Comparison of Outcome with Retrograde Nailing Versus Locked Compressive Plating in the Treatment of Extra Articular Supracondylar Femur Fractures

UMAIR AHMED¹, UMAIR AHMAD², MAJID ZAHEER³, AHMED SADAQAT⁴, ZUBAIR KHALID⁵ ¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore. ²Senior Registrar, Department of Orthopedics, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital. Lahore.

^{3,4,5}Post Graduate Resident, Department of Orthopedics, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare outcome of retrograde nailing versus locked compressive plating in the treatment of extra articular supracondylar femur fractures.

Study Design: A randomized prospective trial.

Place and Duration of the Study: Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Ghurki Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan from January 2020 to November 2021.

Methodology: A total of 160 (80 in each group) patients aged between 18 to 50 years of both genders with extra articular supracondylar femur fractures were randomized into either retrograde nailing group (Group-A) or locked compressive plating (Group-B). Surgery time and functional outcome were compared in both groups. Demographic characteristics along with mean surgery time and functional outcomes were compared between both study groups.

Results: In a total of 160 patients, mean age was 33.57 ± 9.45 years. The mean age in Group-A was 33.24 ± 8.61 years and in Group-B 33.90 ± 10.26 years. In group-A, there were 49 (61.25%) male and 31 (38.75%) female cases while in group-B there were 56 (70%) male and 24 (30%) female cases. The mean surgery time in group-A was significantly less as compared to group-B (83.29\pm7.48 minutes vs. 106.62 ± 7.69 minutes, p<0.01). The frequency of excellent to good outcome was statistically higher in Group-A as compared to Group-B (p< 0.05).

Conclusion: Retrograde nailing gave better results in the treatment of extra articular supracondylar femur fractures when compared with locked compressive plating. Retrograde nailing can be opted to reduce the surgery time and gain better functional outcome.

Keywords: Femur fracture, nailing, plating, surgery time, functional outcome

INTRODUCTION

Distal femur fractures are among rare and severe forms with estimated frequency of 4 out of 100 fractures. There is peak incidence for men in their 30s and a peak for elderly women.¹ Among the old patients in orthopedic emergency departments, the distal femur fractures are the most common yet complicated issue.² The possible treatment options in this case are mostly therapeutic and where appropriate minimal invasive surgeries may also be done.1 However, despite of advancements in treatment options and techniques of surgery, no consensus has yet been developed for the type of implant that should be used in certain situation for managing these types of fractures. There are many complications that are quite common as well as difficulties in union that makes the success of therapies challenging.³ Hence the treatment to be used needs to be chosen wisely for individual patients according to the site of fractures, displacement, as well as the stability of the used prosthesis with help of the classification system that help us to decide for surgeries.4,8

It is a common practice to use minimally invasive fixation that uses a locking plate and has early motion. But, it also involves a number of pitfalls and technical errors.^{6, 7} In this surgery numerous rules are followed that include mechanics of internal fixation as well as implantation.⁶ One study reported that the locked plating and retrograde intramedullary nailing offered considerable advantage over the non-operative treatment as well as conventional plating technique which is nonlocked in managing the periprosthetic femur fracture above the total knee arthroplasties.⁸

One study reported that mean operation time in locked compressive plating was 88.4±17.6 minutes versus 102.3±20.6 minutes with retrograde nailing.³ They further reported that excellent outcome was seen in 8(36.36%) in LP and 9(45%) in RN group.³ As no local data is available and international data also lacks locked compressive plating versus retrograde nailing in the treatment of extra articular supracondylar femur fractures. This study can help us to have statistical evidence to use in future either locked compressive plating or retrograde nailing in the treatment of extra articular supracondylar femur fractures. By adopting better

treatment choice we can gain more union and reduce complications. Our objective was to compare outcome of retrograde nailing versus locked compressive plating in the treatment of extra articular supracondylar femur fractures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This randomized prospective trial was conducted at The Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Ghurki Hospital, Lahore Pakistan, from January 2020 to November 2021. Approval from "Institutional Ethical Committee" was taken. Written consent was sought from all patients. A total of 160 (80 cases in each group) by taking 80% power of test, 95% confidence level, considering mean operation time in retrograde nailing as 102.3±20.6 minutes and locked compressive plating as 88.4±17.6 minutes.³

Inclusion criteria was age 18 – 50 years of either gender and patients coming with supracondylar femur fractures and supracondylar fractures with fracture line extending to distal third of femoral shaft. Extra articular supracondylar femur fractures was labeled as if there was break in the bone (was assessed on digital X-rays) in region of supracondylar femur fractures and supracondylar fractures with fracture line extending to distal third of femoral shaft. All patients with Preexisting significant ipsilateral limb joint arthritis or comorbidities hampering rehabilitation; Gustilo grade 3b and 3c open fractures; old fractures (>3 weeks); associated knee ligament tears; associated neurovascular injury or periprosthetic supracondylar femur fractures were excluded.

Basic demographical history (name, age, gender) and contact details were recorded from all patients. Total 160 patients were divided randomly (80 in each group) using random number table into retrograde nailing (Group-A) or locked compressive plating (Group-B). All surgeries were accomplished under spinal/epidural or general anesthesia. Patients were discharged between 5th to 14th day post-surgery. Isometric quadriceps as well as knee hip ankle exercises were started after 1 day post-surgery. Non-weight bearing movement with the help of a walker was asked to follow up at 2-weeks, 4-weeks and then on a monthly basis till 6 months and then 3 months afterwards. After confirmation of the

union, cases were asked to do full weight bearing. The data was collected on a specially designed Performa.

Outcome was determined in the forms of mean surgery time and functional outcome in both study groups. Surgery time was measured in minutes from induction of anesthesia to wound closure. Functional outcome was assessed using Knee society score and was labeled at 12 weeks postoperatively as excellent (score 80-100), good (score 70-79), fair (score 60-69) or poor (score below 60). Data was analyzed with SPSS version 26.0. Mean ± SD was calculated for age, BMI and operation time. Frequency and percentages were calculated for gender, living area and functional outcome. Post stratified independent sample t-test and Chi- square test were applied considering p-value ≤0.05 as significant.

RESULTS

Out of a total of 160 patients, 105 (65.6%) were male. Overall the mean age was 33.57 ± 9.45 years (ranging between 18 and 50 years). Table I is showing characteristics of patients of both study groups and there was no statistically significant difference noted (p>0.05)

Table I: Characteristics of Patients in Both Study Groups (N=160)

| Table I. Ch | aracteristics of Fa | | uy Groups (N= R | 50) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Characteristics | | Group-A (n=80) | Group-B (n=80) | P-Value |
| Gender | Male | 56 (70.0%) | 49 (61.2%) | 0.244 |
| | Female | 24 (30.0%`) | 31 (38.8%) | |
| Age in | 18-35 | 42 (52.5%) | 44 (55.5%) | 0.751 |
| Years | 36-50 | 38 (47.5%) | 36 (44.5%) | |
| Living | Urban | 38 (47.5%) | 37 (46.3%) | 0.474 |
| Area | Rural | 42 (52.5%) | 43 (53.7%) | |
| BMI in | <30 | 59 (73.8%) | 68 (85.0%) | 0.079 |
| kg/m ² | >30 | 21 (26.2%) | 12 (15.0%) | |
| Monthly | <20,000 PKR | 26 (32.5%) | 33 (41.3%) | 0.185 |
| Income | 20,000- | 33 (41.3%) | 22 (27.5%) | |
| | 50,000 PKR | | | |
| | >50,000 PKR | 21 (26.3%) | 25 (31.3%) | |

Group-A: Retrograde nailing; Group-B: Locked compressive plating

Overall, mean surgery time was noted to be 94.96±13.94 (ranging between 70 to 120 minutes). In Group-A, mean surgery time was 83.29±7.48 minutes (ranging between 70 minutes to 94 minutes) while it was 106.62±13.94 minutes (ranging between 94 minutes to 120 minutes) in Group-B (p<0.001). Table II is showing comparison of mean surgery time with respect to characteristics of patients among patients of both study groups.

Table II: Comparison of Mean Surgery Time in Between Both Study Groups (n=160)

| (* * * *) | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Characteristics | | Group-A | Group-B | P-Value |
| Gender | Male | 83.04±7.44 | 106.89±7.72 | <0.001 |
| | Female | 83.68±7.65 | 106.00±7.75 | <0.001 |
| Age in | 18-35 | 82.54±7.44 | 106.33±8.50 | <0.001 |
| Years | 36-50 | 84.19±7.54 | 106.95±6.80 | <0.001 |
| Living | Urban | 82.84±7.38 | 105.71±8.24 | <0.001 |
| Area | Rural | 83.67±7.63 | 107.45±7.17 | <0.001 |
| BMI in | <30 | 82.68±7.69 | 105.76±7.41 | <0.001 |
| kg/m ² | >30 | 86.75±5.14 | 109.05±8.14 | <0.001 |
| Monthly | <20,000 PKR | 84.27±7.78 | 108.04±7.77 | <0.001 |
| Income | 20,000- | 82.55±7.16 | 105.67±8.00 | <0.001 |
| | 50,000 PKR | | | |
| | >50,000 PKR | 82.64±7.51 | 106.38±7.19 | <0.001 |

Group-A: Retrograde nailing; Group-B: Locked compressive plating

Overall, excellent functional outcomes were reported among 70 (43.8%) patients, good in 51 (31.9%), fair 28 (17.5%) while poor outcomes were noted in 11 (6.9%). Table III is showing comparison of functional outcome in both study groups and it was found that patients in Group-A had significantly better functional outcomes as compared to patients of Group-B (p=0.039).

Table-III: Comparison of Functional Outcome in Both Study Groups (N=160)

| Functional Outcome | Group-A (n=80) | Group-B (m=80) | P-Value | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|--|
| Excellent | 43(53.8%) | 27(33.8%) | | |
| Good | 24(30%) | 27(33.8%) | 0.039 | |
| Fair | 10(12.5%) | 18(22.5%) | 0.039 | |
| Poor | 3(3.8%) | 8(10%) | | |

| Table-IV: Comparison o | f Functiona | I Outcome wit | h respect to Ch | aracteristics |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| of Patients in Both Stud | y Groups (N | V=160) | | |
| | | | | |

| Characteris | tics | Outcome | Group-A | Group-B | P-Value |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Gender | | Excellent | 27(48.2%) | 14(28.6%) | |
| | Male | Good | 20(35.7%) | 17(34.7%) | 0.030 |
| | | Fair | 8(14.3%) | 11(22.4%) | 0.030 |
| | | Poor | 1(1.8%) | 7(14.3%) | |
| | Female | Excellent | 16(66.7%) | 13(41.9%) | |
| | | Good | 4(16.7%) | 10(32.3%) | 0.159 |
| | | Fair | 2(8.3%) | 7(22.6%) | |
| | | Poor | 2(8.3%) | 1(3.2%) | |
| | | Excellent | 23(54.8%) | 18(40.9%) | |
| | 18-35 | Good | 12(28.6%) | 14(31.8%) | 0.560 |
| | (years) | Fair | 5(11.9%) | 9(20.5%) | 0.560 |
| Age in | | Poor | 2(4.8%) | 3(6.8%) | |
| Years | | Excellent | 20(52.6%) | 9(25%) | |
| | 36-50 | Good | 12(31.6%) | 13(36.1%) | 0.047 |
| | (years) | Fair | 5(13.2%) | 9(25%) | 0.047 |
| | | Poor | 1(2.6%) | 5(13.9%) | |
| | | Excellent | 40(67.8%) | 27(39.7%) | |
| | | Good | 14(23.7%) | 27(39.7%) | 0.000 |
| | < 30 | Fair | 5(8.5%) | 10(14.7%) | 0.008 |
| BMI in | | Poor | 0(0%) | 4(5.9%) | |
| Kg/m² | ≥30 | Excellent | 3(14.3%) | 0(0%) | 0.006 |
| | | Good | 10(47.6%) | 0(0%) | |
| | | Fair | 5(23.8%) | 8(66.7%) | |
| | | Poor | 3(14.3%) | 4(33.3%) | |
| | < 15000 | Excellent | 14(53.8%) | 10(30.3%) | |
| | | Good | 7(26.9%) | 11(33.3%) | |
| | | Fair | 4(15.4%) | 8(24.2%) | 0.271 |
| | | Poor | 1(3.8%) | 4(12.1%) | 1 |
| | 15000- | Excellent | 20(60.6%) | 6(27.3%) | |
| | | Good | 7(21.2%) | 10(45.5%) | 1 |
| Income | 50000 | Fair | 4(12.1%) | 4(18.2%) | 0.106 |
| | | Poor | 2(6.1%) | 2(9.1%) | |
| | | Excellent | 9(42.9%) | 11(44%) | |
| | | Good | 10(47.6%) | 6(24%) | |
| | > 50000 | Fair | 2(9.5%) | 6(24%) | 0.180 |
| | | Poor | 0(0%) | 2(8%) | |
| Living Area | Urban | Excellent | 19(50%) | 11(29.7%) | |
| | | Good | 10(26.3%) | 13(35.1%) | |
| | | Fair | 7(18.4%) | 8(21.6%) | 0.276 |
| | | Poor | 2(5.3%) | 5(13.5%) | |
| | Rural | Excellent | 24(57.1%) | 16(37.2%) | |
| | | Good | 14(33.3%) | 14(32.6%) | - |
| | | Fair | 3(7.1%) | 10(23.3%) | 0.095 |
| | | Poor | 1(2.4%) | 3(7%) | 1 |

Group-A: Retrograde nailing; Group-B: Locked compressive plating

Table IV is showing comparison of functional outcome with respect to characteristics of patients in both study groups. Male gender in Group-A (p=0.030), age between 36-50 years in Group-

A (p=0.047), BMI<30 (p=0.008) and BMI >30 (p=0.006) in Group-A were found to have significant association with better outcomes.

Group-A: Retrograde nailing; Group-B: Locked compressive plating

DISCUSSION

Controversy exists regarding best treatment option for extra articular supracondylar femur fractures. A major benefits of retrograde nailing is that it allows load-sharing due to intramedullary localization as well as resultant early loading.¹⁰ Risk of development of athrosis because of knee joint damage while performing retrograde application of the nail, pain in the anterior knee, improper efficacy in comminuted metaphyseal injuries and complications like embolism are some of the potential drawbacks of retrograde nailing.¹¹⁻¹³

Demirtaş A et al comparing retrograde nailing (n=13) versus bridge plating (n=15) in extra-articular distral femur fractures revealed that majority of the patients were male while mean age in retrograde nailing group was 31.1 years (ranging between 17-49) and 36 years in bridge plating group.¹⁴ Findings of Demirtas A et al are close to what we noted as in the present study, mean age of patients was 33.57 ± 9.45 years with minimum and maximum age as 18 and 50 years.¹⁴ The mean age in group-A was 33.24 ± 8.61 years and in group-B was 33.90 ± 10.26 years. In group-A there were 49(61.25%) male and 31(38.75%) female cases. The findings are almost similar to the findings of above study.

In current study the mean surgery time in group-A was statistically less (83.29 ± 7.48 minutes) as compared to group-B (106.62 ± 7.69 minutes), p-value < 0.01. The frequency of excellent to good outcome as statistically higher in group-A as compared to group-B, p<0.05. One study reported that mean operation time in locked compressive plating was 88.4±17.6 and in versus retrograde nailing was 102.3±20.6.3 They further reported that excellent outcome was seen in 8(36.36%) in LP and 9(45%) in RN group, Good outcome was seen 9(40.91%) in LP and 7(35%) in RN group. Fair outcome was seen 3(13.64%) in LP and in 3 (15%) cases of RN group. Poor outcome was seen in 2(9.09%) cases and 1(5%) cases of LP and RN group.3 A randomized prospective study comparing locked compressive plating and retrograde nailing among cases with extra articular supracondylar femur fractures observed that mean duration of union was 26.5+12.9 weeks (ranging between 12-64 weeks) in locked plating group versus 22.6+13.1 weeks (ranging between 12-60 weeks) in the retrograde nail group (p>0.05). Although, fractures in the nailed group united earlier than the locked plating group but the difference was not significantly different with statistically similar rates of union and functional outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Retrograde nailing gave better results in the treatment of extra articular supracondylar femur fractures when compare with versus locked compressive plating. We can opt retrograde nailing in future to reduce the surgery time and gain better functional outcome.

REFERENCES

- Ehlinger M, Ducrot G, Adam P, Bonnomet F. Distal femur fractures. Surgical techniques and a review of the literature. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2013;99(3):353-60.
- Wahnert D, Hoffmeier K, Frober R, Hofmann GO, Muckley T. Distal femur fractures of the elderly--different treatment options in a biomechanical comparison. Injury. 2011;42(7):655-9.
- Gill SPS, Mittal A, Raj M, Singh P, Singh J, Kumar S. Extra Articular Supracondylar Femur Fractures Managed with Locked Distal Femoral Plate or Supracondylar Nailing: A Comparative Outcome Study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(5):RC19-RC23.
- Gavaskar AS, Tummala NC, Subramanian M. The outcome and complications of the locked plating management for the periprosthetic distal femur fractures after a total knee arthroplasty. Clinic Orthop Surg. 2013;5(2):124-8.
- Beltran MJ, Gary JL, Collinge CA. Management of Distal Femur Fractures With Modern Plates and Nails: State of the Art. J OrthopTrauma. 2015;29(4):165-72.
- Ehlinger M, Adam P, Arlettaz Y, Moor BK, DiMarco A, Brinkert D, et al. Minimally-invasive fixation of distal extra-articular femur fractures with locking plates: limitations and failures. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2011;97(6):668-74.
- Hoffmann MF, Jones CB, Sietsema DL, Tornetta P, Koenig SJ. Clinical outcomes of locked plating of distal femoral fractures in a retrospective cohort. J Orthop Surg Res. 2013; 8(1):43-50.
- Ristevski B, Nauth A, Williams DS, Hall JA, Whelan DB, Bhandari M, et al. Systematic Review of the Treatment of Periprosthetic Distal Femur Fractures. J Orthop Trauma. 2014;28(5):307-12.
- Gurkan V, Orhun H, Doganay M, Salioğlu F, Ercan T, Dursun M, et al. Retrograde intramedullary interlocking nailing in fractures of the distal femur. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc. 2009;43(3):199-205.
- Hierholzer C, von Rüden C, Pötzel T, Woltmann A, Bühren V. Outcome analysis of retrograde nailing and less invasive stabilization system in distal femoral fractures: a retrospective analysis. Indian J Orthop. 2011;45(3):243.
- Pingsmann A, Lederer M, Wüllenweber C, Lichtinger TK. Early patellofemoral osteoarthritis caused by an osteochondral defect after retrograde solid nailing of the femur in sheep. J Trauma. 2005;58(5):1024-1028.
- Henderson CE, Lujan T, Bottlang M, Fitzpatrick DC, Madey SM, Marsh JL. Stabilization of distal femur fractures with intramedullary nails and locking plates: differences in callus formation. Iowa Orthop J. 2010;30:61.
- Ricci WM, Streubel PN, Morshed S, Collinge CA, Nork SE, Gardner MJ. Risk factors for failure of locked plate fixation of distal femur fractures: an analysis of 335 cases. J Orthop Trauma. 2014;28(2):83-9
- Demirtaş A, Azboy I, Özkul E, Gem M, Alemdar C. Comparison of retrograde intramedullary nailing and bridge plating in the treatment of extra-articular fractures of the distal femur. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc. 2014;48(5):521-6.
- Gill S, Mittal A, Raj M, Singh P, Singh J, Kumar S. Extra articular supracondylar femur fractures managed with locked distal femoral plate or supracondylar nailing: a comparative outcome study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(5):RC19.