

Compare the Outcomes of Single Incision Laparoscopic Appendectomy versus Conventional Three Ports Laparoscopic Appendectomy

MUHAMMAD AKRAM DOGAR¹, MUHAMMAD NAEEM², AZAD ALI LASHARI³, TAYYABA RASHEED⁴

¹Professor, Department of General Surgery, Central Park Teaching Hospital Lahore

²Senior Registrar, Department of Surgery, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore

³Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Khairpur Medical College Khairpur Mir's

⁴4th Year MBBS Student, GMMMC Sukkur

Correspondence to: Prof. Muhammad Akram Dogar, Email: makramdogar@gmail.com, Cell: 0333-5434247

ABSTRACT

Aim: To compare the post-operative outcomes of single incision versus conventional three port laparoscopic appendectomy.

Study Design: Randomized controlled trial

Place and Duration: Department of Surgery, Central Park Medical College and Allied Hospitals Lahore from 1st January 2019 to 31st December 2019.

Methodology: One hundred and seventy patients of both genders with ages 20 to 60 years were included in this study. Patients were equally divided into two groups. Group I consist of 85 patients and received single incision laparoscopic appendectomy and Group II with similar patients received conventional three port laparoscopic appendectomy. Post-operative outcomes were examined and compare the findings between both groups.

Results: In Group I, 48 (56.47%) and 37 (43.53%) patients were males and females with mean age 38.56±10.48 years and in Group II, 43 (50.59%) patients were males and 42 (49.41%) patients were females with mean age 39.65±12.42 years. No significant difference was observed between both groups regarding operative time p-value >0.05. No significant difference was found between both groups regarding wound infection [Group I, 3 (3.53%), Group II, 7 (8.24%)]. Patients received single incision laparoscopic appendectomy had shorter hospital stay as compared to Group II patients (1.25±0.80 Vs 2.90±1.05 days) with p-value 0.003. Group I patients had better cosmetic results after 3 months as compared to Group II patients with p-value <0.05.

Conclusion: Single incision laparoscopic appendectomy is safe and effective treatment modality with better cosmetic results and less hospital stay and low rate of wound infection as compared to conventional laparoscopic appendectomy.

Keywords: Single incision, Conventional laparoscopic appendectomy, Operative time, Wound infection, Hospital stay, Cosmesis

INTRODUCTION

Appendicitis is a common disease, and surgical appendectomy is currently the most widely accepted treatment approach.¹ The first report on resection of the appendix was published in 1735.² The procedure was performed on an 11-year-old boy with an inguinal hernia containing an inflamed appendix. Conventional open appendectomy through a right iliac fossa incision was described by McBurney in 1894³ and the first laparoscopic appendectomy was reported by Semm in 1983.⁴

The advantages of minimally invasive surgical techniques include quick and less painful recovery, few postoperative complications and good cosmetic results.⁵ Conventional laparoscopic appendectomy has now become the standard for the treatment of suspected appendicitis in many countries.⁶

Laparoscopic appendectomy has proven to result in decreased pain, fewer postoperative complications, and shorter hospitalization compared with conventional open appendectomy.⁷ Single-incision laparoscopic surgery, which emphasizes reducing the number and/or size of incisions that leads to better cosmesis, has been proposed recently.⁷ Other studies have produced conflicting reports about these advantages.⁸ Management of acute appendicitis has been reported as one of several advancements in the single-incision method. Single incision laparoscopic appendectomy has been shown to be effective and safe for un-complicated appendicitis. The

concept of inline viewing is utilized in single-port laparoscopy. This technique is more demanding than conventional three-port laparoscopic appendectomy. Retraction is compromised and there is difficulty in manipulating the instruments.^{9,10}

The present study was conducted aimed to examine the outcomes of single incision laparoscopic appendectomy versus conventional laparoscopic appendectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective randomized controlled trial was conducted at Department of Surgery, Central Park Medical College and Allied Hospitals Lahore from 1st January 2019 to 31st December 2019. A total 170 patients of both genders with ages 20 to 60 years presented with acute appendicitis were included in this study. Patients detailed demographic including age, sex, BMI, pathology examination and leukocyte count were recorded after taking written consent. Patients with diabetes mellitus, patients with history of abdominal surgery and those with no consent were excluded from this study. All the patients were equally divided into two groups. Group I consists of 85 patients and received single incision laparoscopic appendectomy and Group II with similar patients received conventional instrumental laparoscopic appendectomy. Procedural outcomes such as operative time, hospital stay, post-operative pain, post-operative complications (wound infection, ileus) and cosmetic results. Cosmetic results

were examined by scoring system 1 to 10 at 3 months post-operative follow-up. Data was analyzed by SPSS 24. Students 't' test and Chi-square test were applied to compare the outcomes between both groups with p-value <0.05 was taken as significant.

RESULTS

In Group I, 48 (56.47%) and 37 (43.53%) patients were males and females with mean age 38.56±10.48 years and in Group II, 43 (50.59%) patients were males and 42 (49.41%) patients were females with mean age 39.65±12.42 years. Regarding body mass index (BMI) no significant difference was observed between both groups, group I and II (23.36±3.13 and 23.48±3.28 kg/m²). As per pathology examination in Group I 8 (9.41%) patients had acute perforated appendicitis, 14 (16.47%) patients had single appendicitis and 63 (74.12%) patients had acute suppurative appendicitis. In Group II 11 (12.94%), 17 (20%) and 57 (67.06%) patients had acute perforated, acute single and acute suppurative appendicitis. No significant difference was observed between both groups regarding pathology examination. In Group I mean leukocyte count was 15.542±3.47 and in Group II it was 14.436±3.258 (x10⁹/L) (Table 1).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of all the patients

Variable	Group I	Group II	P-Value
Age (years)	38.56±10.48	39.65±12.42	>0.05
Gender			
Male	48 (56.47%)	43 (50.59%)	>0.05
Female	37 (43.53%)	42 (49.41%)	N/S
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.36±3.13	23.48±3.28	N/S
Diagnosis (appendicitis)			
Perforated	8 (9.41%)	11 (12.94%)	>0.05
Single	14 (16.47%)	17 (20%)	>0.05
Suppurative	63 (74.12%)	57 (67.06%)	>0.05
Leukocyte count (x10 ⁹ /L)	15.542±3.47	14.436±3.258	>0.05

Table 2: Comparison of outcomes between both groups

Outcome	Group I	Group II	P-Value
Operative time (minutes)	48.46±6.27	47.92±7.88	0.08
Hospital stay (days)	1.25±0.80	3.24±1.05	0.004
Mean VAS	3.15±0.45	3.08±0.76	0.42
Complication			
Wound infection	3 (3.53%)	7 (8.24%)	>0.05
Ileus	0	2 (2.35%)	>0.05
Cosmetic result	2.03±1.35	3.85±1.76	0.02

According to the outcomes of both procedures, no significant difference was observed between both groups regarding operative time (48.46±6.27 vs 47.92±7.88 minutes) p-value 0.08. No significant difference was found between both groups regarding wound infection [Group I 3 (3.53%), Group II 7 (8.24%)], none of patients in Group I had leus while 2 (2.35%) had ileus in Group II. Patients received single incision laparoscopic appendectomy had shorter hospital stay as compared to Group II patients (1.25±0.80 Vs 3.24±1.05 days) with p-value 0.02. No significant difference was observed regarding post-operative pain by VAS between Group I and Group II (3.15±0.45 and 3.08±0.76) p-value 0.42. Group I patients

had better cosmetic results after 3 months as compared to Group II patients (2.03±1.35 Vs 3.85±1.76) with p-value < 0.05 (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Appendicitis is one of the most common diseases and appendectomy is one of the most performing surgical procedures in all over the world.¹¹ The present study was conducted to determine the outcomes of single incision laparoscopic appendectomy and compare with conventional instrumental laparoscopic appendectomy. In this regard 170 patients were enrolled and equally divided into two groups. Group I received single incision laparoscopic appendectomy while Group II received conventional three ports laparoscopic appendectomy. Mostly patients in our study were male 56.47% in Group I and 50.59% in Group II while 43.53% were females in Group I and in Group II 49.41% patients were females. No significant difference was observed regarding ages of patient's 38.56±10.48 years and 39.65±12.42 years. These results were similar to many of other studies in which male patients were predominant 55 to 70% as compared to females and majority of patients were ages 30 to 50 years.^{5,12} However, a study conducted by Koo et al¹³ regarding single incision versus conventional laparoscopic appendectomy and they reported female patients were high in numbers 56.3% as compared to male patients population 43.7%.

In our study we found no significant difference regarding BMI, leukocyte count and pathology examination of appendicitis between both groups (p-value >0.05). A study conducted by Chen et al¹⁴ reported no significant difference regarding BMI, age, gender, leukocyte count between both groups (p>0.05).

In present study no significant difference was observed between both groups regarding operative time (48.46±6.27 vs 47.92±7.88 minutes) p-value 0.08. A study by Omar et al¹² regarding comparison of single incision laparoscopic appendectomy and conventional laparoscopic appendectomy and they reported that conventional laparoscopic appendectomy was significantly superior to single incision laparoscopic appendectomy with reduced operating time (mean difference 5.81 [2.01, 9.62] P = 0.003). In our study we found no significant difference between both groups regarding wound infection [Group I 3 (3.53%), Group II 7 (8.24%)], none of patients in Group I had ileus while 2 (2.35%) had ileus in Group II. Patients received single incision laparoscopic appendectomy had shorter hospital stay as compared to Group I patients (1.25±0.80 Vs 3.24±1.05 days) with p-value 0.02. No significant difference was observed regarding post-operative pain by VAS between Group I and Group II (3.15±0.45 and 3.08±0.76) p-value 0.42. These results showed similarity to several previous studies in which single incision laparoscopic appendectomy had shorter hospital stay and lesser morbidity as compared to conventional instrumental laparoscopic appendectomy.^{15,16}

In the present study, we found that patients treated with single incision had better cosmetics outcomes as compared to conventional instrumental laparoscopic appendectomy (2.03±1.35 Vs 3.85±1.76). These results were similar to many of previous studies in which majority

of patients was satisfied regarding cosmetic results who were treated with single incision laparoscopic appendectomy.^{17,18}

CONCLUSION

Single incision laparoscopic appendectomy is safe and effective treatment modality with better cosmetic results and less hospital stay and low rate of wound infection as compared to conventional laparoscopic appendectomy. However, no significant difference was observed between both procedures regarding post-operative pain and operating time.

REFERENCES

1. Hansson J, Korner U, Khorram-Manesh A, Solberg A, Lundholm K. Randomized clinical trial of antibiotic therapy versus appendectomy as primary treatment of acute appendicitis in unselected patients. *Br J Surg* 2009; 96: 473-81.
2. Amyand C. VIII. Of an inguinal rupture, with a pin in the appendix coeci, incrustrated with stone; and some observations on wounds in the guts. *Philos Trans* 1735; 39:329-42.
3. McBurney C. Experience with early operative interference in cases of disease of the vermiform appendix. *N Y State J Med* 1889; 50: 676-84.
4. Teoh AY, Chiu PW, Wong TC. A double-blinded randomized controlled trial of laparoendoscopic single-site access versus conventional 3-port appendectomy. *Ann Surg* 2012; 256: 909-14.
5. The SCARLESS Study Group. Single port/incision laparoscopic surgery compared with standard three-port laparoscopic surgery for appendectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *Surg Endosc* 2015; 29: 77-85.
6. Carter JT, Kaplan JA, Nguyen JN, Lin MY, Rogers SJ, Harris HW. A prospective, randomized controlled trial of single-incision laparoscopic vs conventional 3-port laparoscopic appendectomy for treatment of acute appendicitis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2014; 218, 950-59.
7. Wei HB, Huang JL, Zheng ZH. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy a prospective randomized comparison. *Surg Endosc* 2010; 24:266-69.
8. Gao J, Li P, Li Q, Tang D, Wang DR. Comparison between single-incision and conventional three-port laparoscopic appendectomy: a meta-analysis from eight RCTs. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2013; 28(10):1319-27.
9. Lee JA, Sung KY, Lee JH, Lee DS. Laparoscopic appendectomy with a single incision in a single institute. *J Korean Soc Coloproctol* 2010 ;26:260-264.
10. Kye BH, Lee J, Kim W, Kim D, Lee D. Comparative study between single-incision and three-port laparoscopic appendectomy: a prospective randomized trial. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2013 23: 431-6.
11. Koca D, Yildiz S, Soyupek F, Günyeli I, Erdemoglu E, Soyupek S. Physical and mental workload in single-incision laparoscopic surgery and conventional laparoscopy. *Surg. Innov* 2015; 22(3): 294-302.
12. Aly OE, Black DH, Rehman H, Ahmed I. Single incision laparoscopic appendectomy versus conventional three-port laparoscopic appendectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg* 2016; 35: 120-28.
13. Koo K, Solo AS. Single-incision laparoscopic appendectomy versus conventional single-incision laparoscopic appendectomy: a retrospective, single center study. *J Minim Invasive Surg* 2018;21:124-9.
14. Chen J, Huang S, Zheng C, Lin W. Single incision laparoscopic appendectomy using conventional instruments for uncomplicated appendicitis patients. *Biomed Res* 2017; 28(19): 12-8.
15. Kim SJ, Choi BJ, Lee, SC. Novel approach of single-port laparoscopic appendectomy as a solo surgery: A prospective cohort study. *Int J Surg* 2015; 21: 1-7.
16. Lee Y, Kim HH. Single-incision laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *J Gastric Cancer* 2017; 17: 193-203.
17. Lee SL, Choi YS, Kim BG, Cha SJ, Park JM, Chang IT. Single port laparoscopic appendectomy in children using glove port and conventional rigid instruments. *Ann Surg Treat Res* 2014; 86: 35-8.
18. Pattanshetti VM, Krishna KL. Conventional laparoscopic appendectomy versus double-incision, three-port laparoscopic appendectomy: a 1-year randomized controlled trial. *Asian J Endosc Surg* 2018; 11(4): 366-72.