Diagnostic Accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS) in Diagnosing Malignant Breast Lesions Taking Histopathology as Gold Standard
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ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: Breast cancer is the prime cause of mortality among women of both developing and developed world. Out of 34.6% of female cancer patients, malignant breast cancer being the most common cancer found in Pakistan. The current study aims to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance spectroscopy in diagnosing malignant breast lesions taking histopathology as a gold standard.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was carried out on 135 female patients with suspicious palpable lesions for malignancy referred from surgical OPD of Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro during the period from October 2020 to March 2021. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) dynamic enhanced images taken with contrast on 1.5 Tesla MRI machines were assessed based on kinetic and morphology. Malignancy biomarker was assessed with choline peak (Cho) allowed by MRS. The Single-voxel technique was utilized in order to evaluate the diagnostic performance of MRS in breast lesions malignancy. A comparison was made between MRS and biopsy findings.

Results: Out of 135 female patients, 118 (87.40%) were malignant lesions patients assessed by MRS while histopathologically proven patients were 104 (77.33%). The calculated mean age of all the malignant patients was 48.3 ± 14.5 years with a range of 40-79 years. The diagnostic parameters of MRS such as specificity, accuracy, sensitivity, negative predictive value (NPV), and positive predictive value (PPV) was 74%, 88.2%, 93%, 77.8%, and 93.1% respectively while taking histopathology as a gold standard.

Conclusion: MRS must be utilized as a primary imaging technique for the diagnosis of breast lesions malignancy due to its higher specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy in breast lumps characterization. MRS was found to be specific 74%, sensitive 88.2%, and accurate 93% in malignant breast cancer diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the prime cause of mortality among female patients in both developing and developed worlds. The prevalence of breast cancer incident rate was found higher (80/100000) in developed regions as compared to developing regions (40/100000) [1]. About 35% of female cancers account for the commonest malignancy in the breast [2] and almost 89000 women per annum suffer from it [3]. MRS core biopsy diagnosis is an effective and efficient breast lesion as compared to the surgical biopsy based on cost, less invasive, and convenience. Pathologic reports found 75% of benign cases assessed by biopsy due to patient’s reluctance to biopsy subjected [1-4]. A reliable and invasive approach is an effective diagnosis and need of the hour for breast lesions suspicious [5].

MRS is a part of triple assessment radiological techniques used for malignant breast lesions taking histopathology as a gold standard. MRS detects early-stage breast cancer as a primary imaging technique. However, its specificity and sensitivity varies from 82-98% and 68-88% respectively [6]. MRS detects malignant breast cancer with higher sensitivity. However, discrepancies were found in MRS accuracy and sensitivity as it gives more sensitive and specific results compared to other radiological techniques [7, 8]. One study conducted in 2012 found specificity and sensitivity of MRS were 68% and 71% respectively [9]. Better visual inspection and spatial information by the three-dimensional ability of MRS could be provided by MRS. Post-surgical changes such as neo-adjuvant therapy assessment and integrity of breast implant were assessed by MRS role [10-12]. Breast MRS differentiate the normal breast from lesions based on lesions permeability and vascular differences [13]. Lesions enhancement patterns, distribution, size, kinetic curve description, margins with initial peaks and contrast enhancement delayed phases were described by MRS [14].

Many researchers studied the diagnostic accuracy of MRI in the diagnosis of malignant breast cancer. But very few investigations have been carried out on MRS diagnosis of breast lesions. Keeping in view the lack of enough data and previous studies confliction in Pakistan, the current study aims to determine the diagnostic accuracy of MRS in the diagnosis of malignant breast lesions taking histopathology as a gold standard.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
This cross-sectional study was carried out in the department of Radiology at Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro. A total of 135 female patients were registered as they met the inclusion criteria. These patients had either suspicious breast lesions or malignant referrals from surgical OPD with breast lumps. Patients with malignant lesions and who had chemotherapy or underwent surgery were excluded. Out of 135 female patients, 118 (87.40%) were malignant lesions patients assessed by MRS while histopathologically proven patients were 104 (77.33%). MRS and histopathology analysis was compared in the preoperative biopsy. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) dynamic enhanced images taken with contrast on 1.5 Tesla MRI machines were assessed based on kinetic and morphology. Malignancy biomarker was assessed with choline peak (Cho) allowed by MRS. The Single-voxel technique was utilized in order to evaluate the diagnostic performance of MRS in breast lesions malignancy.

A double breast coil with gentle compression application on both breasts was utilized to scan all the patients in order to mitigate the effects of patient motion in the prone position. Contrast injection could be optimized with a three-way stopcock on 20-22 canola gauges to secure the intervention line before adjusting the position. Multi-planar reconstruction with thin slices generated was employed through MIP (maximum intensity projection) three-dimensional for fat suppression and subtraction. Contrast injection was injected in order to perform scanning after 20 seconds while another intravenous dose was injected with 0.2 ml per kg and saline of 20 ml flush for contrast-enhanced images by MRS. Dynamically, four post-contrast scans took 7 minutes 35 seconds duration for the complete sequence. Kinetic curve types II and III demonstration with spiculated borders and ductal pattern or peripheral rim were used for malignancy diagnosis on MRS.

Statistical Analysis: Data analysis was carried out using SPSS version 20. Frequency and percentage were calculated for malignant breast lesions based on their kinetic features and morphology. The comparison was made with histopathology findings and MRS diagnosis parameters such as specificity, accuracy, sensitivity, NPV, and PPV were calculated.

RESULTS
Out of 135 female patients, 118 (87.40%) were malignant lesions patients assessed by MRS while histopathologically proven patients were 104 (77.33%). The calculated mean age of all the malignant patients was 48.3 ± 14.5 years with a range of 42-59 years. The diagnostic parameters of MRS such as specificity, accuracy, sensitivity, negative predictive value (NPV), and positive predictive value (PPV) was 74%, 88.2%, 93%, 77.8%, and 93.1% respectively while taking histopathology as a gold standard. The age-wise distribution was done for 135 patients enrolled in this study. In group I, maximum patients fall between 42-59 years and the average mean age was 48.3 ± 14.5 years. Out of 118 malignant lesions patients, ductal enhancement was 67 (59.3%) and peripheral enhancement 41 (40.7%) as shown in Table I and Figure I. Type I curve, type II plateau curve and type III washout curve was seen in 17 (12.5%), 32 (23.7) and 86 (63.7) respectively. MRS diagnostic parameters such as specificity, sensitivity, accuracy, negative predictive value, and positive predictive value were reported 74%, 88.2%, 83%, 77.8%, and 93.1% as shown in Table II and Figure II.

Table I. Frequency of MRS morphology features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRS Parameters</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular borders, no enhancement</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular borders, homogenous</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speculated borders, peripheral</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speculated borders, ductal</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. MRS and histopathology findings of Breast Lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRS findings</th>
<th>Histopathology Findings n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignant (+ve)</td>
<td>118 (87.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
Pakistan is being ranked 2nd in Asia in regard to the number of breast cancer cases reported worldwide. Breast...
cancer can be diagnosed with multimodality and radiological techniques for imaging. MRS is the preferred radiological assessment technique used for breast lesions detection and identification due to the higher accuracy and increased performance in dense breast compared to the conventional mammography which has limitations [15].

MRS of breast is a popular trend in imaging tools used in radiology. Breast lesions functional and structural details were provided based on vascular characteristics [16]. Speculated borders of malignant lesions with peripheral/ductal enhancement [17] and kinetic curve of type II [18].

In our study, MRS identified and detected118 cases of malignant lesions among a total of 135 female patients while 104 patients were confirmed by histopathology findings. Out of 118 malignant lesions patients, ductal enhancement was 67 (59.3%) and peripheral enhancement 41 (40.7%) as shown in Table I and Figure I. type I curve, type II plateau curve, and type III washout curve was seen in 17 (12.5%),32 (23.7) and 86 (63.7) respectively. MRS diagnostic accuracy has been found different in different studies with a sensitivity of 95% [19] and 71.7% [20]. Specificity variations were 75% and 68%. Another study found MRS sensitivity, specificity, NPV, and PPV in order of 94%, 85%, 90% and82% respectively with an overall accuracy of 90% [21]. The p-value of < 0.001 was considered a significant value. Malignant breast lesions diagnosis was found to have an overall diagnostic accuracy of about 89.3%. MRS recommendation in preoperative characteristics disease and high-level diagnostic accuracy was calculated on statistical analysis.

Our study had limitations such as contrast material, high-cost investigation, prone position and scan time, and patient less comfort ability and suspicious lumps patients’ referral, more patients were having malignant breast lesions. The study population was single-centered based on patients and found biased. Breast cancer modality in patients and judicious patterns were interpreted by the results.

CONCLUSIONS
MRS is the prime imaging modality for breast lesions proper characterization. MRS gives higher sensitivity, specificity, and overall diagnostic accuracy in breast lesions diagnosis compared to other radiological modalities. MRS was found to be specific 74%, accurate 88.2%, and sensitive 93% in malignant breast cancer diagnosis.
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