Diagnostic Accuracy of Doppler Ultrasonography for Diagnosis of Epididymo-Orchitis in Patients presenting with Scrotal Swelling
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INTRODUCTION

Scrotum acute painful swelling with general symptoms and signs accompanied by its content is referred to as acute scrotum [1]. Most scrotum patients are unaware of the symptoms due to lack of patient negligence about the disease until it becomes severe, painful, and examined physically. The proportion of scrotal problems and testicular problems account for 3.7% in surgical operation in children due to testicular appendage torsion causing acute scrotal pain. The epididymitis pain becomes severe gradually as the infection starts growing and acute pain rises. The risk factors for testicular torsion are independent of the manifestation of left side pain and its duration [2]. Upon testicular pain diagnosis, immediate surgery needs to be done. Acute scrotal pain is also the most difficult condition to treat in the urological emergency department because it mimics urological symptoms. The major challenge is the scrotal masses differentiation in between the extra and intra testicular mass. For better diagnosis, the presence of mass is needed to be verified. Extra testicular and intra testicular are benign and malignant lesions respectively in most of the scrotal mass cases [3-5]. Scrotal swelling caused either by an extra mass attached to the testicular scrotum or arise from the testicle is difficult to decide based on physical examination. Radiology imaging modality was the preferred evaluation tool for scrotal pain and swelling. Vasculature affected by abnormalities was diagnosed and detected by Doppler ultrasound [6]. Scrotal swelling can be caused by a variety of medical conditions, ranging from benign congenital conditions to life-threatening malignancies and acute surgical emergencies. Finally, surgical exploration confirms the ultrasonography finding. Dilemma diagnosed in most of the cases are due to testicular swelling [7-8].

Testicular perfusion can be evaluated with excellent anatomical details taken by power and color Doppler ultrasonography [9]. Color Doppler ultrasonography is the preferred imaging technique or modalities in scrotal lesions diagnosis. The lesion's characteristics as either extra testicular or testicular along with perfusion and flow can be
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evaluated by Gray-scale ultrasonography, power, and color Doppler respectively [10-12]. In differentiating testicular inflammatory conditions from testicular ischemic, Color Doppler prevents unnecessary surgical intervention and saves time. Testicular malignancy’s vascular condition can be assessed with color Doppler higher specificity and sensitivity [13]. Doppler ultrasonography is an effective, safe, and reliable imaging tool for varicoceles lesions and scrotum diseases [14]. Scrotal swelling could be either acute or non-acute. Torsion, abscess, orchitis, and trauma are the acute scrotal swelling while scrotal hernia, hydrocele, and lymphocele are non-acute one. Testicular lesions include inflammatory conditions, trauma, neoplasms, and torsion. The epididymis, scrotal wall, and spermatic cord are the extra testicular lesions. Doppler ultrasonography plays a vital role in differentiating these two abnormalities [15]. The current study was carried out to find the accuracy of Doppler ultrasonography in the diagnosis of scrotal masses and their proper management. Doppler ultrasonography was used for perfusion and flow due to availability, painless, non-invasive, and hazard-free radiations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was carried out on 121 scrotal masses patients during the period from April 2020 to September 2020 at the radiology department of Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro. Individuals who met the inclusive criteria were enrolled and consent form was taken from each patient. The patients’ age range was 15 to 68 years old with mean ± SD 34.5 ± 12.4. All the patients were presented with scrotal swelling. After history taken from the individual, Doppler ultrasound (DUS) was conducted. The final outcomes were compared by radiologist and surgeon. All the patients were subjected to linear transducer and Toshiba Xario 200 with 11MHZ. Diagnostic accuracy of Doppler ultrasound was calculated from the sensitivity and specificity. Patients who were undescended testis and inguinoscrotal hernia were excluded. The patients with an age range 15 to 68 years and had scrotal pathologies were included. Prior to conducting Doppler ultrasonography, history and physical examination were taken. Transverse images of patients were taken using a multi-frequency transducer (7-9 MHz) Toshiba Xario 200 ultrasound machine. Valsalva maneuvers performed on patients in upright positions were viewed with oblique and coronal planes. Doppler ultrasonography diagnostic accuracy was measured with clinical outcomes (+ve response), histopathological examination results, fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), and operative findings. Testis size, echogenicity, and location were found with the help of Doppler ultrasound. Blood flow documentation, velocity flow, test indices, the pattern of the spectral waveform, scrotal scans, and extra and intra-testicular blood vessels were assessed by Doppler ultrasonography. DUS protocol was followed by different radiologists to get the scan images of scrotal swelling. Data analysis and evaluation were done with SPSS version 20. Specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value, and sensitivity were calculated using a contingency table.

RESULTS

The non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used for data collection from Jan 2021 to August 2021. In this study, patients mean age± SD was 34.5 ± 12.4 years with a range of 15-68 years. The age-wise distribution of 121 patients was as follows; 48 (39.7%) 15-30 years, 29 (23.9%) 31-40 years, 26 (21.5%) 41-50 years and 18 (14.9%) 51-68 years as shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. Higher frequency and percentage of scrotal lymphoma were reported in patients with the disease a condition present in it while large cell orchiits lymphoma mass was with lower frequency and percentage. Most of the patients were in the range of 15 to 30 years. Doppler ultrasound diagnosed all the epididymitis and orchitis, testicular torsion, hydrocele, spermatic cord injury, and varicoceles cases with specificity and sensitivity 100%. The frequency of finally diagnosed of Doppler ultrasonography was orchitis (7), epididymitis-orchitis (45), testicular malignancy (15), spinal cord injuries(3), testicular torsion (5), hematocle (3), spermatic cord injuries (2),varicocele (14), hydrocele (25), and pyocele (2) as shown Table 2 and Figure 2. Out of 15 testicular subjects diagnosed on DUS, only 14 were found to have malignancy. Two cases of Orchitis were diagnosed falsely as a malignancy. In Orchitis 7 patients, 6 were diagnosed as orchitis but one had seminoma (specificity 67% and sensitivity 88%). The overall DUS specificity and sensitivity were 67% and 98% respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Histopathology age group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group (Years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Frequency of Scrotal masses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymitis-orchitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular torsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spermatic cord injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Scrotal diseases can be diagnosed with a well-established radiological modality known as Doppler ultrasonography. However, due to lack of specificity of conventional ultrasonography in parenchymal changes is the major limitation. Ultrasonography alone cannot differentiate malignant and benign lesions [17]. Furthermore, in acute scrotal epididymal-orchitis cannot be accurately distinguished from the torsional testicular with the help of grayscale ultrasonography. Another study conducted on five patients found normal flow of blood on the opposite side compared to symptomatic side intra testicular blood flow. However, non-specific findings were in the subject, and abnormalities were not found in 3 subjects [18].

Conventional ultrasonography has many drawbacks compared to Doppler ultrasonography. Doppler ultrasonography detects testicular ischemia with non-specific changes on the grey scale along with testicular arteries. Blood flow can be seen in it. Acute scrotal cases have been accurately diagnosed till now with the help of radionuclide scanning being an important modality. It gives scrotal blood flow information but cannot depict its anatomy [19, 20]. Currently, acute scrotal pain was assessed and evaluated in patients by Doppler ultrasonography scintigraphy while all the subjects were diagnosed correctly. Scintigraphy failed to diagnose any patient [20]. DUS was a more reliable, safe, non-invasive, and accurate modality compared to scintigraphy [21]. Similar signs and symptoms among the participants made it difficult to clinically diagnose with accuracy. Scrotal pathologies are currently diagnosed with an important imaging modality known as Doppler ultrasonography which allows evaluation of normal anatomy and scrotal condition. Testicular torsion was diagnosed with a specificity of 98.3% and sensitivity of 90.5% concluded by another study [22].

Another study found Doppler ultrasonography 100% specific and sensitive in acute scrotal diagnosing [23]. In our study, orchitis (7), epididymitis-orchitis (45), testicular malignancy (15), spinal cord injuries (3), testicular torsion (5), hematocoele (3), spermatic cord injuries (2), varicocele (14), hydrocele (25), and pyocele (2). Out of 15 testicular subjects diagnosed on DUS, only 14 were found to have malignancy. Orchitis’ two cases were diagnosed falsely as a malignancy. In Orchitis 7 patients, 6 were diagnosed as orchitis but one had seminoma (specificity 67% and sensitivity 88%). Doppler ultrasonography presented 100% efficiency in terms of specificity and sensitivity while diagnosing testicular torsion and scrotal inflammatory diseases which almost matched our study observations. Other radiological modality such as magnetic resonance imaging can be an effective diagnostic technique for scrotal swelling in case Doppler ultrasonography fails to give satisfactory results. Nuclear scintigraphy, which has a high sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing ischemia from infarction, cannot distinguish ischemia from conditions such as hydrocele, spermatocele, and inguinal hernia and is uncommon due to CDUS’s high accuracy [24]. Scrotal disease diagnoses especially in emergency medical settings can be carried out with higher specificity and sensitivity of Doppler ultrasonography.

CONCLUSION

Our study concluded that perfusion and scrotal anatomy display can be simultaneously diagnosed with DUS as an effective, reliable, and safe imaging modality in scrotal disease (acute or chronic) patients. Patient’s management gets better with DUS due to the prevention of unneeded surgical procedures and ease to conduct. DUS has certain limitations such as intratesticular flow detection in children requiring experience and adequate expertise which make it difficult to diagnose.
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