ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Study to Determine the Short-Term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Colonic Surgery

REKHA KHATRI¹, ISHFAQ AHMAD KHAN², SUNIL DUT SACHDEV³, MUHAMMAD JAVAID RASHID⁴, MUHMMAD BILAL⁵, RAJESH KUMAR CHHABRYA⁶

¹Specialist General Surgeon, Urban Health Centre Karachi Pakistan

²Ishfaq Ahmad Khan, SPR Surgery, Barnsely Hospital NHS Barnsely S752EP United Kingdom

- ³Consultant General Surgeon, Social Security Landhi Hospital Karachi Pakistan
- ⁴Senior Medical Officer General Surgery, Rustaq Hospital Oman

 5 Specialist consultant General and Iaparoscopic General Surgeon, Ain al Khaleej Hospital Alain United Arab Emirates

⁶Medical Officer General Surgery, Jinnah Post graduate Medical Centre Karachi Pakistan Corresponding author: Muhmmad Bilal, Email: niaz_h@hotmail.com, Cell: 00971508058219,

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The article presents early Outcomes in laparoscopic colorectal surgery according to tumour size, duration of surgery, duration of postoperative analgesic requirements, recovery of bowel function, postoperative complications, and mortality.

Aim: The aim of the analysis is to describe the short-term outcomes of our patients who endured laparoscopic colonic surgery because of various colon pathologies.

Study Design: A Retrospective Case Review cohort study.

Methods: The surgical and clinical records of all laparoscopic assisted colon procedures were reviewed and selected for the study held in the Surgical department of Social Security Landhi Hospital Karachi for two years duration from June 2019 to June 2021. All patients underwent surgery under general anaesthesia.

Results: During this period, 62 total laparoscopic assisted colon (LAC) procedures were achieved. 41were male and 21 females. 54 patients underwent cancer surgery out of which 51 patient had adenocarcinoma of colon, 2 patient had carcinoids of bowel, and 1 patient had Hodgkin's lymphoma. Ileocecal tuberculosis was noted in 5 patients and submucosal polyps in one patient. Of these 54 procedures for colonic cancer, 12 were left hemicolectomy, 34 right hemicolectomy, 2 segmental splenic flexure resections, 3 segmental resection with transverse colostomy and 3 sigmoid colectomy The average time of LAC surgery was 140 minutes (range 60 to 250). The average duration of analgesic drugs was 3 days (range 3–6). The median time to the first movement in the bowel was 2.5 days (range 2–4) and the hospital stay was 6 days (range 5–10).

Conclusions: Laparoscopically assisted colon procedures are associated with early return of bowel function, less analgesic consumption, short hospital stays, and a lower rate of post operative complication. Laparoscopic colorectal surgery is achievable with optimum operative time and is a logical advantage for good operative outcomes with advanced laparoscopic skills.

Keywords: Laparoscopically assisted colon surgery, laparoscopy and Colon cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic surgical procedure has shown proven benefits in Nissen fundoplication, appendectomy and cholecystectomy. Though, laparoscopic assisted colonrectal surgery has slowly gained widespread acceptance. The colorectal surgery by laparoscopyy has not gain progress very much for minimally invasive surgery, as it is multi-quadrant, frequently multifaceted and associated with treatment of cancer. Firstly, laparoscopic colonic Surgery (LCS) was done by Jacob in 1990. However, the method evolved more slowly, as it took a lot of time, with a technically rigorous and steep learning curve. Initial concerns about resection radicalization, particularly in the suitability of lateral and distant edges of removal of lymph nodes, as well as early reports of a high recurrence rate at the port site, have inadequate extensive usage of laparoscopic collectively in the cancer treatment. Lately, there is growing evidence about the significant benefits of Laparoscopic Colorectal surgery, including less pain, less postoperative analgesia, early bowel function, shorter hospital stays, and lower postoperative morbidity. In adding, several randomized and prospective studies have concluded that initial concerns about the results of LCS oncology are unfounded. In particular, three clinical trials: CLASICc, COLOR and COST Level one statistics were provided to support LCS in colon cancer. The aim of the analysis is to describe the short-term results of our patients who endured LCS as a result of various colon pathologies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study covers all laparoscopically assisted colon (LAC) surgeries done in the Surgical department of Social Security Landhi Hospital Karachi for two years duration from June 2019 to June2021. The single surgeon performed all the surgeries. The selection of early patients was limited to patients with benign

diseases, but gradually, with increasing experience, this method was also accessible to subjects with malignant tumours. All cases underwent surgery under general anaesthesia. The right colectomies were performed in the supine position, while in the case of the left colectomies, the Lloyd-Davies modified position was used. Standard thromboembolic prophylaxis was given in the thromboembolic Deterrent (T.E.D.) form, low molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin) and pneumatic compression stockings. Veress needle injection was used initially for insertion of a 10 mm trocar and four trocars were used in all cases. Carbon dioxide was used for Pneumoperitoneum and the abdominal cavity pressure was maintained at 12–15 mmHg. The 5mm, 10mm or 12mm posterior trocar was placed under direct laparoscopic vision. 0-, 30- and 45-degrees telescopes were used. Dissection was facilitated using harmonic scissors

Age	47years(range 23-80)
Gender (%)	
Male	41 (66.1%)
Female	21 (33.9%)
ASA (%)	50 (80 6)
1	50 (80.8)
2	7 (11.3)
3	5 (8.1%)
4	0
TNM Stage (%)	2 (3.2%)
=	12 (19.4%)
=	41 (66.1%)
IV	07 (11.3%)

Table-1 shows the demographic features of the patients

(Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Harmonic Scalpel, USA), monopolar diathermy or Ligasure (Valleylab). The typical dissection with

medial to lateral technique was achieved in all subjects and with benign disease, technique was used in three patients. The intestine was extra-corporeally from the body, after proper mobilization, through an incision large enough to easily remove and cut the diseased section of the bowel. Endo-GI staples were applied in 29 patients, and the remaining patients were sewn by single-layer anastomosis using Vicryl. In the specified areas, Peritoneal drains were sited. Postoperative painkillers were directed via patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) pumps, epidural catheters, or NSAIDs/ intravenous opioids. When oral feeding was tolerated by the patients, they became relieved of oral analgesics.

RESULTS

During this period, 62 total laparoscopic assisted colon (LAC) procedures were achieved. 41 men and 21 women contributed in the analysis. Their average age was 47 years (23-80). (Table 1)

Table 2: Indications for Surgery

	Cancer	54 (87.1%)
	Adenocarcinoma	51
	Carcinoid	2
	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	1
	lleocecal tuberculosis	06 (9.62%)
	Polyp	1

54 patients underwent Laparoscopic colonic resection for cancer surgery: 51 adenocarcinoma, two carcinoids, and one Hodgkin's lymphoma. Ileocecal tuberculosis was noted in 5 patients and submucosal polyps in one patient. Of these 54 procedures, 12 left hemicolectomies, 34 included right hemicolectomy, 2 segmental splenic flexure resections, 3 segmental resection with transverse colostomy and 3 sigmoid colectomies (Table 2).

5 of these LCS 54 surgeries were opened for the following reasons: 1 due to excessive venous haemorrhage, 3 due to locally advanced disease in which the tumour invaded the abdominal wall and adjacent intestines, and 1 due to unable to confine the tumour.

Among these, Right hemicolectomy was performed in three patients, one sigmoid and one left hemicolectomy was done. All 40 right hemicolectomies had extracorporeal anastomoses using a linear Proximate cutter and hand-sutured anastomoses in 14 cases. The hand sewn left sided hemicolectomy was done in 12 cases which was extra-corporeally constructed.

Three sigmoid colectomy was performed extra-corporeally after intestinal mobilization, and after resection of the segment, intra- corporeal anastomosis was performed with a curved Proximate® ILS intralumen stapler later to resection of segment. Three subjects with progressive colorectal adenocarcinoma underwent segmental resection with transverse colostomy preceding to neoadjuvant treatment. Histopathological examination of samples removed from 54 patients with adenocarcinoma revealed the size of T1 tumor in two patients, T2 in 12, T3 in 41, and T4 tumours in seven patients. The average tumour size was 7 cm (3–11 cm). The average number of lymph nodes removed is 22 (7 to 27). (Table 3)

Table 3: Periope	erative Outcomes
------------------	------------------

Mean operative time	140 minutes
Range	60-250 minutes
Number of conversions to open	5
Mean tumour size	7 cm
Range	3-11cm
Mean number of lymph nodes harvested	22
Range	7-27
Mean duration of analgesic requirement	3 days
Range	3-6 days
Mean time to first bowel movement	2.5 days
Range	2-4 days
Mean length of hospital stay	6 days
Range	5-10 days

The average time of Laparoscopic Assisted Colon surgery was 140 minutes (range 60 to 250). The average duration of analgesic drugs was 3 days (range 3–6). The median time to the first movement in the bowel was 2.5 days (range 2–4) and the hospital stay was 6 days (range 5–10). (Table 3)



Figure 2: Tumour right colon Laparoscopic Right Hemicolectomy

DISCUSSION

Colon resection has evolved over the past decade. In the 1960s, Turnbull and colleagues proposed a "tactile" technique against colon cancer, recommending early ligation of mesocolic vessels to prevent cell proliferation and atraumatic manipulation of the tumor¹⁰⁻¹¹. Eggermont and colleagues demonstrated the value of reducing surgical trauma to cancer in an experimental study. In laparoscopic surgery, the peritoneum is inserted through small incisions, manual retraction of the internal organs is avoided, and blood loss is minimal as a result of severe dissection accompanied by videoscopic enlargement¹²⁻¹³. Recently published series have shown that the incidence rate after laparoscopic surgery is lower than after traditional open surgery14. These include reducing postoperative pain, rapid recovery of digestive function, shorter hospital stays, faster recovery, and less immunosuppression. The results of this study are comparable to the requirements for postoperative analgesics, recovery of intestinal function, and total hospitalization time reported in the literature¹⁵⁻¹⁶. Recent metaanalyzes, compliance reports, and large randomized controlled trials of more than 3,500 procedures showed better results: hospital stays were reduced by approximately 20% due to less pain and short duration of paralytic ileus¹⁷⁻¹⁸. It is well known that the transition from open laparoscopic surgery varies in research: from 7% to 25% in large batches and from 2% to 41% in smaller batches. Although the conversion itself is not a complication, it is associated with an increase in postoperative morbidity. Risk factors associated with conversion to open surgery, such as BMI, tumour volume, adhesions, and surgical experience, are well described in the literature¹⁹⁻²⁰. The cut segments had proximal and distal edges of at least 5 cm and all edges were reported to be tumour-free according to the latest histopathology. The average number of lymph nodes removed in this series was 22 according to cancer regulations. These results are comparable to the published literature.21-22

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopically assisted colon surgery is associated with a smaller wound, faster recovery of bowel function, less pain, a short hospital stays, and a lower rate of lung infection. Laparoscopic colon surgery can be achieved effectively and safely. Though, it has a steep curve of learning. Afterward acquisition of self-confidence in laparoscopic surgery, the use of it in colon diseases is a logical advantage for good operative outcomes achieved by advanced laparoscopy skillss.

REFERENCES

- Rinne JK, Ehrlich A, Ward J, Väyrynen V, Laine M, Kellokumpu IH, Kairaluoma M, Hyöty MK, Kössi JA. Laparoscopic colectomy vs laparoscopic CME: a retrospective study of two hospitals with comparable laparoscopic experience. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery. 2021 Feb;25(2):475-83.
- Pedrazzani C, Conti C, Mantovani G, Fernandes E, Turri G, Lazzarini E, Menestrina N, Ruzzenente A, Guglielmi A. Laparoscopic colorectal surgery and Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) program: Experience with 200 cases from a single Italian center. Medicine. 2018 Aug;97(35).
- Boyan Jr WP, Lavy D, Dinallo A, Otero J, Roding A, Hanos D, Dressner R, Arvanitis M. Lighted ureteral stents in laparoscopic colorectal surgery; a five-year experience. Annals of translational medicine. 2017 Feb;5(3).
- Leon P, Iovino MG, Giudici F, Sciuto A, De Manzini N, Cuccurullo D, Corcione F. Oncologic outcomes following laparoscopic colon cancer resection for T4 lesions: a case–control analysis of 7-years' experience. Surgical endoscopy. 2018 Mar;32(3):1133-40.
- Homma S, Kawamata F, Yoshida T, Ohno Y, Ichikawa N, Shibasaki S, Kawamura H, Takahashi N, Taketomi A. The balance between surgical resident education and patient safety in laparoscopic colorectal surgery: surgical resident's performance has no negative impact. Surgical laparoscopy, endoscopy & percutaneous techniques. 2017 Aug 1;27(4):295-300.
- Lu J, Dong B, Yang Z, Song Y, Yang Y, Cao J, Li W. Clinical efficacy of laparoscopic surgery for T4 colon cancer compared with open surgery: a single center's experience. Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques. 2019 Mar 1;29(3):333-9.
- Maggiori L, Tuech JJ, Cotte E, Lelong B, Denost Q, Karoui M, Vicaut E, Panis Y. Single-incision laparoscopy versus multiport laparoscopy for colonic surgery: a multicenter, double-blinded, randomized controlled trial. Annals of surgery. 2018 Nov 1;268(5):740-6.
- Boyce SA, Harris C, Stevenson A, Lumley J, Clark D. Management of low colorectal anastomotic leakage in the laparoscopic era: more than a decade of experience. Diseases of the Colon & Rectum. 2017 Aug 1;60(8):807-14.
- Brescia A, Tomassini F, Berardi G, Sebastiani C, Pezzatini M, Dall'Oglio A, Laracca GG, Apponi F, Gasparrini M. Development of an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in laparoscopic colorectal surgery: results of the first 120 consecutive cases from a university hospital. Updates in surgery. 2017 Sep;69(3):359-65.
- Lorenzon L, Biondi A, Carus T, Dziki A, Espin E, Figueiredo N, Ruiz MG, Mersich T, Montroni I, Tanis PJ, Force MT. Achieving high quality standards in laparoscopic colon resection for cancer: A Delphi consensus-based position paper. European Journal of Surgical Oncology. 2018 Apr 1;44(4):469-83.
- 11. Crolla RM, Mulder PG, van der Schelling GP. Does robotic rectal cancer surgery improve the results of experienced laparoscopic

surgeons? An observational single institution study comparing 168 robotic assisted with 184 laparoscopic rectal resections. Surgical endoscopy. 2018 Nov;32(11):4562-70.

- Currie A, Soop M, Demartines N, Fearon K, Kennedy R, Ljungqvist O. Enhanced Recovery after Surgery interactive audit system: 10 years' experience with an international web-based clinical and research perioperative care database. Clinics in colon and rectal surgery. 2019 Jan;32(01):075-81.
- Alborzi S, Hosseini-Nohadani A, Poordast T, Shomali Z. Surgical outcomes of laparoscopic endometriosis surgery: A 6 year experience. Current medical research and opinion. 2017 Dec 2;33(12):2229-34.
- Ho ML, Chong C, Yeo SA, Ng CY. Initial experience of laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with complete mesocolic excision in Singapore: a case series. Singapore medical journal. 2019 May;60(5):247.
- Kim CW, Lee KY, Lee SC, Lee SH, Lee YS, Lim SW, Kim JG. Learning curve for single-port laparoscopic colon cancer resection: a multicenter observational study. Surgical endoscopy. 2017 Apr 1;31(4):1828-35.
- Gorgun E, Benlice C, Abbas MA, Steele S. Experience in colon sparing surgery in North America: advanced endoscopic approaches for complex colorectal lesions. Surgical endoscopy. 2018 Jul;32(7):3114-21.
- Rashidi L, Neighorn C, Bastawrous A. Outcome comparisons between high-volume robotic and laparoscopic surgeons in a large healthcare system. The American Journal of Surgery. 2017 May 1;213(5):901-5.
- Pisarska M, Pędziwiatr M, Major P, Kisielewski M, Migaczewski M, Rubinkiewicz M, Budzyński P, Przęczek K, Zub-Pokrowiecka A, Budzyński A. Laparoscopic gastrectomy with enhanced recovery after surgery protocol: single-center experience. Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research. 2017;23:1421.
- Teixeira UF, Fontes PR, Conceição CW, Farias CA, Fernandes D, Ewald IP, Vitola L, Mendes FF. Implementation of enhanced recovery after colorectal surgery (ERAS) protocol: initial results of the first brazilian experience. ABCD. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cirurgia Digestiva (São Paulo). 2019 Feb 7;32.
- Suhardja TS, Norhadi S, Ee E, Hodgkins B. Comparison of the thunderbeat and other energy devices in laparoscopic colorectal resection: a single-center experience. Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques. 2018 Dec 1;28(12):1417-21.
- tot Babberich MP, van Groningen JT, Dekker E, Wiggers T, Wouters MW, Bemelman WA, Tanis PJ. Laparoscopic conversion in colorectal cancer surgery; is there any improvement over time at a population level?. Surgical endoscopy. 2018 Jul;32(7):3234-46.
- Dulskas A, Kuliešius Ž, Samalavičius NE. Laparoscopic colorectal surgery for colorectal polyps: experience of ten years. Acta medica Lituanica. 2017;24(1):18.