# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Pharmacological & Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used by Working Ladies of Reproductive age for the Management of Pre Menstrual Syndrome

HIRA AFREEN<sup>1</sup>, TABINDA QAZI<sup>2</sup>, HIRA BASHIR<sup>3</sup>, SANA REHMAN<sup>4</sup>, SYEDA RABIA<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Consultant OBS &GYN, Dow University Health Science OJHA, Karachi

<sup>2</sup>Senior Registrar, Gynae Obs Department, Dow University Hospital DIMC

<sup>3</sup>Consultant OBS & GYN, JPMC, Karachi

<sup>4</sup>MBBS,FCPS, Women medical officer, Sindh Govt Qatar Hospital Karachi

<sup>5</sup>Head Of Department of Unit 2, DUHS OJHA Karachi

### **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Premenstrual syndrome (PMS), a common cyclic disorder of young and middle-aged women, is characterized by emotional and physical symptoms that consistently occur during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, and remit after onset of menstruation .It's bad impact will influence the professional activities specially in working ladies. Although PMS is undiagnosed disease but Different treatment strategies are being adopted by females to eliminate the symptoms of PMS This study is design to find out which strategy is most commonly practiced especially in working ladies.

**Objectives:** To determine the frequency of pharmacological & non-pharmacologic strategies used by working ladies of reproductive age for the management of pre menstrual syndrome.

Setting: Dow University Hospital, Dow International Medical Centre (DIMC).

**Duration:** 12 months from 15.6.2016 to 15.6.2017

**Designee:** Cross sectional study

**Subject and Methods:** A total of 221 female having PMS problem reported in Gynae OPD were including in this study. All the working women were assessed the management strategies used during PMS to overcome its symptom all the assessment was done. All the information was entered in proforma.

**Results:** The average age of the patients was 26.68±5.31 years. There were 19(8.6%) females used non-pharmacologic strategies, 82(37.1%) was used pharmacological strategies while 120(54.3%) female used both pharmacological & non-pharmacologic strategies to relive PMS. In pharmacological strategies, ponstan and paracetamol were commonly used to relive PMS while in non-pharmacologic strategies exercise and psychological therapy was 35.7% and 38.9% respectively.

**Conclusion:** - In is concluded that complete social and personal counseling and awareness along with medical treatments will improve the life style of the working ladies facing this problems. As it adversely affects the educational, social and emotional well-being, means should be adopted to reduce the incidence of this disorder. **Keywords:** Premenstrual syndrome, pharmacological, non-pharmacologic strategies

# INTRODUCTION

Premenstrual syndrome, a common cyclic disorder of young and middle-aged women, is characterized by emotional and physical symptoms that consistently occur during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, and remit after onset of menstruation. [1-4]. It's bad impact will influence the professional activities specially in working ladies. Although PMS is undiagnosed disease but Different treatment strategies are being adopted by females to eliminate the symptoms of PMS. [4-6].

Premenstrual Symptoms have been difficult to diagnose and treat research findings can be difficult to apply because of the variability of inclusion criteria and outcome measures in clinical trials. Although the diagnosis should be made on the basis of a patient-completed daily symptom calendar and the exclusion of other medical disorders but Initially all patients with PMS should be offered non-pharmacologic therapy i.e. by natural methods, change her lifestyle first like exercise, walk and some little nape [7-8]. In recent years, randomized controlled trials and other well-designed studies have defined diagnostic criteria and identified effective treatments for moderate to severe PMS. The current diagnostic criteria for PMS can be based on the WHO\'s International Classification of diseases

(ICD-10) or the American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (ACOG) or the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) [9]. Reported studies of Pakistan shows that Majority 98.8% of women was unaware of Premenstrual syndrome in Pakistan, and do not follow medical or natural strategies properly [9]. In other countries, like in India the prevalence with PMS is 20% of which 8% suffer with severe symptoms [3], in one of the India study it was found that 42% faced PMS regularly, while 58% occasionally. And only 34% had received the treatment for PMS. According to European studies about 98% women using non pharmacological methods to relive PMS [10] like this in one of the Asian study shows that +41% women pharmacological method to overcome PMS. Same study of Jordan also shows that the most frequently reported self-treatment strategies used by women to alleviate PMS symptoms were taking analgesics, increasing hot fluids, regular exercise and intake practicing relaxation.

The aim of my study is to find out the frequency of pharmacological and non pharmacological strategies used by working ladies in reproductive age. On literature search it has been observed that studies has been done on married ,un married, students and housewives but no study

has been conducted on use of pharmacological and non pharmacological strategies. And the results of my study is based on local data base, so it provide me strong rational to conduct this study in our population to find out which strategy is most commonly practiced especially in working ladies. And I believe that complete social and personal counseling and awareness along with medical treatments will improve the life style of the working ladies facing these problems.

### **METHODOLOGY**

### Inclusion Criteria:

- All those ladies who are whether married or unmarried have reproductive age b/w (15-45) yrs having regular menstrual cycle.
- Working ladies who have PMS problem reported in Gynae OPD.
- Ladies with any parity.
- Duration of work exceed from 6month to 1year.

### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Non-working ladies
- Non reproductive age below 15 and above 45yr.
- House wives and students are excluded.
- Pregnant ladies are excluded by history
- Those who donot have any sign and symptoms specifically before onset of menstruation.

**Data Collection Procedure:** Patient who fulfilled the inclusion criteria was included in this study after taking informed consent. All the working women as mentioned in operational definition was included to assess the management strategies used during PMS to overcome its symptom all the assessment was done under supervision of consultant having more than five years of experience. All the collected information from patient was entered in predesigned proforma.

**Data Analysis Procedure:** Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 20 on computer. Mean, Standard Deviation (S.D) was calculated for age, duration of PMS, duration of work. Frequency and percentage were computed for socioeconomic status, educational status, marital status,

resident, parity, pharmacological& non-pharmacologic strategies. Effect modifier like socioeconomic status, educational status, marital status, resident, age, duration of PMS, duration of work (month, hr) was controlled through stratification. Post stratification applying chi-square test using p value ≤ 0.05 as significant.

## **RESULTS**

A total of 221 female having PMS problem reported in Gynae OPD were including in this study. Most of the women were 21 to 30 years of age. The average age of the patients was 26.68±5.31 years similarly the duration of PMS, duration of working and working hours per day is also given in table 1. There were 166(75.11%) female married and their parity status of these women is presented. Regarding resident status, 169(76.47%) came from urban area and 52(23.53%) from rural. Most of the women were belong to upper class and education status was graduate.

19(8.6%) females used There were pharmacologic strategies, 82(37.1%) was used pharmacological strategies while 120(54.3%) female used both pharmacological& non-pharmacologic strategies to relive PMS. Frequency of pharmacological & nonpharmacologic strategies used by working ladies of reproductive age for the management of pre menstrual syndrome is presented. In pharmacological strategies, ponstan and paracetamol were commonly used to relive PMS while in non-pharmacologic strategies exercise and psychological therapy was 35.7% and 38.9% respectively.

Stratification analysis was performed and observed that rate of pharmacological& non-pharmacologic strategies used to relive PMS was not significant with respect to age group except rate of GnRH was significantly high in above 30 years of age (p=0.0005). Similarly stratification with respect to marital status, Socio economic status, Resident status, education status, duration of pms and duration of working and working hours per day was performed and presented in 3 to 9 respectively.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics Of Variables

Variables	Mean	Std. Deviation	95% Confidence Ir	nterval for Mean	Median	Inter quartile Range
			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
Age Groups (Years)	26.68	5.31	25.98	27.39	26	8
Duration of PMS (months)	11.018	9.74	10.395	12.21	6	9.0
Duration of Work	15.42	13.83	13.47	17.37	9	18
Working hours per day (hours)	8	1.30	6.83	8.19	7	2

Table 2: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Age Groups N=221

Pharmacological&	•	Age Groups (Years	s)	P-Value	
Non-Pharmacologic		≤20	21 to 30	>30	
Strategies		n=26	n=160	n=35	
	Paracetamol	7(26.9%)	38(23.8%)	11(31.4%)	0.627
Pharmacolocal Strategies	Ponstan (mefanemic acid)	16(61.5%)	96(60%)	15(42.9%)	0.161
Strategies	OCP	2(7.7%)	20(12.5%)	2(5.7%)	0.434
	GnRH	0(0%)	2(1.3%)	6(17.1%)	0.0005
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	13(50%)	52(32.5%)	14(40%)	0.191
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	13(50%)	60(37.5%)	13(37.1%)	0.466

Table 3: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Marital Status N=221

Pharmacological&	Pharmacological&			P-Value
Non-Pharmacologic Strategies		Yes	No	
	Paracetamol	36(21.7%)	20(36.4%)	0.030
Pharmacolocal	Ponstan (mefanemic acid)	96(57.8%)	31(56.4%)	0.849
Strategies	OCP	23(13.9%)	1(1.8%)	0.013
	GnRH	8(4.8%)	0(0%)	0.097
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	60(36.1%)	19(34.5%)	0.830
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	62(37.3%)	24(43.6%)	0.407

Table 4: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Socio Economic Status N=221

Pharmacological&		Socio Econom	ic Status	P-Value	
Non-Pharmacologic Strategies		Low n=18	Middle n=79	High n=124	
- Charagies	Paracetamol	9(50%)	20(25.3%)	27(21.8%)	0.037
Pharmacolocal Strategies	Ponstan (mefenemic acid)	3(16.7%)	52(65.8%)	72(58.1%)	0.001
Strategies	OCP	2(11.1%)	4(5.1%)	18(14.5%)	0.108
	GnRH	2(11.1%)	0(0%)	6(4.8%)	0.041
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	9(50%)	35(44.3%)	35(28.2%)	0.028
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	8(44.4%)	22(27.8%)	56(45.2%)	0.042

Table 5: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Resident Status N=221

Pharmacological&	Pharmacological&		TUS	P-Value
Non-Pharmacologic		Urban	Rural	
Strategies				
	Paracetamol	45(26.6%)	11(21.2%)	0.427
Pharmacolocal	Ponstan(mefanemic acid)	99(58.6%)	28(53.8%)	0.546
Strategies	OCP	19(11.2%)	5(9.6%)	0.742
	GnRH	6(3.6%)	2(3.8%)	0.920
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	61(36.1%)	18(34.6%)	0.846
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	67(39.6%)	19(36.5%)	0.688

Table 6: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Education Status N=221

Pharmacological&		Education Status				P-Value
Non-Pharmacologic		Middle or lower	Matric	Inter	Graduate	
Strategies		n=16	n=36	n=52	n=117	
	Paracetamol	8(50%)	9(25%)	18(34.6%)	21(17.9%)	0.012
Pharmacolocal	Ponstan(mefanemic acid)	4(25%)	24(66.7%)	26(50%)	73(62.4%)	0.015
Strategies	OCP	0(0%)	3(8.3%)	6(11.5%)	15(12.8%)	0.444
	GnRH	0(0%)	0(0%)	4(7.7%)	4(3.4%)	0.218
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	2(12.5%)	19(52.8%)	19(36.5%)	39(33.3%)	0.035
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	10(62.5%)	11(30.6%)	18(34.6%)	47(40.2%)	0.152

Table 7: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Duration Of Pms N=221

Pharmacological&	Pharmacological&		S (months)	P-Value	
Non-Pharmacologic		≤6	7 to 9	10-12	
Strategies		n=113	n=65	n=43	
	Paracetamol	34(30.1%)	13(20%)	9(20.9%)	0.251
Pharmacolocal Strategies	Ponstan (mefanemic acid)	67(59.3%)	35(53.8%)	25(58.1%)	0.775
	OCP	6(5.3%)	14(21.5%)	4(9.3%)	0.003
	GnRH	2(1.8%)	2(3.1%)	4(9.3%)	0.076
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	61(54%)	16(24.6%)	2(4.7%)	0.0005
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	50(44.2%)	28(43.1%)	8(18.6%)	0.010

Table 8: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Duration Of Work N=221

Pharmacological&		Duration of Work		P-Value
Non-Pharmacologic		≤12 month	>12 months	
Strategies				
Pharmacolocal	Paracetamol	42(26.8%)	14(21.9%)	0.450

Strategies	Ponstan (mefanemic acid)	95(60.5%)	32(50%)	0.152
	OCP	12(7.6%)	12(18.8%)	0.016
	GnRH	0(0%)	8(12.5%)	0.005
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	61(38.9%)	18(28.1%)	0.131
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	63(40.1%)	23(35.9%)	0.562

Table 9: Frequency Of Pharmacological& Non-Pharmacologic Strategies Used By Working Ladies Of Reproductive Age For The Management Of Pre Menstrual Syndrome By Duration Of Working Hours N=221

Pharmacological&	-	Duration of Working h	nours	P-Value
Non-Pharmacologic		<8 hours	>8 hours	
Strategies				
	Paracetamol	38(30.4%)	18(18.8%)	0.048
Pharmacolocal Strategies	Ponstan (Mefanemic acid)	64(51.2%)	63(65.6%)	0.032
Strategies	OCP	14(11.2%)	10(10.4%)	0.853
	GnRH	2(1.6%)	6(6.3%)	0.067
Non-Pharmacolocal	Exercise	61(48.8%)	18(18.8%)	0.0005
Strategies	Psycological Therapy	55(44%)	31(32.3%)	0.077

# DISCUSSION

It has been estimated that as many as 80% of women of reproductive age may be suffering from some symptoms of PMS.12 If the ICD-10 criteria are employed, it seems that 79.9% of women in this study were suffering from PMS. While there is very little published material on PMS and PMDD emerging from Pakistan It seems that PMS afflicts a significant number of women here.[14-16] It is difficult to compare these studies, as different symptoms and diagnostic criteria and convenience sampling has been employed; so the results cannot be generalized to the diverse population of women in Pakistan. The results of this survey concur with the results of similar surveys of European and Latin American women,[17,18]as physical symptoms predominate. The maximum prevalence of symptoms and syndromes is occurring by 35 years of age, similar to the European and Latin American women. In this study the average age of the patients was 26.68±5.31 years. This is not surprising, as we know this condition is prevalent in ovulatory cycles. (Women who constitutionally predisposed to experience these symptoms in response to hormonal cycles, would have noticed these symptoms at an earlier age).

Reported studies of Pakistan shows that Majority 98.8% of women were unaware of Premenstrual syndrome in Pakistan, and do not follow medical or natural strategies properly [9]. In other countries, like in India the prevalence with PMS is 20% of which 8% suffer with severe symptoms [3], in one of the India study it was found that 42% faced PMS regularly, while 58% occasionally and only 34% had received the treatment for PMS. In present study there 19(8.6%) females used non-pharmacologic strategies, 82(37.1%) was used pharmacological strategies while 120(54.3%) female used both pharmacological& nonpharmacologic strategies to relive PMS. In Pal et al study [9] there was a higher percentage of women using the COCP (21.5%) in Lahore as compared to 5% in Islamabad and 2.6% in Karachi. As the total percentage of pill users (0.2%) was so small in this study, a comparison with nonusers was not made. This conforms to the low average contraceptive pill usage in Pakistan (2%),[19]being lower in rural areas which have not been sampled in this study.

According to European studies about 98% women using non pharmacological methods to relive PMS [10] like

this in one of the Asian study shows that 41% women pharmacological method to overcome PMS. Same study of Jordan also shows that the most frequently reported self-treatment strategies used by women to alleviate PMS symptoms were taking analgesics, increasing hot fluids, regular exercise and intake practicing relaxation.

Nisar et al [14] interviewed 172 medical students with aprospective record of 2 menstrual cycles and found that 51% suffered from PMS (ICD-10), while 5.8 % had PMDD. Mood symptoms predominated in this study (40%-83%) as compared to physical symptoms (68%). There was great impairment in ADL in 74% of those suffering from it. Shershah et al<sup>[15]</sup> looked at the prevalence of PMS in 1600 women from multi ethnic backgrounds in Karachi who answered a questionnaire with the help of lady school teachers and medical students, and were diagnosed to have PMS if > 3 symptoms occurred in the last 6 cycles (in each cycle prior to menses, resolved with onset of menses). This was retrospective recall of symptoms and diagnostic criteria were not of ICD-10, ACOG or DSM IV. However, with their own above definition, they found the total prevalence of PMS was 33%; it was lowest in para 1 and 0 (20.89%) and highest in para 4 and above (55.89%). and more prevalent in the lower social class (57.5% to 60.6 %); it was lower in Pathanwomen (11.6%) as compared to Punjabi, Mohajir, Sindhi and Baluchi women (30.6%). Majority affected by PMS were housewives (38%) and while students, doctors, nurses and teachers showed a slightly lower incidence of 27-30%. Physical symptoms predominate in this study as well. The most dominant symptoms being: lower abdominal pain, cramps, backache, breast pain, social withdrawal, anxiety/ mood change, irritability/ depression. [16] These are similar to the frequency of symptoms reported by Tabassum et al in medical students;[16] however mood symptoms predominated in this study (92.8%) while they constituted 12-19% in the earlier study.[15]Treatment sought was with vitamins, analgesics, herbal remedies and homeopathic drugs.

In a study from Iran, it is also found that 98.2% Iranian female university students suffer from  $PMS^{[20]}$ . In Pal et al $^{[9]}$  study, who found 79.9% prevalence of PMS among Pakistani women in a population based study. Previous studies conducted on the medical students also noted variations in the frequency of PMS, as a study from

Pakistan found PMS in 51% students<sup>[14]</sup> while 35.6% frequency was reported in a study conducted on Saudi medical students <sup>[21]</sup>.

Such variations in PMS prevalence can be explained on the basis of differences in the data collection methods, sampling techniques, type of the population studied and most of all, the diagnostic criteria used to define the PMS. However the frequency distribution of PMS symptoms and their severity among undergraduate female medical students of this study was in accordance with the frequencies reported in the previous studies [14, 16, 23, 24].

It is remarkable that the majority of women suffering from PMS in this study, used no treatment/ medication to relieve their symptoms. This is consistent with the cultural influences which tell Pakistani women to accept these symptoms as part of being a woman rather than complain about it, there are greater pains to bear as a woman. Amongst those who did use medication the majority were satisfied with their treatment.

### CONCLUSION

In is concluded that complete social and personal counseling and awareness along with medical treatments will improve the life style of the working ladies facing this problems. As it adversely affects the educational, social and emotional well-being, means should be adopted to reduce the incidence of this disorder. Further studies on large sample of population should be conducted to confirm these results and to plan out strategies for better detection and management of PMS in young girls.

# **REFERENCES**

- Dickerson LM, pharm. D, Mazyck PJ, Pharm D, and Hunter MH, et al. premenstrual syndrome. Am Fam Physician. 2003 Apr 15; 67(8):1743-52.78
- Zaka M, Mahmood KT Pre-Menstrual Syndrome- a review J. Pharm Sci & Res. 2012; 4(1):1684-1691.
- Brahmbhatt S, Sattigeri B. M., Shah H, Kumar A, Parikh P. A prospective survey study on premenstrual syndrome in young and middle aged women with an emphasis on its management, int j med sci. 2013; 1(2): 69-72.
- 4 Cheng SH, Sun ZJ, Lee IH, Shih-CC, Chen KC, Hsien Lin-S, et al. Perception of premenstrual syndrome and attitude of evaluations of work performance among incoming university female students. Biomedical journal 2015 Apr 10; 38(2):167-72
- 5 Daugherty JE. Treatment strategies for premenstrual syndrome. Am Fam Physician. 1998; 58:183–92,197–8.
- Moline ML, Zendell SM. Evaluating and managing premenstrual syndrome. Medscape Womens Health. 2000; 5:1–16.
- 7 ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician-gynecologists. Number 15, April 2000. Premenstrual syndrome. Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 95:1–9.

- James A, Tom NR, Varghese GH, Lakshmi S, Kumar T RA, Sivakumar T. Pre Menstrual Syndrome Different Approaches of Management Int.J.Pharm. Sci. Rev.Res. July–August 2014: 66:361-66
- 9 Pal SA, Dennerstein L, Lehert P. Premenstrual syndrome in Pakistani women and their effect on activities of daily life. J Pak Med79 Assoc. 2011 Aug; 61(8):763-8.
- 10 Campbell MA, McGrath PJ, Non pharmacological strategies used by adolescent for the management of menstrual discomfort Clin ZJ Pain. 1999 Dec;15(4):313-20
- 11 Yonkers KA, O'Brien PM, Eriksson E. Premenstrual syndrome. Lancet. 2008; 371:1200-4.
- 12 O'Brien PM, Bäckström T, Brown C. Towards a consensus on diagnostic criteria, measurement and trial design of the premenstrual disorders: the ISPMD Montreal consensus. Arch WomensMent Health 2011; 14:13-15.
- Hartlage SA, Freels S, Gotman N, Yonkers K. Criteria for premenstrual dysphoric disorder: secondary analyses of relevant data sets. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012; 69:300-6.
- Nisar N, Zehra N, Haider G, Munir AA, Sohoo NA. Frequency, intensity andimpact of premenstrual syndrome in medical students. J Coll Physicians SurgPak 2008;18:481-4.
- 15 Shershah S, Morrison JJ, Jafarey S. Prevalence of premenstrual syndrome inPakistani women. J Pakistan Med Assoc. 1991;41:101-3.
- Tabassum S, Afridi B, Aman Z, Tabassum W, Durrani R. PremenstrualSyndrome: Frequency and severity in young college girls. J Pak Med Assoc. 2005; 55: 546-9.
- 17 Dennerstein L, Lehert P, Bäckström TC, Heinemann K. The effect ofpremenstrual symptoms on activities of daily life. Fertility and Sterility. Accepted May 2009a; In Press.
- Dennerstein L, Léhert P, Bäckström TC, Heinemann K. Premenstrual symptoms- severity, duration and typology: an international cross-sectional study. Menopause Int 2009; 15: 120-6.
- 19 Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey (PDHS) 2006-2007.
- 20 Bakhshani N, Mousavi M, Khodabandeh G. Prevalence and severity ofpremenstrual symptoms among Iranian female university students. JPak Med Assoc 2009; 59(4):205-8
- 21 Balaha MH, El Monem Amr MA, Al Moghannum MS, Al Muhaidab NS. The phenomenology of premenstrual syndrome in female medical students: a cross sectional study. Pan Afr Med J 2010; 5:4.94
- 22 Tenkir A, Fisseha N, Ayele B. Premenstrual syndrome, prevalence and effect on academic and social performances of students in Jimma University, Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Dev. 2003;17:181-8.
- 23 Rasheed P, Al-Sowielem LS. Prevalence and predictors of premenstrual syndrome among college-aged women in Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi Med. 2003: 23: 381.
- 24 Bakar I, Ez-Elarab S. Prevalence of premenstrual syndrome and the effect of its severity on the quality of life among medical students. J Egypt Community Med. 2010;28(2):19-30