Physical Activity and Life Quality in Patients with Asthma and Osteoarthritis
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INTRODUCTION

Bronchial asthma (BA) is a heterogeneous disease characterized by chronic inflammation of the airways, the presence of respiratory symptoms such as wheezing, shortness of breath and cough that vary in time and intensity [1-3]. According to World Health Organization in 2016 up to 339 million people of all ages worldwide suffered from the disease. BA is proven to be one of the most common causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide; it is a major source of the global economic burden when it comes to both direct and indirect costs [4]. Nowadays, the goal of asthma treatment is to have full control over symptoms of the disease so that patients can have normal levels of activity and achieve a good quality of life, which is why the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (GINA) includes recommendations on regular physical activity for asthmatics that will allow them to improve their overall health. Several studies report that higher physical activity is associated with better asthma control, lung function parameters and also a decreased number of exacerbations and doctor’s appointments [5,6]. However, some studies also show that patients with asthma spend less time being physically active and/or have less intense physical activities compared with those who do not suffer from BA. According to a study by Teramoto M. et al., which included 3840 people, over 30% of asthmatics did not have leisure-time physical activity compared with about 23% of those who did not suffer from asthma [7]. The time that asthmatic patients spend doing physical activities is also significantly less compared with those without asthma. Yawn B.P. et al. showed that over 33% of adult women did not have the recommended 15 minutes or more of moderate activity for at least 5 days per week; poor asthma control was also associated with low physical activity in the study [8].

Osteoarthritis (OA) is one of the less studied comorbidities of asthma. It has been shown that prevalence of osteoarthritis in patients with asthma is significantly higher compared with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and subjects with no respiratory diseases [9,10]. Mahmood Z. et al. found that asthmatic patients who had higher rate of emergency hospitalizations also had a higher risk of developing OA [11]. Physical activity is also very important for patients with osteoarthritis, it reduces pain...
and is associated with better physical function and quality of life [12]. To our knowledge there were no previous studies assessing the effect of osteoarthritis on physical activity and quality of life in asthmatic patients.

Objective: to assess physical activity and life quality in asthmatic patients compared with patients who suffer from both asthma and osteoarthritis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients were included in the study according to the following criteria: age from 30 to 70 years, mild to moderate asthma or mild to moderate asthma with a diagnosed osteoarthritis, patients' informed consent for participation in the study. Volunteers, who did not suffer from asthma and osteoarthritis were also included in the study. Patients were excluded, according to the following criteria: mental illness, tuberculosis of any localization, severe and decompensated diseases of liver and kidneys, severe and decompensated cardiovascular diseases (acute period of myocardial infarction, unstable angina, transient ischemic attack, intracerebral hemorrhage, acute heart failure, etc.), cancer; pregnancy and lactation, severe infectious diseases (HIV infection, syphilis, progressive course of viral hepatitis B and C), history of any rheumatic disease, fibromyalgia and other chronic painful condition, knee/hip surgery, traumatic osteoarthritis.

All patients gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Voronezh State Medical University (protocol no. 1 from February 21, 2018).

The diagnosis of asthma was made according to GINA: complaints, anamnesis data, objective status data, laboratory and instrumental data (spirometry with a 400-mg salbutamol test) were analyzed. All patients received standard asthma therapy. Patients with asthma and osteoarthritis filled in Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ), Asthma Control Test (ACT) and International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) in its short form. Volunteers who did not suffer from asthma and osteoarthritis only filled in short form of IPAQ.

Statistical data processing was performed using the STATGRAPHICS 5.1 Plus for Windows software package. Quantitative data at normal distribution are presented in the form M ± σ, where M is a mean, σ is a standard deviation. Qualitative variables were compared using the χ2 test. Comparison of quantitative parameters was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences were considered statistically significant at a level of p values < 0.05. The data that is not normally distributed is presented as median values and interquartile ranges. Non-normally distributed data was assessed using Kruskal-Wallis test, the differences were considered significant at at a level of p values < 0.05.

RESULTS

In total, the study included 103 patients (there were 34 men (33.01%) and 69 women (66.99%) diagnosed with mild to moderate asthma, whose average age was 58.52 ± 7.14 years. Aside from patients volunteers who did not suffer from asthma and/or osteoarthritis were also included – 40 people (13 men (32.5%) and 27 women (67.5%)) whose average age was 56.41±8.12 years.

Three groups were formed – Group 1 which included patients with asthma and without osteoarthritis; Group 2 – patients with both asthma and osteoarthritis; and Control group – volunteers who did not suffer from BA and/or OA. The group with asthma included 38 patients and the group with asthma and osteoarthritis consisted of 65 patients. The formed groups did not differ by age, gender, marriage status and level of education (p<0.05).

The results on vigorous and moderate activity in the studied groups are presented in Table 1, total activity, walking and sitting time – in Table 2.

According to IPAQ there was a significant difference in vigorous activity between Control group and Groups 1 and 2: volunteers from Control group spent more days of vigorous activity per week (p=0.0163, respectively), more minutes of vigorous activity per week (p=0.0005, respectively), and more MET-min/week of vigorous activity (p=0.0000, respectively). There was no significant difference in vigorous activity between Group 1 and Group 2: in both groups parameters of vigorous activity were very low (p>0.05). There was no significant difference in the number of days that volunteers from Control group spent on moderate activity per week compared with Group 2 (p=0.4605) and group 2 (p=0.1256), however, Control subjects spent more time and energy on moderate activity compared with both Group 1 and 2. Patients from Control group spent more days/week (p=0.1032), more minutes/week (p=0.0239), more MET-minutes/week (p=0.0239) on moderate physical activity compared with Group 2. Subjects from Control group had higher number of days they spent walking during the week compared with Group 2 (p=0.0169), but not Group 1 (p=0.4605). Control group also had higher values of such parameters of IPAQ as minutes/week walking, MET-min/week+walking and MET-min/week total compared with both Group 1 and 2 (p<0.05).

On contrary, volunteers from Control group spent less time sitting than patients from 2 (p=0.0000), however, there was not a significant difference with Group 1 (p=0.9131). Patients from Group 1 spent more days on walking during the week (p=0.0139), they also spent more time on it (p=0.0045), the amount of MET-min/week spent on walking was also significantly higher (p=0.0045) compared with Group 2. Patients from Group 2 had more minutes/week of sitting time than patients from Group 1 and the difference was significant (p=0.0217). Total amount of MET/minute was significantly higher in Group 1 compared with Group 2 (p=0.0169).

There was no significant difference in spirometry parameters between Group 1 and Group 2 (Table 3).

AQLQ(S) activity domain was decreased in patients with asthma and osteoarthritis compared with asthmatic patients: 3.46±0.56 points and - 4.70±1.08 points, respectively (p=0.0000). AQLQ(S) emotions domain was also decreased in patients with asthma and osteoarthritis – 3.50 ± 0.61 points compared with 4.68 ± 1.10 points in patients from Group 1 (p=0.0000). AQLQ(S) environment domain did not differ significantly (p=0.1635). AQLQ(S) symptoms domain was 4.38±0.85 points and - 3.34±0.53 points in Group 1 and Group 2, respectively (p=0.0000).
AQLQ(S) general value was significantly higher in asthmatic patients without osteoarthritis (4.69±1.11 points vs 3.49±0.59 points in asthmatics with osteoarthritis (p<0.0000)).

Values of ACT test were 18.0 ±2.61 points and – 16.78 ±1.92 points in the Group 1 and Group 2, respectively (p=0.0079).

MET-min/week total had positive correlations with ACT (r=0.50, p<0.05), AQLQ(S) total (r=0.58, p<0.05), AQLQ(S) activity domain (r=0.28, p<0.05), AQLQ(S) emotions domain (r=0.24, p<0.05), AQLQ(S) symptoms domain (r=0.34, p<0.05), FVC (r=0.28, p<0.05), FEV1 (r=0.37, p<0.05), Index Tiffn (r=0.18, p<0.05).

Minutes/week sitting time had a negative correlation with ACT values (r=–0.33, p<0.05), AQLQ(S) total values (r=–0.39, p<0.05).

### Table 1. Vigorous and moderate activity according to IPAQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days/week VPA</td>
<td>3 [5; 2]</td>
<td>0 [1.75; 0]*</td>
<td>0 [2; 0]**</td>
<td>p=0.0000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week VPA</td>
<td>120 [242; 5; 120]</td>
<td>0 [30; 0]*</td>
<td>0 [30; 0]**</td>
<td>p=0.0005*, p=0.9291, p=0.0000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET-min/week VPA</td>
<td>960 [1940; 480]</td>
<td>0 [240; 0]*</td>
<td>0 [240; 0]**</td>
<td>p=0.0000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days/week MPA</td>
<td>5 [6.25; 4]</td>
<td>5 [6.75; 5]</td>
<td>5 [7; 2]</td>
<td>p=0.4605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week MPA</td>
<td>450 [545; 450]</td>
<td>210 [350; 150]</td>
<td>140 [1250; 150]**</td>
<td>p=0.0005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET-min/week MPA</td>
<td>1800 [2180; 920]</td>
<td>840 [1400; 600]*</td>
<td>560 [1000; 0]**</td>
<td>p=0.0005*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnote: data are presented as median values and interquartile ranges; *the differences are significant at p<0.05 between Control group and Group 1, # the differences are significant at p<0.05 between Group 1 and Group 2; ** the differences are significant at p<0.05 between Control group and Group 2; VPA – vigorous physical activity, MPA – moderate physical activity, MET - metabolic equivalent of task.

### Table 2. Total activity and sitting time according to IPAQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days/week walking</td>
<td>7 [7; 6.75]</td>
<td>7 [7; 7]</td>
<td>7 [7; 5]**</td>
<td>p=0.9681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week walking</td>
<td>420 [577; 5; 300]</td>
<td>350 [402; 5; 202,5]*</td>
<td>210 [350; 120]**</td>
<td>p=0.00000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days/week MPA + walking</td>
<td>12 [13; 10,75]</td>
<td>12 [13,75; 10]</td>
<td>10 [12; 7]**</td>
<td>p=0.7172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week MPA + walking</td>
<td>780 [955; 650]</td>
<td>580 [700; 335]*</td>
<td>390 [630; 180]**</td>
<td>p=0.00003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week MVPA</td>
<td>495 [695; 2; 420]</td>
<td>250 [350; 150]*</td>
<td>180 [320; 60]**</td>
<td>p=0.00000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week MVPA + walking</td>
<td>930 [1087; 5; 777,5]</td>
<td>620 [715; 335]*</td>
<td>500 [670; 210]**</td>
<td>p=0.00000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET-min/week walking</td>
<td>1136 [1905; 75; 990]</td>
<td>1155 [1328; 25; 668,25]*</td>
<td>693 [1155; 396]**</td>
<td>p=0.0392*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET-min/week total</td>
<td>3829,5 [4539; 75; 3241,25]</td>
<td>2535 [2895; 75; 1228,5]*</td>
<td>1825 [2408; 758]**</td>
<td>p=0.00000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes/week sitting time</td>
<td>240 [300; 120]</td>
<td>300 [300; 240]</td>
<td>360 [420; 240]**</td>
<td>p=0.00000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnote: data are presented as median values and interquartile ranges; *the differences are significant at p<0.05 between Control group and Group 1, # the differences are significant at p<0.05 between Group 1 and Group 2; ** the differences are significant at p<0.05 between Control group and Group 2; VPA – vigorous physical activity, MPA – moderate physical activity, MET - metabolic equivalent of task.
significant at \( p < 0.05 \) between Control group and Group 2; MPA – moderate physical activity, MVPA – moderate plus vigorous activity, MET - metabolic equivalent of task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC, %</td>
<td>81.6 {102.1; 87}</td>
<td>93 {102.1; 88.5}</td>
<td>( p=0.9324 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, %</td>
<td>85.65 {84.12; 62}</td>
<td>86.7 {80.33; 66.06}</td>
<td>( p=0.8921 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index Tiffno, %</td>
<td>74.84 {82.81; 70.6}</td>
<td>73.8 {82.3; 70}</td>
<td>( p=0.8724 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnote: data are presented as median values and interquartile ranges; *the differences are significant at \( p < 0.05 \)

**DISCUSSION**

The beneficial effects of physical activity on health and life expectancy have been shown in a number of studies. It is believed that physically active people live on average 3-4 years longer, and changes in health and performance are often the result of not aging, but lack of physical activity [13]. Moderate aerobic physical activity (at least 150 minutes per week) reduces the risk of coronary heart disease, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus and depression; physical activity of 150 to 300 minutes per week reduces the risk of becoming overweight, colon cancer and mammary tumors [14-16]. Even a little physical activity is better than no physical activity at all; it is enough to gradually increase the duration of exercising until the required minimum level of physical activity is achieved. Physical exercise is recommended for the elderly (over 65 years of age), as well as for those who suffer from chronic diseases. However, asthmatic patients are often afraid to engage in physical activity. Analysis of psychological barriers that interfered with active lifestyles in patients with asthma showed that a high level of psychological barriers was significantly correlated with increased asthma symptoms, anxiety and depression, low quality of life and amount of oral glucocorticosteroids used in the last 12 months [17]. Asthmatic patients are especially avoidant when it comes to a vigorous activity as it is associated with exercise-induced bronchoconstriction [18]. A study by Verlaet A et al. showed that there was an association between uncontrolled asthma and vigorous physical activity but only in women. Men tended to have more vigorous physical activity if they had well controlled asthma [19]. It was also reported that patients with severe asthma had less physical activity compared with other asthmatics [20]. In our study patients suffered from mild to moderate asthma, however, they did not engage in vigorous activity. Patients with both asthma and OA also did not engage in vigorous physical activity too. Similar results are described in the study by Farr J.N. et al., where physical activity in patients with knee osteoarthritis was assessed with use of accelerometer [21]. In our study patients with asthma spent less time on moderate physical activity than controls and more than asthmatics with OA. That is in accordance with the scientific data that moderate physical activity carries less risk of asthma attack compared with high intensity physical activity [22]. Patients with OA also spend more time on moderate physical activity than on vigorous one [21]. While excessive physical activity is found to be a risk factor for OA, moderate physical activity was reported to have beneficial effects in knee, hip and even hand OA, as it decreases pain, improves physical function and mobility of joints [23].

IPAQ allows to distinguish walking from other types of physical activities: in our study asthmatic patients spent less time walking compared with controls and more time walking than asthmatic patients with OA. This could be explained by the results of the study by King LK et al [24]. Authors included 18490 participants in their study, and they have found that walking difficulty was associated with hip/knee OA and its probability increased with a higher number of joints involved in the pathological process. However, daily walking reduces functional limitations in knee OA rather than causing worsening of the condition; it is recommended to walk ≥ 6000 steps/day [25].

Total weekly activity according to IPAQ was significantly higher in control group compared with asthmatics and patients with both asthma and OA. IPAQ total activity score was also significantly higher in patients with asthma compared with asthmatics also suffering from OA. According to IPAQ, total physical activity of at least 600 MET-minutes/week is considered to be moderate physical activity. In our study all groups reached the level of moderate physical activity which is in accordance with other studies that relied on IPAQ for evaluating physical activity levels in patients with asthma and OA. In a study by Gay C. et al. it was shown that the median IPAQ total activity score was 2628 MET-min/week in patients with OA [26]. In a study by Verlaet A et al, the median IPAQ total activity score was 2000 MET-min/week in male asthmatics with controlled asthma, 2253 MET-min/week in uncontrolled, 1732.50 MET-min/week in female asthmatics with controlled asthma, 2273 MET-min/week in uncontrolled female asthmatics [19]. Our study showed similar numbers in asthmatic patients (median of 2535 MET-min/week), and the number was lower in asthmatics with OA (median of 1825 MET-min/week), suggesting worsening effect these conditions have on each other.

Not many studies concentrated on sitting time in asthmatic patients, available information suggests no significant difference in sitting time between asthmatics and controls not suffering from asthma [19]. Accordingly, our study shows that there is no significant difference between control group and group of asthmatic patients, however, the difference is significant between asthmatics and patients with BA and OA, which could be explained by the fact that patients with BA and OA are also limited by joints pain, having to face walking difficulty (spending less time on it) and thus spending more time on sitting activities.

Our study also showed associations between higher physical activity and better quality of life (according to AQLQ(S)), better asthma control and better lung function which is in agreement with the scientific data available at this point. However, there are small differences. Meta-analysis by Wu X et al. reports that regular continuous
aerobic exercise leads to improvement of FEV1, PEF, FVC, FVC%pred, FEF25–75%, and quality of life, but there was no improvement noted in FEV1%pred and FEV1/FVC% [26]. Another study by Brumpton BM et al. which included 1329 patients, showed that physical activity slowed lung function decline, especially FEV1, FEV1/FVC ratio and PEF [27].

CONCLUSION
Our study showed that asthmatic patients spend less time on physical activity compared with volunteers who do not suffer from asthma. Asthmatic patients tend to avoid vigorous activity and prefer moderate physical activity and walking. To our current knowledge there are no other studies investigating physical activity in patients with both osteoarthritis and bronchial asthma. Such patients spend significantly less time on moderate activity, walking compared with asthmatics not suffering from osteoarthritis; they also avoid vigorous activity. Osteoarthritis is a serious but often underestimated comorbidity of asthma which influences physical activity in asthmatic patients. Higher physical activity is associated with better life quality, asthma control and lung function, which is why paying attention to osteoarthritis, treating it, and explaining the necessity of physical activity to patients suffering from both asthma and osteoarthritis is especially important.
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