ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Frequency of Cesarean Section in Premature Rupture of Membranes

SHAHIDA MAGSI¹, SONIA GUL QURESHI², FATIMA RAJPUT³, BASMA ZIA⁴, INAYATULLAH MAGSI⁵ ¹Professor, ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Shaheed Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Medical University Larkana ²Consultant, ³Resident, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Shaikh Zayed Women Hospital, CMC Larkana ⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, Shaheed Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Medical University Larkana Correspondence to Dr. Shahida Magsi, E-mail: shahida.doctor@gmail.com Cell: 0333-7543377

ABSTRACT

Background: Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is always of clinical significance either it occurs before onset of labour at term or preterm. It is defined as spontaneous rupture of fetal membranes which results in leakage of amniotic fluid. PROM is related with maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality if not treated sensibly on time, due not only to conditions causing it but also likelihood of emergency cesarean delivery.

Aim: To ascertain frequency of C- section in women presented with premature rupture of membranes at tertiary care hospital. Study Design: Cross-sectional descriptive study

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Unit 1, Sheikh Zayed Women Hospital, Shaheed Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Medical University Larkana from 1st October 2020 to 30th April 2021

Methodology: One hundred and eighty six pregnant women having gestation from 28 weeks till 40 weeks and diagnosed as premature rupture of membranes were included.

Results: The average age 27.97±5.66 years. 29.57% of caesarean section presented PROM was observed.

Conclusion: PROM remains an imperative cause of maternal and fetal morbidity and increased rate of cesarean section delivery to focus upon. Timely evaluation of reasons leading to PROM can help us in reducing the soaring rate of cesarean section in developing countries.

Keywords: Premature rupture of membranes, Caesarean section, complications.

INTRODUCTION

Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is the condition, when there is impulsive breach of amniotic membranes that leads to leakage of amniotic fluid complicating 5-10% of pregnancies. PROM occurs either before term known as preterm PROM (PPROM) or at term gestation but just before the onset of labour risking both fetal and maternal lives in case prolonged time is elapsed to delivery^{1,2}. Although the pathophysiology for PROM is mainly idiopathic but it has been contemplated that infection or degeneration can make membranes fragile and subsequently spontaneous rupture may occur³.

Since years, this has been agreed that history of PROM in a previous pregnancy, genital tract infection, antepartum bleeding, and cigarette smoking have a particularly strong association with PPROM.⁴ Whatever the etiology of PROM is there, the impact of ruptured membranes may lead to increased maternal morbidity due to chorioamnionitis, operative vaginal or cesarean delivery, postpartum hemorrhage and pelvic abcess^{5,6}.

Preterm PROM is highly associated with increased perinatal morbidity and mortality, especially when it occurs remote from term^{7, 8}.

There is no unanimity on the perfect mode of delivery in PROM in many settings with scant resources, but caesarean delivery is often recommended as safe procedure to avoid prolonged labour time waiting for vaginal delivery because of impact of expected neonatal complications related with infection subsequent to PROM⁹.

Multiple factors affect the mode of delivery right from patient's wishes to demographic features and up to fetomaternal complications pressing obstetricians, to keep a low threshold for cesarean delivery. Many studies have observed frequency of caesarean section in women with PROM ranging from 14% to 58.7%. In a study done by Tahir et al¹⁰, the rate of caesarean section was14%. While another study described a caesarean section proportion of 23%.¹¹ In another study, had higher caesarean proportions up to 58% done by Pasquer¹² which can be justified where neonatal intensive care facilities are available. The local literature regarding the cesarean section in women presented with premature rupture of membrane is limited and scarce in our population, while the international literature studies varies due to

Received on 03-01-2022 Accepted on 23-06-2022 the availability and feasibility of health facilities in developed and under developed countries. Therefore this study is not only helpful for knowing the contribution of cesarean section due to PROM on priority basis as early evaluation and management can prevent the maternal complications so that proper specific health strategies can be initialized according to the observations of present study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional descriptive study was conducted at Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Unit I Sheikh Zayed Women Hospital, Larkana from 1st October 2020 to 30th April 2021 after formal Institutional Ethical Committee permission. A total of 186 women recruited after their consent, through non-probability consecutive sampling technique.

All the pregnant ladies of age 18-45 years with singleton pregnancy, either primipara or multiparous having gestational age from 28 weeks till 40 completed weeks (assessed with last menstrual period history, having complaint of watery vaginal discharge (leaking membrane) before the onset of labour whether at term or preterm diagnosed as premature rupture of membranes presented in emergency room were included.

Women with multi-fetal pregnancy, history of previous cesarean section, autoimmune disorder (SLE and RA), disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) or bleeding disorder and use of anticoagulants, pregnant ladies having history of multiple blood transfusions during the pregnancy period, known uterine anomalies (hydronephrosis/hydroureter, renal agenesis and polycystic kidney disease) and gestational diabetes mellitus, already on steroids immunosuppressive, anti-inflammatory or antibiotics therapy evaluate by taking proper relevant drug history from specific participants were excluded.

Data was entered and analyzed through SPSS-22. The post stratification chi-square test was applied on categorical variables at 95% confidence interval (CI) and the p-value ≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The average age was 27.97±5.66 years. Mean gestational age, weight, height and BMI of the women are reported in Table 1. More than half (61.85%) women were primiparous and 38.17% had multiparity. Regarding comorbid, 20.4% were obese, 16.7% had hypertension, and 10.25% anemic and 5.95% were smoker.

Frequency of caesarean section in women presented with premature rupture of membranes was observed in 55(29.57%) women (Fig. 1). Rate of caesarean section in women presented with premature rupture of membranes was significantly high in those women who were primigravidae as compared to multiparous (p=0.0005), while it was not statistically significant with age groups, booking status, address as shown in Table 2. Rate of caesarean section in women presented with PROM was significantly high in hypertensive women (71% vs 21.3% p=0.0005). Rate of C/S was

also significantly high in women with placental abruption, while it was not statistically significant with anemia and fever (Table 3).

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of women (n=186)

Variable	Mean±SD			
Age (years)	27.97±5.66			
Gestational age (weeks)	35.65±2.47			
Weight (kg)	58.33±5.28			
Height (cm)	155.48±6.64			
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	26.15±1.90			

Table 2: Comparison of	t cesarean section	according to	demographic variables	

Variable		Yes		No		Total	P value
		No.	%	No.	%		
Age (years)	≤25	21	26.3	59	73.8	80	0.213
	26 to 30	19	31.1	42	68.9	61	
	31 to 40	8	25.0	24	75.0	32	
	>40	7	53.8	6	46.2	13	
Parity	Primiparous	46	40.0%	69	60.0%	115	0.005
	Multiparous	9	12.7%	62	87.3%	71	
Booked	Un-booked	28	27.7%	73	72.3%	101	0.547
	Booked	27	31.8%	58	68.2%	85	
Area	Urban	40	30.5%	91	69.5%	131	0.065
	Rural	15	27.3%	40	72.7%	55	

Table 3: Comparison of caesarean section according to different variables

		Cesarean section				Total	P value
Variable		Yes		No			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Anemia	Yes	7	36.8	12	63.2	19	0.464
	No	48	28.7	119	71.3	167	
Placenta abruption	Yes	21	80.8	5	19.2	26	0.005
	No	34	21.3	126	78.8	160	
Fever	Yes	3	30.0	7	70.0	10	0.976
	No	52	29.5	124	70.5	176	0.976

Fig. 1: Frequency of caesarean section in women presented with premature rupture of membranes (n=186)



DISCUSSION

Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is of uncertain outcome making not only diagnostic difficulty but also consequences affect both maternal and fetal lives, if not managed promptly. Aspects of etiology encompass various conditions signaling multifactorial involvement but in few cases cause remain unclear¹³.

The occurrence of PROM is significantly greater in women with genital tract infections which is considered to be a preventable factor but still remains commonest cause despite availability of various treatment regimens¹⁴. Management of pregnancies complicated with PROM depends upon gestational age at presentation and women's obstetric history, however in most of cases labour start within 24 hours of ruptured membranes. Thus in women diagnosed with PROM at term, optimum treatment is ensued at once before any complication, but then again we fear complexities related with preterm birth in PPROM posing a public health alarming state^{14,15}. PROM can cause complications like chorioamnionitis, antepartum hemorrhage, maternal sepsis and

fetal distress or long term neonatal sequlae as a result of undue delay in delivery $^{16,17}\!\!\!$

Although cesarean delivery reflects a life-saving procedure saving both the mother and the fetus but managing PROM is perplexing specially in low resource countries, even emergency cesarean section might result subsequently in surgical site infection, endometritis, visceral injury due to tissue friability, thrombotic events and hospital-acquired infections^{18,19}.

The average age of the women in this study was 27.97±5.66 years in our study (range was taken 18-42 years). More than half (61.85%) women were primigravidas and 38.17% had multiparity. Similar variable are found in a study done by Endale²⁰, where mean maternal age was 24.6 years (range 16-41 years) and he also reported that majority of women were primigravida (69.7%) while maultiparous women were only 23 (12.5%)who had PROM.

In the present study, the frequency of cesarean section in women presenting with PROM was 29.57% as mode of delivery based on any indication in liaison with PROM. Cesarean rate was noticed more in primiparous as compared to those who were multiparous (p=0.0005), this 29.57% rate of cesarean section. Although the world over debate is to decrease cesarean section rate but we see many studies in literature search, where similar rate of cesarean section in women with premature rupture of membranes like Chakraborty et al²¹ in 2013 reported cesarean Section rate of 26.6 % among pregnancies with pre labour rupture of membranes in their study conducted in West Bengal, Kunze et al²² conducted study on larger number of patients (1026) and he also had same observation about rate of cesarean section and reported rate of 27%.

Ilbishi²³ and Kayiga²⁴ in their studies remarked about cesarean section rate 28% and 30% respectively, especially in PROM well before term (PPROM). In a study done by Tavassoli²⁵ though neonatal outcome was not remarkable but 32% of women with PROM had cesarean delivery. On the other hand Pasquier et al¹² reported very high rate of cesarean section of 58.7%.

Premature rupture of membranes in any settings usually adds maternal and neonatal miseries because of its effects either related to conditions responsible for it or the risks which proportionately increase during its management Therefore mothers are having higher chances of not only operative deliveries but also its associated complications. Preterm PROM is associated with even increased perinatal morbidity and mortality. If providers are given facilities of high-tech monitoring of both mother and fetus during labour in low-resource settings, then it would have been helpful in reducing cesarean section rate.

CONCLUSION

Premature/pre-labour rupture of membranes at term or before term has big influences on maternal and fetal health enhancing chances of morbidity and mortality proportionately results in increased rate of cesarean section delivery considered as a life saving procedure. Early evaluation and management could be preventing the maternal complications so that proper specific health strategies could be initialized according to the observations. **Conflict of interest:** Nil

REFERENCES

- Assefa NE, Berhe H, Girma F, Berhe K, Berhe YZ, et al. Risk factors of premature rupture of membranes in public hospitals at Mekele city, Tigray: a case control study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2018; 18(1):386.
- Akintayo AA, Adeyemi AB, Kuti O, et al. Premature rupture of membranes at term: immediate induction of labor versus expectant management. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol 2014; 31(2): 31-7.
- 3. Alhubaishi LYA. Premature rupture of membrane and neonatal infection. J Obstet Gynecol 2019;9(10): 1388-91.
- Harger JH, Hsing AW, Tuomala RE. Risk factors for preterm premature rupture of fetal membranes: a multicenter case-control study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990; 163:130-35.
- Dotters-Katz SK, Panzer A, Grace MR, et al. Maternal morbidity after previable prelabor rupture of membranes. Obstet Gynecol 2017; 129(1): 101-6.
- Lovereen S, Khanum MA, Nargis N, Begum S, Afroze R. Maternal and neonatal outcome in premature rupture of membranes. Bangladesh J Med Sci 2018; 17(3): 479-83.
- 7. Garite TJ. Management of premature rupture of membranes. Clin Perinatol 2001;28(4): 837-47.
- Xu Y, et al. Perinatal risks of neonatal and infant mortalities in a subprovincial region of China: a live birth population-based cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2022; 22:338.
- Nurdin A, Nurdin H, Rahmayanti, Sari M. Analysis of the relationship between premature rupture of membranes with delivery method and newborn asphyxia. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2021; 10(12): 4377-82.

- 10. Tahir S, Aleem M, Aziz R. Incidence and outcome of pretermpremature rupture of membranes. Pak J Med Sci 2002;8(1)::6-32.
- Eleje GU, Ezebialul U, Umeobika JC, et al. Pre-labour rupture of membranes at term: a review of management in a healthcare institution. Afr Med J 2010;1(2):10-14.
- Pasquier JC, Rabilloud M, Picaud JC, et al, A prospective populationbased study of 598 cases of PPROM between 24 and 34 weeks' gestation: description, management and mortality (DOMINOS cohort). Eur J Obstet Gynecol Repro Bio 2005;121(2):164-70.
- Medina TM, Hill DA. Preterm premature rupture of membranes: diagnosis and management. Am Fam Physician 2006; 73(4):659-64.
- Parry S, Strauss JF 3rd. Premature rupture of the fetal membranes. N Engl J Med 1998; 338: 663-65.
- Kayiga H, Lester F, Amuge PM, Byamugisha J, Autry AM. Impact of mode of delivery on pregnancy outcomes in women with premature rupture of membranes after 28 weeks of gestation in a low-resource setting: a prospective cohort study. PLoS One 2018; 13(1). e0190388.
- Mousiolis A, Papantoniou N, Mesogitis S, Baglatzi L, Baroutis G, Antsaklis A. Optimum mode of delivery in gestations complicated by preterm premature rupture of the membranes. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2012; 25(7): 1044-9.
- Dars S, Malik S, Samreen I, Kazi RA. Maternal morbidity and perinatal outcome in preterm premature rupture of membranes before 37 weeks gestation. Pak J Med Sci 2014; 30: 626-9.
- Alam MM, Saleem AF, Shaikh AS, Munir O, Qadir M. Neonatal sepsis following prolonged rupture of membranes in a tertiary care hospital in Karachi, Pkistan. J Infect Dev Ctries 2014; 8(1):67-73.
- Alfirevic Z, Milan SJ, Livio S. Caesarean section versus vaginal delivery for preterm birth in singletons. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 9:000078.
- Jiang HL, Lu C, Wang XX, Wang X, Zhang WY. Cesarean section does not affect neonatal outcomes of pregnancies complicated with preterm premature rupture of membranes. Chinese Med J 2020;133(1): 25-32.
- 21. Endale T. Maternal and fetal outcomes in term prematurerupture of membrane. World J Emerg Med 2016;7(2):147-52.
- Chakraborty B, Mandal T, Chakraborty S, Outcome of prelabor rupture of membranes in a tertiary care center in West Bengal. Indian J Clin Prac 2013;24(7):12-7.
- Kunze M, Hart JE, Lynch AM, Gibbs RS. Intrapartum management of premature rupture of membranes. Obstet Gynecol 2011; 118(6):1247-54.
- Ibishi VA, Isjanovska RD. Prelabour rupture of membranes: mode of delivery and outcome. Open Access Maced J Med Sci 2015;3(2): 237-40
- Tavassoli F, Ghasemi M, Mohamadzade A, Sharifian J. Survey of pregnancy outcome in preterm premature rupture of membranes with amniotic fluid index. Oman Med J 2010; 25(2):118-23.