

Risk of Hepatotoxicity in Leflunomide Vs Methotrexate in Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis

SAIMA RIAZ¹, TAFAZZUL E HAQUE MAHMUD², AFLAK RASHEED³, ADNAN WAJAH AKHTAR⁴, ASAD JAVED⁵, UMAIR JAVAID¹

¹Postgraduate Resident, Department of Rheumatology & Immunology, Shaikh Zayed Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore.

²Dean of Medicine & Head Department of Rheumatology & Immunology, Shaikh Zayed Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore.

³Associate Professor, Department of Rheumatology & Immunology, Shaikh Zayed Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore.

⁴Registrar, Department of Rheumatology & Immunology, Shaikh Zayed Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore, Pakistan.

⁵Assistant Professor Pulmonology, Al Aleem Medical College, Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital, Lahore

Correspondence to: Saima Riaz, Email: saima_smc@hotmail.com, Cell: 0347-2489967

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the hepatotoxicity in Leflunomide vs Methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis patients.

Study design: Cross sectional study.

Place and duration of study: Study was conducted from Jun 2021 to Mar 2022 at Department of Rheumatology and immunology Sheikh Zayed Hospital Lahore.

Methodology: Inclusion criteria were any patient aged between 18-70 years males and females. Patients who were diagnosed with RA according to ACR criteria 2010. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, Known case of hepatitis B or C, patients having known hypersensitivity to DMARDs. Group A (MTX) of 150 patients received 20 mg/week of MTX and Group B (LEF) of 150 patients received 20mg/day of LEF. The collected data was analyzed on (SPSS) version 24.0.

Results: 40 patients were excluded from study. Mean age of patients in Group A (MTX) was 52.73±9.34 years and in Group B (LEF) it was 51.15 + 9.79 years. 44 patients (33.8%) of group A which were given MTX developed GI symptoms while 22 patients (16.9%) of group B who were given LEF developed GI symptoms. Similarly hepatotoxicity was seen in 15 patients (11.5%) of MTX group while 27 patients (20.8%) of LEF group developed hepatotoxicity which was statistically significant (p = 0.04). 5 patients (3.8%) of LEF developed liver fibrosis.

Conclusion: We conclude that most LEF with rapid onset of action is quicker in reducing symptoms of RA patients and is associated with high degree of hepatotoxicity with low liver fibrosis when compared with MTX.

Keywords: Hepatotoxicity, LEF, MTX, Rheumatoid arthritis

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis is a chronic systemic inflammatory disease of joints which if untreated leads to irreversible joint destruction¹. Its prevalence varies in different populations. Globally 0.5 – 1 % world population is affected by rheumatoid arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis affects women three times more than men². As reported in literature its prevalence is about 0.142% in the southern to 5.5% in the northern regions of Pakistan. Although there is no known cure for rheumatoid arthritis but use of disease modifying antirheumatic drugs(DMARDs) is recommended to be started early by American college of rheumatology that will prevent further joint damage³. Methotrexate(MTX) is considered first line drug among DMARDs to be used as recommended by European league against rheumatism(EULAR). MTX is structural analogue of folic acid and was first used in 1951.

Leflunomide (LEF) a pyrimidine synthesis inhibitor is currently recommended by European league against rheumatism (EULAR) as first line drug which can be used for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis⁴. It inhibits proliferation of T lymphocytes and slows joint damage process as effectively as MTX⁵.

Previously randomized control trials have proved that MTX and LEF monotherapy is associated with liver hepatotoxicity and leads to elevated ALT/AST levels⁶. Study by P Bird et al showed that 12% patients of the MTX group, 16% patients of the LEF group developed liver enzymes abnormalities⁷.

In our hospital we use MTX and LEF in patients but there is little published data on the hepatotoxicity of these drugs in Pakistan. In this study we will evaluate and compare the hepatotoxic effect of MTX and LEF to that which drug is less hepatotoxic and can be used with less monitoring.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross sectional Study was conducted from Jun 2021 to Mar 2022 at Department of Rheumatology and immunology Sheikh Zayed Hospital Lahore after approval from Institutional Review Board committee. A sample size of 300 patients was calculated by using WHO sample size calculator level of significance was set at 5%, Power of study was 80% and elevation in liver enzymes level i-e 5.4% with LEF and 16.2% with MTX⁵. Inclusion criteria were

any patient aged between 18-70 years males and females. Patients diagnosed with RA according to ACR criteria 2010. Patients who had raised ESR and CRP levels and patients previously using DMARDs not more than 1 month and on monotherapy. Hypertensive and diabetic patients were included who haven't developed end organ damage. Patients using NSAIDs and corticosteroids were included provided their dosage should remain stable throughout study. Exclusion criteria was pregnancy, Known case of hepatitis B or C, patients having known hypersensitivity to DMARDs, patients who had intrarticular steroid injections in past 2 months, patients with comorbid who had already developed end organ damage and alcohol abusers. Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used to include the patients. Informed consent was obtained from those fulfilling the eligibility criteria. The patients were divided into two groups. Group A (Methotrexate) of 150 patients received 20 mg/week of MTX and Group B (Leflunomide) of 150 patients received 20mg/day of LEF. A detailed history, examination, and all base lines investigations and USG abdomen were carried out before commencing treatment. All included patients were called for follow up after every 8 weeks. At every follow up visit complete history and examination was carried out with LFTs and USG Abdomen to see for any liver structural or functional abnormality i-e any significant change in size or shape of liver, any change in texture, any fibrosis or significant scarring and any change in fat ratio in liver. So by end of six months every patient had 3 follow up visits with complete history, examination and LFTs data along with liver structural evidence by USG Abdomen. If at any stage patient developed hepatotoxicity (Hepatotoxicity was labeled if serum AST/ALT levels rise more than 2 times of normal and if they rise to 3 times of normal range treatment will be stopped at this stage⁹) treatment was reduced and if they had risen to 3 times of normal then treatment was stopped and Liver function tests were monitored after 2 weeks of suspension of treatment. If Liver function tests remain at same level even after suspension of treatment subject was removed from study. If serum AST/ALT levels rose to 1.5 times of normal it is a red flag sign. (Treatment dosage was reduced at this stage)¹⁰.

The collected data was analyzed in the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 24.0. Descriptive statistics were

calculated for qualitative and quantitative variables. Qualitative variables like gender were measured as frequency and percentage. Quantitative variables like age and liver enzymes values were measured as mean and standard deviation. Statistical analysis of means was done by independent sample test between two groups. P value ≤ 0.05 was taken as significant.

RESULTS

Total 300 patients were included in study. 25 patients lost the follow up and were removed from study. 6 patients of group A and 9 patients of group B have shown raised LFTs in start of treatment. They were also removed from study as their LFTs did not come to normal levels despite cessation of treatment. Out of 260 patients, 130 patients were present in each group. Mean age of patients in Group A (MTX) was 52.73±9.34 years and in Group B (LEF) it was 51.15 + 9.79 years. Mean duration of symptoms in Group A (MTX) was 3.92 ± 1.51 months and in Group B (LEF) it was 3.35 + 1.42 months. There were 70 (53.8%) males and 60 (46.2%) females in group A and 55 males (42.3%) and 75 (57.7%) females in group B.

Table 1: Demographics of patients

	Study Group	
	Group A(MTX)	Group B (LEF)
No of patients	130	130
Age (years)	52.73±9.34	51.15 + 9.79
Gender		
Male	70 (53.8%)	55 (42.3%)
Female	60 (46.2%)	75 (57.7%)
Mean duration of symptoms(months)	3.92 ± 1.51	3.35 + 1.42

52 patients (40%) were of group A were given steroids along with methotrexate while 46 patients (35.4%) of group B were given steroids along with leflunomide. Similarly 35 patients (26.9%) of group A were started NSAIDS along with methotrexate while 41 patients (31.5%) were given NSAIDS with leflunomide. CRP levels and ESR Values of both groups were done initially for diagnosis and are mentioned in table II.

Table 2: concomitant treatment and lab values at start of treatment

Variable	Study Group	
	Group A (MTX)	Group B (LEF)
Concomitant steroids	52 (40%)	46 (35.4%)
Concomitant NSAIDS	35 (26.9%)	41 (31.5%)
Mean CRP levels	5.1 + 3.33	6.02 + 3.79
Mean ESR levels	49.42 + 9.23	47.82 + 9.6

When adverse effects are seen over a period of 6 months it was seen that 44 patients (33.8%) of group A which were given MTX developed GI symptoms frequently like nausea, vomiting and pain abdomen while 22 patients (16.9%) of group B who were given LEF developed GI symptoms. Similarly hepatotoxicity was seen in 15 patients (11.5%) of MTX group while 27 patients (20.8%) of LEF group developed hepatotoxicity which was statistically significant (p = 0.04). 5 patients (3.8%) of LEF developed liver fibrosis on Ultrasound over a period of 6 months.

Table 3: Adverse effects of MTX vs LEF

Variable	Study Group		P value
	Group A (MTX)	Group B (LEF)	
GI symptoms(Nausea, Vomiting, Pain Abdomen)	44 (33.8%)	22 (16.9%)	0.002
Raised LFTs	15 (11.5%)	27 (20.8%)	0.04
Ultrasound abdomen(fibrosis)	6 (4.6%)	5 (3.8%)	0.7

DISCUSSION

Since last 2-3 decades DMARDs are in active use for treatment of RA¹¹. They are in wide use as they reportedly decrease the

progression of disease by decreasing joint destruction, but their long use is associated with a number of adverse effects like Nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, dyspepsia, mouth ulceration, generalized body rash, alopecia hypertension, headache and hepatotoxicity as reported in different studies^{12,13}. MTX which is an analogue of folic acid affects the metabolism within the cells by decreasing amount of folinic acid (FH4)¹⁴. LEF inhibits the pyrimidine synthesis pathway by blocking the rate limiting enzyme dihydroorotate dehydrogenase. So it inhibits production of T cells as T cells are dependent on pyrimidine for expansion which leads to reduce joint inflammation¹⁵. DMARDs are slow acting antirheumatic drugs which require months to put in their action, but LEF is found to be very quicker in action in relieving symptoms of RA patients as compared to other drugs available. LEF reduces the functional disability of RA patients and reduces the further progression of disease.

In our study patients in LEF group had reported quick relieve of symptoms in early months of commencement of treatment as compared to MTX group which has taken a long time in alleviation of symptoms. These results are similar to study by JS Somlen et al that LEF is quicker in action in symptomatic relief when compared with MTX¹⁶. Similarly study by Ishaq M et al also reported quick response in LEF patients when compared with MTX⁵. LEF is quicker in action in symptomatic relief and it has got GI complaints like Nausea, vomiting, gastritis and pain abdomen. In our study 22 patients (16.9%) of LEF group reported GI complaints while 44 patients (33.8%) of MTX reported similar complaints. These results are similar to results reported by JS Somlen and Dayer Jm et al in two different studies that MTX is associated with increased GI symptoms when compared with LEF^{16,17}. Regarding hepatotoxicity 15 (11.5%) patients of MTX group developed elevated liver enzymes and 27 (20.8%) patients of LEF group developed elevated liver enzymes which was statistically significant. These results are similar to a meta-analysis by Alfaro-Lara R et al who showed the LEF is associated with greater hepatotoxicity and few GI complaints¹⁸. Similarly Choi SR also reported that MTX is associated with low hepatotoxicity¹⁹. 5 patients (3.8%) of LEF group developed mild liver stiffness in 6 monthly follow up period. These results are comparable to those stated by Bafna P et al that LEF is associated with less degree of liver fibrosis as compared to MTX in 6 monthly follow up^{20,21}.

The limitation of this study is that we have compared MTX and LEF alone but nowadays combination of DMARDs are used with other drugs to reduce adverse effects. A study need to be done to evaluate adverse effects in combination therapies.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that most LEF with rapid onset of action is quicker in reducing symptoms of RA patients but it is associated with high degree of hepatotoxicity with low liver fibrosis when compared with MTX.

Conflict of Interest: This study has no conflict of interest be declared by any author.

REFERENCES

1. Yap HY, Tee SZ, Wong MM, Chow SK, Peh SC, Teow SY. Pathogenic role of immune cells in rheumatoid arthritis: implications in clinical treatment and biomarker development. *Cells*. 2018;7(10):161.
2. van der Woude D, van der Helm-van AH. Update on the epidemiology, risk factors, and disease outcomes of rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2018;32(2):174-87.
3. Fraenkel L, Bathon JM, England BR, St. Clair EW, Arayssi T, Carandang K, Deane KD, Genovese M, Huston KK, Kerr G, Kremer J. 2021 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2021;73(7):1108-23.
4. Köhler BM, Günther J, Kaudewitz D, Lorenz HM. Current therapeutic options in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *J. Clin. Med*. 2019 ;8(7):938.
5. Ishaq M, Muhammad JS, Hameed K, Mirza AI. Leflunomide or methotrexate? Comparison of clinical efficacy and safety in low socio-

- economic rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Mod. Rheumatol.* 2011;21(4):375-80.
6. Wang L, Ma L, Lin Y, Liu X, Xiao L, Zhang Y, Xu Y, Zhou H, Pan G. Leflunomide Increases Hepatic Exposure to Methotrexate and Its Metabolite by Differentially Regulating Multidrug Resistance-Associated Protein MRP2/3/4 Transporters via Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor α Activation. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2018;93(6):563-74.
 7. P. Bird, H. Griffiths, K. Tymms, et al., The SMILE study—Safety of methotrexate in combination with leflunomide in rheumatoid arthritis, *J. Rheumatol.* 2013;40(3):228–235.
 8. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges Jr SL, Akl EA, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, Vaysbrot E, McNaughton C, Osani M, Shmerling RH, Curtis JR. 2015 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2016;68(1):1-26.
 9. García DS, Saturansky EI, Poncino D, Martínez-Artola Y, Rosenberg S, Abritta G, Ascimani-Peña C, Cravero A. Hepatic toxicity by methotrexate with weekly single doses associated with folic acid in rheumatoid and psoriatic arthritis. What is its real frequency?. *Ann. Hepatol.* 2019 Sep 1;18(5):765-9.
 10. Gupta R, Gupta SK. Severe hepatotoxicity in a rheumatoid arthritis patient switched from leflunomide to methotrexate. *Medscape J. Med.* 2005;7(3):9.
 11. Kalden JR, Schattenkirchner M, Sörensen H, Emery P, Deighton C, Rozman B, Breedveld F. The efficacy and safety of leflunomide in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: a five-year followup study. *Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology.* 2003 Jun;48(6):1513-20.
 12. Tarp S, Eric Furst D, Boers M, Luta G, Bliddal H, Tarp U, Heller Asmussen K, Brock B, Dossing A, Schjødt Jørgensen T, Thirstrup S. Risk of serious adverse effects of biological and targeted drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review meta-analysis. *Rheumatology.* 2017;56(3):417-25.
 13. Solomon DH, Glynn RJ, Karlson EW, Lu F, Corrigan C, Colls J, Xu C, MacFadyen J, Barbhaya M, Berliner N, Dellaripa PF. Adverse effects of low-dose methotrexate: a randomized trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2020;172(6):369-80.
 14. Maksimovic V, Pavlovic-Popovic Z, Vukmirovic S, Cvejic J, Mooranian A, Al-Salami H, Mikov M, Golocorbin-Kon S. Molecular mechanism of action and pharmacokinetic properties of methotrexate. *Mol. Biol.* 2020 Jun;47(6):4699-708.
 15. Jekic B, Maksimovic N, Damjanovic T. Methotrexate pharmacogenetics in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2019 Nov;20(17):1235-45.
 16. Smolen JS, Emery P. Efficacy and safety of leflunomide in active rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology.* 2000 Jun 1;39(suppl_1):48-56.
 17. Dayer JM, Cutolo M. Is there a rationale to using leflunomide in early rheumatoid arthritis? *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2005;23:404
 18. Alfaro-Lara R, Espinosa-Ortega HF, Arce-Salinas CA, Precis Study Group. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of leflunomide and methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Reumatologia clinica.* 2019 May 1;15(3):133-9.
 19. Choi SR, Park JW, Lee EB, Park JK. Initial methotrexate dosage is not associated with an increased risk of liver toxicity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin. Rheumatol.* 2021 Nov;40(11):4493-500.
 20. Bafna P, Sahoo RR, Hazarika K, Manoj M, Rungta S, Wakhlu A. Prevalence of liver fibrosis by Fibroscan in patients on long-term methotrexate therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin. Rheumatol.* 2021 ;40(9):3605-13.
 21. Friedman B, Cronstein B. Methotrexate mechanism in treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Jt. Bone Spine.* 2019 ;86(3):301-7.