ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Outcome Comparison of two Groups of total Extraperitoneal and Mesh Repair of Inguinal Hernia

MUHAMMAD AAMIR JAMIL¹, MUHAMMAD ASIF², IMRAN YOUSAF³, MUHAMMAD FAHEEM ANWER⁴, MUHAMMAD WASEEM ANWAR⁵ ¹Senior Registrar of Surgery, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore

²Assistant Prof. of Surgery, M. Islam Teaching Hospital, Gujranwala

³Senior Registrar of Surgery, Pak Red Crescent Medical and Dental College, Dina Nath, Kasur

⁴Associate Prof. of Surgery, CMH Lahore ⁵Assistant Prof. of Surgery, CMH Lahore

Correspondence to Dr. Muhammad Aamir Jameel, E-mail: dr.amirjamil8@gmail.com, Mob: 03432145100/03337474899

ABSTRACT

Aim: The outcome comparison of total extraperitoneal versus mesh repair for inguinal hernia.

Study design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and duration of study: Department of Surgery, M. Islam Teaching Hospital, Gujranwala from March 2018 to March 2019.

Methodology: After the approval of hospital ethical committee, a total of 50 patients were included and randomly divided into two groups equally. Group A (Total extraperitoneal), Group B (Mesh repair). An informed consent was taken from every patient about operative procedure and the outcome. A detailed history of the patient i.e. clinical examination, routine investigations (CBC, Urine R/E, urea, creatinine) and some specific investigations (chest X-ray, ECG and ultrasound abdomen and prostate) was done for surgery. All data of patients was collected on proforma and was analyzed with the help of a computer SPSS programme 20.

Results: The mean age of patients was 34.22±11.54 years in group A and 35.63±11.25 years in group B. All male and female patients included in this study in both groups. Twelve (48%) of patients were direct inguinal hernia in group A 13(22%) were in group B and 14(56%) patients were in group A and 11(44%) patients were in group B. The mean±SD postoperative hospital stay was 24.48±4.62 in group A and 34.65±12.26 hours in group B (p 0.001). The mean±SD postoperative recovery time in weeks was 2.18±0.43 in group A and 2.90±0.46 weeks in group B (p 0.001). Only 2 (4%) patient had postoperative infection on first week and 4 (8%) patients had infection respectively. No recurrence was seen in group A and only 3% recurrence was in group B.

Conclusion: It is concluded that group A had shorter hospital stay, recovery time, postoperative time and less infection rate as compared to group B. In group A 13% patients had severe pain and in group B 25% patients. Keywords: Inguinal Hernia, Total extraperitoneal, Mesh repair.

INTRODUCTION

The inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common procedure in general surgery field and accounting about 75% of all abdominal wall hernias.^{1,2} Its present as bulges in the groin area that can become more prominent when coughing, straining, or standing up. They are often painful and the bulge commonly disappears on lying down. The inability to reduce or place the bulge back into the abdomen usually means the hernia is obstructed often necessitating emergency surgery. Indirect inguinal hernia is the most common form of inguinal hernia and is believed to be congenital in origin as the hernial sac is the remnant of the processes vaginalis. It occurs when abdominal contents protrude through the deep inguinal ring lateral to the inferior epigastric vessels. If the blood supply of the portion of the intestine present in the hernia is compromised, the hernia is deemed strangulated and gut ischemia and gangrene can result, with potentially fatal consequences. Surgery has been recommended for all inguinal hernias to avoid complications.³

In the United Kingdom the prevalence rate of inguinal hernia repair is about 10% 100 000 of population and in the United States the prevalence rate about 28% 100 000. It has been observed that over 20 million procedures of inguinal hernia are carried out all over the world each year and approximately 27% in males and 3% in females is lifetime risk.⁴ There were different methods of surgery for the repair of inguinal hernia, but tension-free repair is the procedure of choice due to its low rate of recurrence.⁵ The procedure of totally tension-free repair of inguinal hernia can be categorized like transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) repair, intraperitonealonlay mesh (IPOM) repair. In between totally tensionfree is accepted as the most ideal method because it can avoid entry into the peritoneal cavity.⁵ The extraperitoneal technique is favoured over the transperitoneal technique for laparoscopic inguinal hernioplasty⁶. Extraperitoneal space can be created without the use of balloon but it is helpful to use balloon in the learning curve and has been shown to reduce the conversion rate.⁷

After open surgery approximately 1 to 10 out of 100 surgeries chance of hernia recurrence.8 Upto 10% of hernias repaired with laparoscopic surgery may recur.9 Recurrence rates of hernia in some studies have found as low as 0.25%-2% for laparoscopic surgery.¹⁰ Using mesh to repair the weak muscle in abdominal wall makes it up to half as likely that the hernia will come back.11

RESULTS

Mean age was 34.22±11.54 years in group A and 35.63±11.25 years in group B and age range of 20-60 years. Most of the patients in both groups from 40-60 groups (Table 1). Table 2 showed the type of hernia i.e. direct inguinal hernia in group A 10 (40%) and 15 (60%) were indirect hernia and in group B direct inguinal hernia was 8 (32%) and indirect hernia was 17 (68%). Table 3 showed the postoperative comparison of hospital stay in both groups. In group A 20 (80%) patients had hospital stay from1-30 hours and 3 (12%) had 31-40 hours and only 2 (8%) had stay in hospital after surgery from >40 hours. In group B 3 (12%) patient had hospital stay from 1-30 hours, 4 (16%) from 31-40 hours and 18 (72%) patients stay in the hospital which is statistically significant (p 0.001).

The mean postoperative recovery time was 2.14±0.34 in group A and 2.78±0.46 weeks in group B (p 0.001). Twenty one (84%) patients whose postoperative recovery time from 1-2 weeks and 4 (16%) patients from 3-4 weeks in group A. In group B5 (20%) patients recovered from 1-2 weeks and 20(80%) had recovered from 3-4 days (Table 4). There were postoperative complications in group A 4(16%) patients had severe pain, 10(40%) patient had moderate pain and 8 (32%) developed in mild pain, hematoma in 1(4%), infection 1(4%) and also 1 (4%) developed in recurrence while in group B 10 (40%) patients had severe pain, 8(32%) patients who developed moderate pain, 2 (8%) patients had mild pain, 2 (4%) developed in hematoma,

infection in 1 (4%) and recurrence in 2 (8%) patients respectively (Table 5).

Table 1:	Frequency of a	qe (n=50)
----------	----------------	-----------

Age (years)	Group A (n=25)		Group B (n=25)	
	No.	%	No.	%
20 - 30	8	32.0	9	40.0
31 – 40	4	18.0	6	24.0
41 – 50	7	22.0	6	18.0
>50	6	28.0	4	18.0
Mean±SD	34.22	±11.54	35.63:	±11.25

Table 2: Type of inquinal hernia (n=50)

Туре	e of	Group A (n=50)		Group B (n=50)	
hern	ia	No.	%	No.	%
Direc	ct	10	40.0	7	28.0
Indire	ect	15	60.0	18	72.0

Table 3: Postoperative hospital stay (hours)

Hospital stay (hrs)	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
1-30	20(92%)	4(12%)
31-40	3(2%)	3(16%)
>40	2(6%)	18(72%)
Mean±SD	24.48±4.62	43.65±11.24

P value 0.001

Table 4: Comparison of postoperative recovery time

Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
21(84%)	5(20%)
4(16%)	20(80%)
2.14±0.34	2.18±0.46
	21(84%) 4(16%)

P value 0.001

Table 6: Complications between two groups

Complications	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)	
Pain			
Severe	4(16%)	10(32%)	
Moderate	10(40%)	8(32%)	
Mild	8(32%)	2(8%)	
Hematoma	1(4%)	2(8%)	
Infection	1(4%)	1(4%)	
Recurrence	1(4%)	2(8%)	

DISCUSSION

In surgical field one of the most common elective surgical procedure is inguinal hernia repair. The surgical procedures like herniorrhaphy or hernioplasty were performed for inguinal hernia but recent advances has shown laparoscopic repair.

In our study the mean age was 34.22 ± 11.54 in group A and 35.63 ± 11.25 years in group B with age range from 20-60 years. In a study reported by Waris the mean age of the patients was 33.89 ± 13.45 years which is comparable with our study¹². In another study done by Mohameed, the mean age was 40.10 ± 12.39 years in group A and was 41.60 ± 15.26 years in group B which is comparable with our study.¹³

In this study the direct inguinal hernias were 10 (40%) and 8 (32%) respectively while indirect hernias were 15(60%) and 17(68%) respectively. A similar study reported by the in group A, direct hernias were found in 3 (15%) patients and in group B were 4 (20%) while in group A indirect hernias were found in 17 (85%) and 16 (80%) were found in group B which is comparable with our study.¹³

In this study postoperative complications were presented such as pain, hematoma, infection and recurrence rate. The postoperative pain of patients in group A had less as compared to group B and the dose of analgesia required in group A patients was less as compared to group B. A similar study reported by Tam et al, there was no significant difference was found in both groups of postoperative pain.¹⁴ In another study presented by Raghu et al, 30 patients who were divided into two groups of postoperative pain was significantly less in the non-fixation group as compared to mesh fixation group.¹⁵ Buyukasik et al done a study, where pain

was significantly higher in the mesh fixation group which is comparable with our study.¹⁶ In this study the recurrence rate was 1(4%) in group A and 2(8%) in group B. In a same study of Heikkinen, 2(4%) patients group A and in group B 2% patients had true recurrence at 6 months while the 2% patients had false recurrence at 1 month¹⁷. In a same study done by Sunamak showed that 3(2.7%) recurrence in TEP group and 3(3.1%) in mesh repair group¹⁸.

In a study done by Schwab, the scrotal hematoma and other sites formation were include wound, retroperitoneum and rectus sheath hematomas. Hematoma at two sites is more commonly seen, laparoscopic repair; however, injury to iliac vessels regardless of approach may present as a progressively expanding hematoma. Expansion of blood within the peritoneum or preperitoneal space is not tamponaded and may lead to a significant blood loss that is not readily apparent on physical examination. A large hematomas in abdomen will present with pain and possibly ileus. Wound management of hematomas is expectant, and may rarely need to be opened for decompression.¹⁹ In comparison with our study there was haematoma seen in 2 (4%) patients 1(2%) patient on 2nd day of operation in group A and there was no patient in group B.

In this study the postoperative infection rate was 1(2%) patient in group A and 2(4%) patients in group B. A same study done by Schwab, wound infection rate of 4%.¹⁹ Another study presented by Sunamak et al, the wound infection rate was 4 (3.6%) in TEP group and 8 (6.9%) in mesh repair which is comparable with our study¹⁸.

In our study the mean hospital stay was 24.48 ± 4.61 hours and 43.65 ± 13.35 hours respectively. A same study presented by Waris, the mean hospital stay of TEP group was 1.95 ± 0.75 which is comparable with our study¹². A similar study reported by Mohamed et al, the mean hospital stay was 1.35 ± 0.67 in group A and in 1.30 ± 0.66 in group B which is statistically not significant (p 0.74) which is comparable with our study¹³.

Totally extraperitoneal repair group should early recovery period. A study done by Lau postoperative recovery was significantly better in TEP group, with less pain postoperatively which is comparable with our study.²⁰ While patients of mesh repair were give intravenous antibiotics and analgesics for 48-72 hours postoperative recovery was longer in group B than group A.²

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the full recovery time of patients was 2.18 ± 0.43 weeks in group A while 2.90 ± 0.46 weeks in group B which is significantly shorter in group A as compared to group B. There was severe pain in 12% of patients in group A and 32% severe pain in group B. In the totally extraperitoneal group 4% recurrences rate was found on 1st and 6th month. There was 2% infection rate in totally extraperitoneal group and 4% in tension free mesh repair group. Totally extraperitoneal group had shorter hospital stay as compare to tension free mesh repair group.

REFERENCES

- Choi YY, Han SW, Bae SH, Kim SY, Hur KY, Kang GH. Comparison of the outcomes between totally extraperitoneal repair and prolene hernia system for inguinal hernia; review of one surgeon's experience. J Korean Surg Soc. 2012;82(1):40–44.
- Simons MP, Smietanski M, Bonjer HJ, Bittner R, Miserez M, Aufenacker TJ, et al. International guidelines for groin hernia management. Hernia 2018; 22(1):1-165.
- 3. Jenkins JT, O'Dwyer PJ. Inguinal hernias. BMJ 2008;336:269-72.
- Eklund AS, Montgomery AK, Rasmussen IC, Sandbue RP, Bergkvist LA, Rudberg CR. Low recurrence rate after laparoscopic (TEP) and open (Lichtenstein) inguinal hernia repair: a randomized, multicenter trial with 5-year follow-up. Ann Surg. 2009;249:33-38.
- Takata MC, Duh QY. Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair. Surg Clin North Am. 2008;88:157-78.

- Cocks JR. laparoscopic inguinal hernioplasty: a comparison between transperitoneal and extraperitoneal techniques. ANZ J Surg 2008;68:506-9.
- Chiang DT, Bohmer R. Cutting the cost: Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair by totally extraperitoneal approach without disposable instruments, Surg Pract 2006;4:154-8.
- Harmon JW, Wolfgang CL. Hernias of the groin and abdominal wall. In:FiebachNH. eds., Principles of Ambulatory Medicine, 7th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2007;1673-81.
- Neumayer L. Open mesh versus laparoscopic mesh repair of inguinal hernia. New England J Medicine, 2004;350:1819-27.
- Quilici P. Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair: Optimal technical variations and results in 1,700 cases. Am Surgeon, 2000;66:848-52.
- Deveney KE. Hernias of the groin section of Hernias and other lesions of the abdominal wall. In: Doherty GM, Way LW. eds., Current Surgical Diagnosis and Treatment, 12th ed. New York: Lange Medical Books/McGraw. 2006;765-73.
- Waris A,Abid KJ, Ishaque S, Saleem T, Anwer S, Ahmad A. Totally extra-peritoneal (TEP) Versus tension free mesh repair for inguinal hernia. PJMHS. 2016;10(1):186-89.
- Mohamed HED, ElSheikh M, Barakat H, Abdelhamid AF. A comparative study of mesh fixation versus nonfixation in laparoscopic totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair. Egyptian J Surgery, 2019;38:348-55.

- Tam KW, Liang HH, Chai CY. Outcomes of staple fixation of mesh versus nonfixation in laparoscopic total extraperitoneal inguinal repair: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. World J Surg 2010;34:3065-74.
- Raghu RS, Girish TU, Chandra BJ. A prospective comparative study of totalextraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair: fixation versus without fixation of themesh. Int Surg J 2016;4:166-69.
- Buyukasik K, Ari A, Akce B, Tatar C, Segmen O, Bektas H. Comparison ofmesh fixation and non-fixation in laparoscopic totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair. Hernia 2017; 21:543-48.
- Heikkinen T, Bringman S, Ohtonen P, Kunelius P, Haukipuro K, Hulkko A. Five-year outcome of laparoscopic and Lichtenstein hernioplasties. Surg Endoscopy, 2004;18: 518-22.
- Sunamak O, Donmez T, Yildirim D, Hut A, Erdem VM, Uzman S et al. Open mesh and laparoscopic total extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair under spinal and general anesthesia. Therapeutics and Clin Risk Management 2018;14:1839-45.
- Schwab R, Willms A, Kroger A. Less chronic pain following mesh fixation using a fibrin sealant in TEP inguinal hernia repair. Hernia 2006;10:272.
- Lau H, Patil N, Yuen W. Day case endoscopic totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernioplasty versus open Lichtenstein hernioplasty for unilateral primary inguinal hernia in males. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques. 2006;20(1):76-81.