 72 hours of dedicated ESAT equipment. The same surgical approach can be used for elderly patients, but careful hemostasis should be performed in this subgroup.

REFERENCES

 Navez B, Ungureanu F, Michiels M, et al. The Belgian Group for Endoscopic Surgery (BGES) and the Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Section (HBPS) of the Royal Belgian Society of Surgery. Surgical management of acute cholecystitis: results of a 2-year prospective multicenter survey in Belgium. Surg Endosc 2012; 26: 2436-45.

- Nuzzo G, Giuliante F, Giovannini I, et al. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: results of an Italian national survey on 56 591 cholecystectomies. Arch Surg 2005; 140: 986-92.
- Tornqvist B, Waage A, Zheng Z, Ye W, Nilsson M. Severity of acute cholecystitis and risk of iatrogenic bile duct injury during cholecystectomy, a population-based case-control study. World J Surg 2016; 40: 1060-7.
- Lau H, Lo CY, Patil NG, Yuen WK. Early versus delayed-interval laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Surg Endosc 2006; 20: 82-7.
- Lee AY, Carter JJ, Hochberg MS, Stone AM, Cohen SL, Pachter HL. The timing of surgery for cholecystitis: a review of 202 consecutive patients at a large municipal hospital. Am J Surg 2008; 195: 467-70.
- Papi CP, Catarci M, D'Ambrosio L et al. Timing of cholecystectomy for acute calculous cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2004; 99: 147-55.
- Cao AM, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy is superior to delayed acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. Surg Endosc 2016; 30: 1172-82.
- Wu XD, Tian X, Liu MM, Wu L, Zhao S, Zhao L. Meta-analysis comparing early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Br J Surg 2015; 102: 1302-13.
- Tobias JD Pain management following laparoscopy: can we do better? Saudi J Anaesth 2013;7:3-4.
- Majeski J. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in geriatric patients. Am J Surg 2004;187:747-50.
- Tambyraja AL, Kumar S, Nixon SJ. Outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients 80 years and older. World J Surg 2004;28:745-8.
- Indar AA, Beckingham IJ. Acute cholecystitis. BMJ 2002;325:639-43.
 Ansaloni L, Pisano M, Moore EE. 2016 WSES guidelines on acute
- calculous cholecystitis. World J Emerg Surg 2016; 11:25. 14. Gurusamy K, Samraj K, Gludd C, Wilson E, Davidson BR. Meta-
- analysis of randomized controlled trials on the safety and effectiveness of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Br J Surg 2010; 97(2): 141-50.
- Cao AM, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy is superior to delayed acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. Surg Endosc 2016;30:1172-82.
- Yetkin G, Uludag M, Oba S, Citgez B, Paksoy I. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in elderly patients. JSLS 2009;13:587-91.
- Yi N-J, Han H-S, Min S-K. The safety of a laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis in high-risk patients older than sixty with stratification based on ASA score. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol 2006; 15:159-64.
- Tagle FM, Lavergne J, Barkin JS, Unger SW. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly. Surg Endosc 1997;11:636-8.
- Lawrentschuk N, Hewitt PM, Pritchard MG. Elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy: implications of prolonged waiting times for surgery. ANZ J Surg 2003; 73: 890-3.
- Gutt CN, Encke J, Koninger J, et al. Acute cholecystitis: early versus delayed cholecystectomy, a multicenter randomized trial (ACDC study, NCT00447304). Ann Surg 2013; 258: 385-93.
- Roulin D, Saadi A, Di Mare L, Demartines N, Halkic N. Early versus delayed cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis, are the 72 hours still the rule? Ann Surg 2016; 264: 717-22.
- Mathur S, Lim WW, Goo TT. Emergency general surgery and trauma: outcomes from the first consultant-led service in Singapore. Injury 2018; 49: 130-4.
- Pessaux P, Tuech JJ, Derouet N, Rouge C, Regenet N, Arnaud JP. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly: a prospective study. Surg Endosc 2000; 14: 1067-9.
- Lee SI, Na BG, Yoo YS, Mun SP, Choi NK. Clinical outcome for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in extremely elderly patients. Ann Surg Treat Res 2015; 88: 145-51.