

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Age-Related Vestibular Dysfunction with Motion Sensitive Vertigo and Risk of Fall in Adults

MUHAMMAD MUNEEB¹, IRAM SHAFEE², SADAF WARIS³, TAQDEES MANZOOR⁴

¹Lecturer, University of Management and Technology, Lahore

²Senior Lecturer, Riphah International University, Lahore

³Demonstrator, University of Management and Technology, Sialkot Campus

⁴Consultant Physiotherapist, Quick Neuro Relief

Correspondence to: Sadaf Waris, Email: sadaf54@gmail.com, Cell: 0308-8112501

ABSTRACT

Background: This ageing is physiological process associated with vestibular dysfunction. The incidence of vestibular problems increases with age and can lead to drops, dropping risk, lack of confidence, pain and depression. Vestibular disorder is commonly characterized by vertigo or body discomfort (look and aggravation of postural stability) (a sense of spinning movement). In older adults with a fall history, peripheral vestibular disorders are among the most frequently known and widespread vestibular dysfunctions.

Aim: To associate vestibular dysfunction and motion sensitive vertigo and risk of fall in adults.

Methodology: Cross sectional study with convenient non- random sampling. For tests has been applied for screening vestibular dysfunction. If a participant shows 2 tests positive have vestibular dysfunction. SPSS 21 is used for data analysis.

Results: Highly significant results between association of age with motion sensitive and age with fall efficacy scale P value is less than 5. Results are also significant between MSQ and FES-1.

Conclusion: After conducting this research it has been concluded. That age-related vestibular dysfunction significantly associated with motion sensitive vertigo and risk of fall. As with the age people are more concerned about fall

Keywords: vestibular dysfunction, vertigo, risk of fall in adults

INTRODUCTION

Maturing or aging is described by constant physiological changes in the frameworks of the body, which at a propelled age lead to decreased capacity and increased impotence to infections or aggravations of well-being(1) . Efforts to understand maturing have stressed the need to recognize maturing from sickness as an outcome of this view. In fact, the need to view maturing separately from diseases was a major reason for the Baltimore Longitudinal Study on Aging, the primary large-scale maturing investigation (2). Constant infections gather with maturing past conceptual models, and together maturing and sicknesses show common associations in causing well-being disintegration, physical and thought capacity, and sudden passage. So it remains completely uncertain whether we can actually distinguish the optional impacts of infection from those of essentially maturing (3). However, if we take a look in medical course books at disease pathophysiology, apparently a few basic biological procedures are at the center of numerous conditions or ailments. Diseases occur spontaneously in some people and not in others, are associated with particular exogenous hazard factors and pathophysiological elements, and the death threat may not be extended. These features help to avoid illnesses⁴. The basic highlights of many age-related well-being disorders are oxidative pressure, aggravation, inadequate fixation, and apoptosis, including atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, kidney infection, dementia, pneumonic disease, osteoporosis, and malignancy. Tissue and infection may be explicit in the molecular components for each condition; however, they share comparable biological procedures for the response to harm. The intracellular and transcellular housekeeping components that fail their homeostatic goals are the same

at the end of the day, but their local regional distribution is distinctive across diseases, likely due to territorial helplessness (*locus minoris resistentiae*) due to genetic basis or ecological impacts. It is common for drugs based on the basic framework of each of these conditions to have a large impact on various diseases and overall well-being status due to the mutual characteristics of important biological procedures to some extent. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, for example, have been shown to be effective for joint inflammation torment by concentrating on discomfort, but have inhibited cardiovascular effects⁵. Restricting the right to additionally screen for dementia response. Statins were originally used to decrease serum cholesterol, but ongoing research indicates that their preventive action will be increasingly recognized by their anti-inflammatory properties⁶.

Gradual physiological changes in body structures, such as reductions in muscle strength, speed of movement, reaction time, and changes in equilibrium and integumentary systems, are accompanied by ageing. Deftness is continually undermined by the mechanism of maturation. To some degree, this is likely to cause degeneration and dynamic loss of nerve cells in the peripheral and central vestibular frame, leading to instability and dizziness^{7,8}. Although the dysfunction may be caused by various factors, almost one percent of these cases are caused by vestibular problems^{4,9,10}. In 18.5% of adults aged 40 to 49, in 49.4% of older adults aged 60 to 69 and up to 84.8% of older adults aged 80 and over, vestibular disorders exist¹¹. In addition to being slightly more severe at an advanced age, impairment in older people may be considered increasingly dangerous¹², at a time when impedances can occur in other body balance control frameworks. Inconsistency involves specific expert

consideration among the different outcomes of maturing, since it is associated with a few etiological components¹³. This symptom is also highly prevalent in older age¹⁴.

Dizziness can be caused by a wide variety of mild and severe conditions, of which no substantial amount is clearly known. The manifestation settles immediately for most cases, but a large number of patients have chronic, disabled, disabled side effects and a handful have a dangerous disease^{15,16,17}. "Dizziness" refers to specific anomalous symptoms that correlate with the body's perception of the connection to surrounding space¹⁸. Four subtypes were described by Drachman and Hart in a great paper: vertigo, pre-syncope, lightheadedness, disequilibrium and complex dizziness. Nearly 30 years after the fact, this typology remains the basis for describing and classifying dizziness, as it has long since replaced the narrow concept ("vertigo") used in previous studies^{14,19}.

Vertigo is a false perception that the body or the world is shifting (usually spinning). It indicates a weakening of the vestibular system, while, for example, psychiatric illnesses can also lead to panic disorders²⁰. Pre-syncope is a light-headed experience often characterized as an imminent feeling of faintness. It is episodic and typically the product of acute cerebral transient ischemia. Unbalance is a feeling of imbalance usually defined as involving the legs and trunk without a sensation in the head (postural instability). Neuromuscular disorders are typically due to isolated disequilibrium symptoms; imbalances following other forms of dizziness are normally a secondary symptom. Usually, some dizziness is described as vague or floating or it may be difficult for the patient to describe the feeling. Generally, this dizziness takes a long time and is most commonly caused by psychiatric conditions²¹.

This ageing physiological process is associated with vestibular dysfunction⁷. The incidence of vestibular problems increases with age and can lead to drops, dropping risk, lack of confidence, pain and depression²². Vestibular disorder is commonly characterized by vertigo or body discomfort (look and aggravation of postural stability) (a sense of spinning movement)^{11,22}. During exercises that include head changes, movements, and ambulation, these side effects are usually triggered. Vestibular problems are therefore answered a lot of the time to prompt noteworthy inconvenience, to diminish independence day by day exercises and to upset the body's balance^{11,23}. Vestibular dysfunction visibly weakens the confidence in equilibrium capacity, which leads to increased danger²⁴.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

It was a cross sectional study design. Data was collected from National Hospital Lahore, Faisal Rehab Center, Green Health Clinic and General adult population of Lahore who are willing for participation. Study was completed in the period of four months after the approval of synopsis. Non – randomized convenient sampling technique was used. Sample Size was calculated using EPI Tool, by taking estimated true proportion 0.03, desired precision 0.05(+/-), confidence interval 0.95 and population size 3500, which turns out to be 296. Both male and female adults with the age between 35 to 65 were included. Patients positive with two of the data screening tool (Fukuda Test, Dix Hal pike Test, Sharpened Romberg Test,

Roll test) were also included in this study. Unwilling participants, and Adults with trauma, Hearing Loss, Tumor, Cerebrovascular accidents, obesity, and diabetic Mellitus were excluded from this study. Data was collected through two questionnaires one is for Motion Sensitive Quotient and other is Fall Efficacy Scale. The data was handled and analyzed using SPSS 21. Quantitative data submitted on the mean+-S.D. method.

RESULTS

Table 1: Gender

Valid	Frequency	%	Valid%	Cumulative%
Male	149	50.2	50.2	50.2
Female	148	49.8	49.8	100.0
Total	297	100.0	100.0	

Table 1: Represents the number of total population of 297. In this population frequency of male participants was 149 with percentage 50.2%. In this population frequency of female participants was 148 with percentage 49.8%.

Table 2: Age of participants

Valid	Frequency	%	Valid%	Cumulative%
35 – 45	107	36.0	36.0	36.0
46 – 55	107	36.0	36.0	72.1
56 – 65	83	27.9	27.9	100.0
Total	297	100.0	100.0	

Table 2, Represents the age of participants. Frequency from the age of 35-45 was 107 and 36.0 %. Frequency from the age of 46-55 was 107 and 36.0 %. Frequency from the age of 56-65 was 83 and 27.9 %.

Table 3: Motion sensitivity test

Valid	Frequency	%	Valid%	Cumulative%
Mild (0 to 10)	103	34.7	34.7	34.7
Moderate (11 to 30)	123	41.4	41.4	76.1
Severe (31 - 100)	71	23.9	23.9	100.0
Total	297	100.0	100.0	

Table 3, Represents the motion sensitivity test. Frequency of Motion sensitivity test of mild was 103 and 34.7%. Frequency of Motion sensitivity test of moderate was 123 and 41.4%. Frequency of Motion sensitivity test of severe was 71 and 23.9 %.

Table 4: Fall Efficacy Scale – 1

Valid	Frequency	%	Valid%	Cumulative%
Low Concern (16 to 19)	93	31.3	31.3	31.3
Moderate Concern (20 to 27)	91	30.6	30.6	62.0
High Concern (28 to 64)	113	38.0	38.0	100.0
Total	297	100.0	100.0	

Table 4: Represents the frequency of Fall Efficacy Scale-1. Frequency of Fall Efficacy Scale-1 with low concern was 93% and 31.3%. Frequency of Fall Efficacy Scale-1 with moderate concern was 91 and 30.6%. Frequency of Fall Efficacy Scale-1 with high concern was 113% and 38%.

Table 5: Age of Participants and Motion Sensitivity Test

Age of Participants	Motion Sensitivity Test			Total
	Mild (0 to 10)	Moderate (11 to 30)	Severe (31 - 100)	
35 – 45	47	39	21	107
46 – 55	34	57	16	107
56 – 65	22	27	34	83
Total	103	123	71	297

Motion sensitivity test of mild, moderate and severe between age of participants from 35-45 was 47, 39, 21 respectively and total was 107. Motion sensitivity test of mild, moderate and severe between age of participants from 46-55 was 34, 57, 16 respectively and total was 107. Motion sensitivity test of mild, moderate and severe between age of participants from 56-65 was 22, 27, 34 respectively and total 83.

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the study was to associate the age with vertigo and risk of fall in adults. Because in past it has been observed vertigo can only be present in Old age population. But in recent studies vertigo is also present in 40year adults and they are not more concern about fall. The main concept of the study was to aware people about dizziness; vertigo can be present or affect their lives between 35 to 65 Year.

As already discussed with age there are some special changes occurs these changes in the structure and capacity of the tactile frameworks could bring about instability and falls. These changes are seen due to the maturing process itself, as it is widely perceived that healthy elderly people and younger adults use specific reaction examples and systems to maintain their balance^{2,3}. It was a thought, that younger adults are not concerned about fall but in our results in (Table 7). 62 Participants are concerned about fall with age 35 – 45 out of 107 participants.

Vestibular problems occur in 18.5% of adults aged 40 to 49, in 49.4% of older adults age 60 to 69 and up to 84.8% of older adults aged 80 and over¹¹. As this article shows vestibular problems starts from age 40 and considerably increased with age. In later studies researcher only focused on old people because they are more prone to fall.

In addition to being slightly more severe at an advanced age, impairment in older people may be considered increasingly dangerous¹². This ageing physiological process is associated with vestibular dysfunction⁷. The frequency of vestibular problems increases with age and can lead to drops, danger of falling, loss of certainty, un-comfort and depression²². As according to our result in (Table 5) shows 34 participants with age 55 – 65 have motion sensitivity issues out of 83 participants. Similarly, they are more concerned about their issue. As shows in (Table 7) 60 participants with age 55 – 65 are highly concerned about fall out of 83 participants.

This paper published in the US Younger Adults National Health and Nutrition Review Survey also confirms our findings that 35% of US adults 40 years of age and older had evidence of postural metric-based balance dysfunction. With age, the risks of balance dysfunction

increased dramatically, so that 85 % of people aged 80 and over have evidence of balance dysfunction. In addition, among people with diabetes, the risk of balance dysfunction was found to be 70% higher²⁵.

The main purpose of taking adults in this article was because 35 – 45 age is the ideal in which vestibular changes can be at peak. As published in 2016 by Bigelow RT and colleagues in this paper, vestibular perceptual thresholds in 105 healthy humans (54F/51 M) ranging from 18 to 80 years of age found that for all five motion directions tested, thresholds increased significantly over the age of 40 years. Also taking into account age and other factors, a strong association between balance test failures and increased roll-tilt thresholds was found²⁶.

The failure rate of the balance test increased slightly with the age of participants 40 years and older. Diabetes and obesity are the two main health issues associated with dysfunctional communication, with 57 percent and 19 percent failure of standard 4 test levels, respectively. In order to follow the necessary diagnostic and rehabilitation measures, with emphasis on reducing morbidity and mortality, vestibular function in people 40 years of age and older or with diabetes should be included.⁽²⁷⁾

CONCLUSION

After conducting this research it has been concluded that age related vestibular dysfunction significantly associated with motion sensitive vertigo and risk of fall. As with the age people are more concerned about fall.

Conflict of interest: Nil

REFERENCES

1. Newman AB, Ferrucci L. Call for papers: Aging versus disease. Oxford University Press; 2009.
2. Ferrucci L. The Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA): a 50-year-long journey and plans for the future. Oxford University Press; 2008.
3. Browner WS, Kahn AJ, Ziv E, Reiner AP, Oshima J, Cawthon RM, et al. The genetics of human longevity. The American journal of medicine. 2004;117(11):851-60.
4. Polensek SH, Sterk CE, Tusa RJ. Screening for vestibular disorders: a study of clinicians' compliance with recommended practices. Medical Science Monitor. 2008;14(5):CR238-CR42.
5. Ray WA, Stein CM, Daugherty JR, Hall K, Arbogast PG, Griffin MR. COX-2 selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of serious coronary heart disease. The Lancet. 2002;360(9339):1071-3.
6. Schönbeck U, Libby P. Inflammation, immunity, and HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors: statins as antiinflammatory agents? Circulation. 2004;109(21_suppl_1):II-18-II-26.
7. Ricci NA, Aratani MC, Caovilla HH, Ganança FF. Effects of conventional versus multimodal vestibular rehabilitation on functional capacity and balance control in older people with chronic dizziness from vestibular disorders: design of a randomized clinical trial. Trials. 2012;13(1):246.
8. Monzani D, Genovese E, Marrara A, Presutti L, Gherpelli C, Panzetti P, et al. Stimulation of the cholinergic neurotransmissions enhances the efficacy of vestibular rehabilitation. Acta Otorhinolaryngologica Italica. 2010;30(1):11.
9. Marchetti GF, Whitney SL. Older adults and balance dysfunction. Neurologic clinics. 2005;23(3):785-805.

10. Hall CD, Cox LC. The role of vestibular rehabilitation in the balance disorder patient. *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*. 2009;42(1):161-9.
11. Agrawal Y, Carey JP, Della Santina CC, Schubert MC, Minor LB. Disorders of balance and vestibular function in US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001-2004. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2009;169(10):938-44.
12. Katsarkas A. Dizziness in aging: the clinical experience. *Geriatrics*. 2008;63(11).
13. Tinetti ME, Williams CS, Gill TM. Dizziness among older adults: a possible geriatric syndrome. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2000;132(5):337-44.
14. Sloane PD, Coeytaux RR, Beck RS, Dallara J. Dizziness: state of the science. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2001;134(9_Part_2):823-32.
15. Sloane P, Blazer D, George LK. Dizziness in a community elderly population. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 1989;37(2):101-8.
16. Bailey KE, Sloane PD, Mitchell M, Preisser J. Which primary care patients with dizziness will develop persistent impairment? *Archives of family medicine*. 1993;2(8):847-52.
17. Sloane PD, Dallara J, Roach C, Bailey KE, Mitchell M, McNutt R. Management of dizziness in primary care. *J Am Board Fam Pract*. 1994;7(1):1-8.
18. Dorland W. *Dorland's illustrated medical dictionary, including Modern drugs and dosage*. 1957.
19. Drachman DA. A 69-year-old man with chronic dizziness. *Jama*. 1998;280(24):2111-8.
20. Simon NM, Pollack MH, Tuby KS, Stern TA. Dizziness and panic disorder: a review of the association between vestibular dysfunction and anxiety. *Annals of Clinical Psychiatry*. 1998;10(2):75-80.
21. Drachman DA, Hart CW. An approach to the dizzy patient. *Neurology*. 1972.
22. Zeigelboim BS, Klagenberg KF, Teive HAG, Munhoz RP, Martins-Bassetto J. Vestibular rehabilitation: clinical benefits to patients with Parkinson's disease. *Arquivos de neuro-psiquiatria*. 2009;67(2A):219-23.
23. Sturnieks DL, St George R, Lord SR. Balance disorders in the elderly. *Neurophysiologie Clinique/Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2008;38(6):467-78.
24. Whitney S, Hudak M, Marchetti G. The dynamic gait index relates to self-reported fall history in individuals with vestibular dysfunction. *Journal of Vestibular Research*. 2000;10(2):99-105.
25. Agrawal Y, Ward BK, Minor LBJJovre, orientation. Vestibular dysfunction: prevalence, impact and need for targeted treatment. 2013;23(3):113.
26. Bermúdez Rey MC, Clark TK, Wang W, Leeder T, Bian Y, Merfeld DM. Vestibular perceptual thresholds increase above the age of 40. *Frontiers in neurology*. 2016;7:162.
27. Bermúdez Rey MC, Clark TK, Merfeld DM. Balance screening of Vestibular Function in subjects aged 4 Years and Older: a living laboratory experience. *Frontiers in Neurology*. 2017;8:631