Molecular Identification of Different Bacteria isolated from Infected Wounds at Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar Pakistan
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ABSTRACT

Wound infections are one of the most common hospital acquired infections and are an important cause of morbidity and account for 70-80% mortality. The purpose of the current study was to determine the commonest bacteria associated with wound infections at Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar January 2019 to June 2020. A total of 100 culture positive samples from patients with mean age of 6.2±0.25 were analyzed. Patient history and clinical findings were collected on pre-coded form. Pus samples or wound swabs were collected from infected wounds and were analyzed through culture and biochemical methods for aerobic bacteria. A total of 109 bacteria were isolated from 100 samples with almost same frequency of Gram positive cocci 54(49.54%) and Gram negative bacilli 55(50.45%). Most frequently isolated organism was S. aureus 45(41.28%) followed by Pseudomonas species 20(18.35%). From this study we conclude that wound infection remains an ongoing problem which, although cannot be completely eradicated, however by taking prompt control measures against the most commonly isolated organism and proper care of wound may lead to the minimum of wound infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Wound infections are one of the most common hospital acquired infections and are an important cause of morbidity and account for 70-80% mortality [2]. Development of such infections represent delay healing, cause anxiety and discomfort for patient, longer stays at hospitals and add to cost of healthcare services significantly[3]. The importance of wound infections, in both economic and human terms, should not be underestimated [4]. In a study, on average, patients with a wound infection stay about 6 - 10 days more than if the wounds heal without infections [5]. This additional stay almost doubles the hospital cost that is equivalent to between $1,168 and $2,398 [6]. Wound infections can be caused by different groups of microorganisms like bacteria, fungi and protozoa [7]. However, different microorganisms can exist in polymicrobial communities especially in the margins of wounds and in chronic wounds [8]. The infecting microorganism may belong to aerobic as well as anaerobic group [9]. Most commonly isolated aerobic microorganism include Staphylococcus aureus (31%), Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) (5%), Enterococci (5%), Escherichia coli (9%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (14%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (3%), Enterobacter species (9%), Proteus mirabilis (3.5%), other streptococci (3%), Candida (1.3%) with 80% Candida albicans, Group D streptococci (2%) and Acinetobacter (2%). Other gram-positive aerobes (2%) and anaerobes (2.7%) also cause wound infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This observational descriptive study was conducted at Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar January 2019 to June 2020. The study population was of children irrespective of sex, either admitted to different wards in hospital or visiting the outpatient department, with mean age of 6.25±0.25 years. A total of 100 culture positive samples, from different types of infected wounds, received at microbiology department during this period, were analyzed. 38% samples were from OPD while 62% were from IPD.
Cultures were aerobically incubated at 37°C overnight. Positive cultures were identified using API (Analytical Profile Index) system along with standard diagnostic microbiological laboratory methods like Catalase, Coagulase, D Nase, Oxidase tests [10].

RESULTS

Among different types of infected wounds only surgical and soft tissue wound sample were received with relative frequency of 57% and 43% respectively. Majority of the wounds was infected with a single organism however three wounds were infected with a couple of bacteria while from two specimens 3 organisms were isolated. A total of 109 bacteria were isolated from 100 samples with almost same frequency of Gram positive cocci 54(49.54%) and Gram negative bacilli 55(50.45%). Most frequently isolated organism was S. aureus 45(41.28%) followed by Pseudomonas species 20(18.35%). Rest of the organisms isolated from infected wounds included E.coli, Klebsiella species, CoNS, Proteus species and Serratia species. Their relative frequencies are given in Table-3

Table 3: Frequency of different pathogens isolated from infected wounds (n=109)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Relative frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus species</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherchia coli</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella spp.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescense</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pseudomonas spp.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus spp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratia spp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

This study provides the data about the incidence of locally infected wounds in different age and sex groups of children, visiting or admitted in Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar. In this study Mean age of the children contracting wound infection was ±2.0±0.25 S.E.M. with the highest frequency in the age group 4-6 years (34%). The reported mean age in literature is also in accordance to the results obtained by us i.e. 5.4±3.5 years [11] Rest of the split of the infection in age was 26% in 1–3 years, 18% in 7–9 years, 16% in 10-12 years and 6% in 13–15 years. It indicated that most of the children contracting wound infection, especially undergoing surgery are in the age group range of 1–6 years. The chances for the wound infection go on increasing as the age of the patient increases [12]due to the weakening of the immune response, similarly children have immune system that is not so much strong to compete all types of infections so chances for infection increase many folds. Over all male patients with wound infection were 20% higher than female. Kaabachi et al. [2005][13], in a study at Tunis has reported a sex ratio of 1.6 boys/1 girl while Jamal et al. [2001][14] has reported 1.7 boys 1 female in Pakistan. Our overall calculated sex ratio is 1.5 boys /1 girl. It suggests that contracting wound infection has no relation with sex. The slight difference that has been noted is just due to our social behavior where males are given superiority to the female and if get diseased are brought immediately to hospitals in comparison to female for treatment. The incidence of different types of wound infections was found to be the highest of surgical wound infection (57%) followed by acute soft tissue infection (43%). In literature, incidence for postoperative wound infection has been reported to be 31.37%[15]. Thus our SSIs incidence is 25.63% higher than cited in literature. It is due to the poor care of the wounds and dirty surgical procedure, a source for wound contamination, carried out by patients themselves or due to untrained practitioners in different districts where people initially go for the primitive treatment.[16].The frequency of Gram positive and negative organisms was found to be almost equal,49.54% and 50.45% respectively. However, S. aureus was most frequently isolated organism (41.28%) followed by E. coli (13.95%), Klebsiella spp. (10.95%), P. aeruginosa (5.05%) and P. fluorescense 4.54%, other Pseudomonas spp. (8.26%), CoNS (5.50%), Proteus spp. (4.59%), Streptococcus spp. (2.75%) and Serratia spp. (0.92%). Mumtaz et al. [2002][17]has also found S. aureus as the most common pathogen (49%) followed by E.coli (25.9%) Klebsiella spp. (9.5%), P. aeruginosa (8.6%) and Proteus spp. (4%). However, Mahmood [2000][18] has found somewhat different pattern of frequency of organisms like P. aeruginosa (54.16%), followed by P. aeruginosa (16.3%), E. coli (14.37%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (11.76%), miscellaneous gram negative rods (5.88%) and Streptococcus pyogenes (1.30%). Gales et al. [2000][19] have also found the same prevalence pattern of organism in infected wounds. The slight variability that is found to be seen in different studies is due to the different settings and populations, albeit, general pattern for contaminating organisms is almost same.

CONCLUSION

Our Research Conclude that Contracting wound infection remains an ongoing problem. The main culprit for the wound infection are the trivial organisms like S. aureus, E.coli, Pseudomonas, Klebsiella, etc. Although complete eradication of wound infections is not possible however by taking the preventive measures and adopting prompt clean surgical procedures and proper care of wounds the incidence of wound infection may be limited to minimum. Otherwise wound infections may lead to the morbidity and mortality of to a high count especially in children.
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