ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Frequency & Pelvic Symptoms in Women with Pelvic Organ Prolapse

SADAF SHAFIQUE¹, JAVERIA SALEEM², ANAHEETA ISRAR³, ATTIYA IRUM⁴, SUMAIRA INAYAT⁵, FATIMA

¹Classified Gynaecologist, Gynae & Obs Department, Combined Military Hospital, Peshawar

³Senior Registrar Gynae & Obs Department, Abbottabad Medical Complex, Abbottabad

Corresponding author: Major Sadaf Shafique, Email: talalde @hotmail.com, Phone No. +923345726747

ABSTRACT

Aim: To determine the frequency and symptoms of pelvic organ prolapsed in women with complaints of heaviness in pelvis and abnormal vaginal discharge.

Study Design: Cross-sectional/Observational study

Place and Duration: Study was conducted at Obstetrics and Gynecology department of Combined Military Hospital, Peshawar for six months duration from April, 2020 to September, 2020.

Methodology: Total 150 patients were presented in this study. Patients details demographics, age and body mass index were recorded after taking informed written consent. Patients were aged between 18-60 years. Patients who had complaints of heaviness in pelvis and abnormal vaginal discharge were enrolled. Frequency and pelvic symptoms in women with pelvic organ prolapse were measured by using Organ Prolapse Quantification System (POP-Q) at 3-stages. Complete data was analyzed by SPSS 22.0 version.

Results: Mean age of the patients was 42.22 ± 17.48 years with mean BMI 24.4 ± 4.84kg/m². Average time of labour was 14.22 ± 11.84. Frequency of pelvic organ prolapse at stage I was 19 (12.7%), at stage II was 82 (54.7%) and at stage III was 49 (32.7%). 85 (56.7%) women had multiparity, 55 (36.7%) had grand multiparity and 10 (6.7%) had primipara. Among menopausal status, frequency of pre-menopausal was 97 (64.7%) and post-menopausal status was among 53 (35.3%). Frequency of increased abdominal pressure was among 67 (44.7%) patients. Hormone replacement therapy was done among 13 (8.7%).

Conclusion: We concluded in this study that the danger of pelvic organ prolapse is clear from the abdominal pressure and overweight. Measures should be taken to deliver health care for women to reduce the burden of disease.

Keywords: Pelvic organ prolapse, POP-Q system, Menopausal status, Hormone replacement therapy, Abdominal pressure

INTRODUCTION

A common gynecologic disorder linked to pelvic dysfunction of pelvic floors in women is pelvic organ prolapse (POP)[1]. This is the anomalous position of the pelvic organs in or outside the vagina, including the uterus, bladder, rectum and small intestine [2]. It may lead to surgical procedures which are one of the most common gynaecological operations in the general female population performed with a lifetime risk of 11–19% based on data from High Income Countries (HIC)[3, 4].

The prevalence and risk factors of low and middle-income POPs (LMIC) are much less well-known[5]. A study study on Pelvic Floor Sturdy published in LMICs in 2011 found 13 studies with POP data ranging from 3.4 to 56.4%, a mean of 19.7%, but most studies were limited and not population-based, with differing meanings and POP methodologies [6]. A population based research (n=2070) which was not included in the UNFPA analysis in Nepal showed a 10 percent prevalence of women asking if they "were in the vagina"[7]. More récentEthiopia[8] studies and Tanzania[10] have demonstrated a prevalence between 1% and 64.6% based on clinical exams (based on symptoms in the maternal health general studies).

Well known factors for POP are mainly focused on HIC results, including early delivery of forceps, long second phases of labour,[10] heavy-duty or hard-worked heartbeat and high infant birth weight[10], forceps, etc., and pregnancy and vaginal delivery[8]. In low and medium

compared to high-income countries, for example, high parity and younger ages at first-time delivery are usually more common and forceps and césareans are less common. The distribution of these different risk factors variety. Our research was based on the lack of good data on POPs in LMIC's and Pakistan and difficult to determine the disease burden in women. Because rural areas are often neglected[10], research from these areas therefore need a comprehensive epidemiological study to help formulate strategies for providing adequate care.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross-sectional/observational study was conducted at Obstetrics and Gynecology departmentofCombined Military Hospital, Peshawar for duration of six months from April, 2020 to September, 2020.and comprised of 150 patients. Patients detailed demographics were recorded after taking written consent. Patients who had prolapse with malignancy, uterovaginal prolapse with pregnancy and those did not give written consent were excluded from this study.

Patients were aged between 18-60 years. Patients who had complaints of heaviness in pelvis and abnormal vaginal discharge were enrolled. Frequency and pelvic symptoms in women with pelvic organ prolapse were measured by using Organ Prolapse Quantification System (POP-Q) at 3-stages. Complete data was analyzed by SPSS 22.0 version.

²Senior Registrar, Gynae & Obs Department, Qazi Hussain Ahmed Medical Complex, Pabbi Nowshera

⁴Senior Registrar Gynae & Obs Department, Fazaia Ruth PFAU Medical College, PAF Base Faisal, Karachi

⁵Senior Registrar Gynae & Obs department Northwest General Hospital and Research Center, Peshawar

⁶Junior Registrar Gynae & Obs Department, Mardan Medical Complex, Mardan

RESULTS

Mean age of the patients was 42.22 ± 17.48 years with mean BMI 24.4 ± 4.84 kg/m². Average time of labour was 14.22 ± 11.84 . 85 (56.7%) women had multiparity, 55 (36.7%) had grand multiparity and 10 (6.7%) had primipara. (table 1).

Frequency of pelvic organ prolapse at stage I was 19 (12.7%), at stage II was 82 (54.7%) and at stage III was 49 (32.7%). Among menopausal status, frequency of premenopausal was 97 (64.7%) and post-menopausal status was among 53 (35.3%). Frequency of increased abdominal pressure was among 67 (44.7%) patients. Hormone replacement therapy was done among 13 (8.7%). (table 2).

Table 1: Baseline details of enrolled cases

Variables	Frequency	% age	
Mean age	42.22 ± 17.48		
Mean BMI	24.4 ± 4.84		
Average time of labour	14.22 ± 11.84		
Parity			
Multiparity	85	56.7	
Grand multiparity	55	36.7	
Primipara	10	6.7	

Table 2: Frequency of factors leading to pelvic organ prolapse

Variables	Frequency	% age	
POP-Q System			
Stage I	19	12.7	
Stage II	82	54.7	
Stage II	49	32.7	
Menopausal Status			
Pre-menopausal	97	64.7	
Post-menopausal	53	35.3	
Increase Abdominal Pressure			
Yes	67	44.7	
No	83	55.3	
HRT			
Yes	13	8.7	
No	137	91.3	

DISCUSSION

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) occurs if the pelvic floor tissue and muscles no longer sustain pelvic organ drops (prolapse) from the normal location of the pelvic organs. Vagina, cervix, uterus, bladder, urethra, and rectum belong to pelvic bodies. In the population-based research, the prevalence of POP is based on clinical analysis of symptomatic females aged 18 years and above. This bladder is the most frequently involved organ in pelvic organ prolapse. This prevalence corresponds to a Ghana population study[13]Mean age of the patients was 42.22 \pm 17.48 years with mean BMI 24.4 \pm 4.84kg/m². Average time of labour was 14.22 \pm 11.84. These were comparable to the previous some studies.[12]

Frequency and pelvic symptoms in women with pelvic organ prolapse were measured by using Organ Prolapse Quantification System (POP-Q) at 3-stages. Frequency of pelvic organ prolapse at stage I was 19 (12.7%), at stage II was 82 (54.7%) and at stage III was 49 (32.7%). Among menopausal status, frequency of pre-menopausal was 97 (64.7%) and post-menopausal status was among 53 (35.3%). Frequency of increased abdominal pressure was among 67 (44.7%) patients. The prevalence of POP by

POP-Q scenes in a populations-based Netherlands sample was as follows: stage zero (25.0%), stage I (36.5%), stage II (33%), stage III (5.0%) and stage IV (0.5 percent) [13]. In a survey conducted by GarshasbiA,[14] he found: Stages 0 (47%); stage I (23.1%); stage II (18.3%) and stage III to be the total distribution of the pelvic prolapse of the organ (11.6 percent). The following divisions in POP-Q stages were found in a study carried out by Kim CM[15]: stage II (25%), stage III (55%), stage IV (20 %).

Hormone replacement therapy was done among 13 (8.7%) in present study. In this analysis, the tendency between POP and patient age was negligible. Likewise, the POP stage rate with parity, menopause status and HRT was also not important, whereas, statistics also showed +ve impact on the POP-Q phase with increased abdominal pressure (p=0,0005). In the Kim CM study[15], it has found that age, parity, menopause and HRT have significantly been correlated with the POP point, and parity increases with increasing severity by a statistically significant level. He found that POPs had major risk factors of age > 70 years, parity > 3 and menopausal status[15].

Two other recently performed research, one in Ethiopia with a varying prevalence, 395 women completing a questionnaire and 294 women having a symptomatic pelvic organ prolapse (do you feel bulging/pressure and something appearing through the vagina or you have a noticeable mass that rises through the vagina), is reported to have 6.3 per vagina.

Variation in the estimate of POP prevalence from 1-64.6 percent in various studies due to different definitions of POP diagnostics, the use of different POP classification systems, the inclusion of different age groups and studies in rural and urban areas with different expectations. The research in Gambia, for example, was focused on the interview and evaluation of randomly selected women in a rural community, but categories of cases, including milduterine prolapse to the vagina, used to be diagnosed were requirements for POP diagnosis; moderate cervix visible outside of the introit and extreme uterine deterioration without use of the validated classification system [16].

POP risk factors are well established, including age, birth, traumatization, chronic intra-abdominal stress development, smoking, menopause, deficiency in oestrol, genetic factor, previous surgery, myopathy and collagene abnormalities [17-18]. POPs can also be affected by age, birth, trauma, and chronic intra-abdominal pressure increases (obesity. These risk factors vary from patient to patient and are subject to race impact. The exact etiology is not known but would definitely be multifactorial in uterovaginal prolapse. The severity of the prolapse is subject to various risks. [19-20]

CONCLUSION

We concluded in this study that the danger of pelvic organ prolapse is clear from the abdominal pressure and overweight. Measures should be taken to deliver health care for women to reduce the burden of disease.

REFERENCE

 Akeel NY, Gurland B, Hull T. Pelvic Floor Disorders Related to Urology and Gynecology. In Fundamentals of Anorectal Surgery 2019 (pp. 571–582). Springer, Cham.

- Haylen BT, Maher CF, Barber MD, Camargo S, Dandolu V, Digesu A, Goldman HB, Huser M, Milani AL, Moran PA, Schaer GN, Withagen MI. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA) / International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic organ prolapse (POP). Int Urogynecol J. 2016;27(2):165–94
- Smith FJ, Holman CD, Moorin RE, Tsokos N. Lifetime risk of undergoing surgery for pelvic organ prolapse. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;116(5):1096–100.
- Løwenstein E, Bent O, Helga G. Incidence and lifetime risk of pelvic organ prolapse surgery in Denmark from 1977 to 2009. Int Urogynecol J. 2015;26:49–55.
- Gunasekera P, Sazaki J, Walker G. Pelvic organ prolapse: don't forget developing countries. Lancet. 2007;369:1789– on
- Walker GJA, Gunasekera P. Pelvic organ prolapse and incontinence in developing countries: review of prevalence and risk factors. Int Urogynecol J. 2011;22:127–35.
- UNFPA. Status of reproductive morbidities in Nepal, 2006 Kathmandu; UNFPA, (2006).
- Belayneh T, Gebeyehu A, Adefris M, Rortveit G, Awoke T. Pelvic organ prolapse in Northwest Ethiopia: a population-based study. Int Urogynecol J. 2019:1–9.
- Ballard K, Ayenachew F, Wright J, Atnafu H. Prevalence of obstetric fistula and symptomatic pelvic organ prolapse in rural Ethiopia. Int Urogynecol J. 2016;27(7):1063–7.
- Masenga GG, Shayo BC, Rasch V. Prevalence and risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse in Kilimanjaro, Tanzania: A population based study in Tanzanian rural community. PLoS One. 2018;25, 13(4).
- 11. Wusu-Ansah OK, Opare-Addo HS. Pelvic organ prolapse in rural Ghana. Int J GynaecolObstet. 2008;103:121–4.

- Kouser Karim and Ayesha Khan et al. Frequency of Different Stages of Pelvic Organ Prolapse and Factors Leading to it. National Journal of Health Sciences, 2017, Vol. 2, No. 3
- Slieker-ten Hove MC, Pool-Goudzwaard AL, Eijkemans MJ, Steegers-Theunissen RP, Burger CW, Vierhout ME. The prevalence of pelvic organ prolapse symptoms and signs and their relation with bladder and bowel disorders in a general female population. Int. Urogynecol. J., 2009; 20(9): 1037-45. DOI: 10.1007/s00192-009-0902-1
- Garshabi A, Faghih-Zadeh S, Falah N. The status of pelvic supporting organs in a population of Iranian women 18-68 years of age and possible risk factors. Arch. Iran. Med., 2006; 9(2): 124-8.
- Kim CM, Jeon MJ, Chung DJ, Kim SK, Kim JW, Bai SW. Risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse. Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet., 2007; 98(3): 248-51.
- Scherf C, Morison L, Fiander A, Ekpo G, Walraven G. Epidemiology of pelvic organ prolapse in rural Gambia. West Africa. BJOG 2002; 109: (4) 431–436.
- Haque S, Khan A. Frequency and pelvic symptoms in women with pelvic organ prolapse. Pak. J. Surg., 2010; 26(1): 50-4.
- Doshani A, Teo RE, Mayne CJ, Tincello DG. Uterine prolapse. BMJ, 2007; 335: 819-23. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.39356.604074.be
- Whitcomb EL, Rortveit G, Brown JS, Creasman JM, Thom DH, Van Den Eeden SK, et al. Racial differences in pelvic organ prolapse. Obstet. Gynecol., 2009; 114(6): 1271-7. DOI: 10.1097/aog.0b013e3181bf9cc8
- Rodrigues AM, de oliveira LM, Martins Kde F, Del Roy CA, Sartori MC, Girao MJ. Risk factors for genital prolapse in a Brazilian population. Rev. Bras. Gynecol. Obstet., 2009; 31(1): 17-21.