An Overview of Factors Associated with Failed Induction of Labour in a Tertiary Care Hospital
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the factors associated with failed induction of labour and fetal maternal outcome with induction of labour.

Study Design: A cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of OBS & GYNAE Avicenna Medical College and Hospital, Lahore from January 2019 to December 2019.

Methodology: A total of 90 subjects were evaluated keeping in view the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Induction was considered successful if the patient delivered vaginally and failed if ended up in caesarean section.

Results: 67% (60) patients delivered by caesarean section and 33% (30) by vaginal delivery. The average induction delivery interval was 19 hours. The following factors were associated with increased rate of failed induction: Bishop score < 5, Gestational age > 41 weeks, Oligohydramnios, Prelabor rupture of membranes, Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, Induction-delivery interval greater than 24 hours, Absence of dating scan, meconium stained liquor, small for gestational age and macrosomic babies. All were found to be statistically significant with a p value of less than 0.05. The study also showed that induction of labour is not associated with any major intrapartum and postpartum fetomaternal complications.

Conclusion: Most common indication for induction of labour was post dated pregnancy. Other major indications were co-morbid fetomaternal conditions which quite seemed to be unavoidable in most cases of failed induction. On other hand case selection for induction of labour is vital for achieving outcomes similar to spontaneous labour.
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INTRODUCTION

Induction of labor (IOL) has become one of the most common interventions in modern obstetrics. Innovations in diagnostic and screening methods in obstetrics led to the early detection of maternal and fetal conditions, which indicate artificial termination of pregnancy before the onset of spontaneous labor. Induction of labor is frequently used to avoid serious complications to the mother or the fetus, arising from conditions such as: pre-eclampsia; abnormalities of amniotic fluid, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR); and post-term pregnancy. Induction for the social reasons on the request of patient and her family is also becoming one of the common causes for induction. Nevertheless, IOL may result in undesirable effects, such as increased cesarean section (CS) rates, post-partum hemorrhage (PPH), and fetal distress, therefore, it should only be considered when the benefits to the mother and her fetus outweighs the risks of waiting for spontaneous onset of labor.

It is well established that labor has to be induced in approximately 20-25% of pregnancies, but the rate of induction is influenced by the location and institutional protocols as well. However on the other hand induction does fail in many of the induced pregnancies. Proper selection of patients with valid indications is required to decrease the failure rate of induction which is 20% as reported in literature. Different factors attributable to failed induction are poor bishop score, pregnancy complicated by hypertensive disorders, (PROM) and gestational diabetes naming among a few. There are several methods for labor induction, however the preferred method is intracervical prostaglandin E2 (PGE2-cerviprime). It induces or accelerates the maturation of cervix also known as cervical ripening and stimulates the myometrial activity. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the factors associated with failed induction and its impact on fetomaternal morbidity.

METHODOLOGY

A retrospective cross sectional study was carried out in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Avicenna Medical College and Hospital, Lahore. In particular, we tested the risk factors associated with failure of induction. Secondary outcome measures noted were induction-delivery interval, neonatal condition at birth and maternal complications during the process of IOL. A total of 90 patients attending the labour room from January 2019 to December 2019 for labour induction were included in the study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: singleton pregnancy, 37-42 weeks gestation, the absence of active labour, alive fetus with reactive CTG cephalic presentation and no contraindication to vaginal delivery.

Induction of labour in the patients was carried out with prostaglandin E2 (PGE) vaginal tablets 3mg (Dinoprostone). Bishop score had been assessed at the initiation of
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induction and for subjects with Bishop score less than 6, vaginal PGE tablet was inserted into the posterior vaginal fornix. A non stress test was performed 30 minutes before and after the insertion. The patient was reassessed 6 hours after the initial PGE2 insertion and depending upon the response of cervix as indicated by the bishop score, another dose of PGE2 was inserted. The maximum dose of PGE2 allowed was 2 doses. If the bishop score was 6 or more, labour was induced with amniotomy and if uterine contractions were not established within 2 hours of amniotomy, labour was augmented with oxytocin. Indications for induction of labour, mode of delivery, and results of delivery were noted. Retroactive data was collected using a predesigned data collection sheet for all women admitted for IOL. A P value < 0.05 was found and it was statistically significant. Data was analysed on SPSS version 17.

RESULTS

Out of the 90 patients 60 delivered by caesarean section and 30 by vaginal delivery. The average induction delivery interval was 19 hours. Most of the patients (50%) belonged to > 25 years of age group as shown in Table 1. 54.4% of the patients were induced by PGE2 vaginal pessary, Table 2. Most common indication for induction of labour was found to be post dated pregnancy as shown in Figure 1.

Indication of patients who were taken up for caesarean section following induction of labour, i.e., induction of labour was failed in the following cases as shown in Figure 1. Most of the patients were between 25 to 35 years of age (50%) and least number of patients were of 15 to 25 years age group (20%). Figure 1 shows the indications for induction of labour.

The following factors were found to be associated with increased risk of failed induction: Bishop score < 5, Gestational age > 41 weeks, extreme of ages, oligohydramnios, prelabour rupture of membranes (PROM), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, induction delivery time greater than 24 hours, meconium stained liquor, small for gestational age and macrosomic babies. (Table 3)

Table: 4 shows majority of patients 66(73%) were delivered with no intrapartum or post partum complications. Only 14(15.55%) developed abnormal uterine action, cervical tear in 4(4%), post partum haemorrhage in 4(4%) and blood transfusion was needed in only 4(4%) of cases. 80(80%) babies were born healthy at birth. 16(16%) were born with birth asphyxia who needed resuscitation but there was no perinatal mortality.

DISCUSSION

The study was aimed to study a clinical entity called “Failed Induction” in our clinical scenario. Lin and Rouse suggested a practical definition of failed induction IOL as the inability to achieve cervical dilatation > 4 cm after 12 ± 3hrs of oxytocin administration. Nonetheless a successful vaginal delivery is still considered by many as the main

![Figure 1: Most common indication was found to be post dated pregnancy](image1)

![Figure 2: The most common cause is failure to progress after 24 hours](image2)

Table 1: Age distribution of the patients (n = 90)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-25 yrs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-35 yrs</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35 yrs</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Method of Induction (n: 90)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods Of Induction</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin followed by oxytocin infusion and ARM</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM followed by oxytocin infusion</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: P value and significance of various factors associated with failed induction of labour. Other observations and results of the study are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bishop's Score &lt; 5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>Extremely Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Age &gt; 41 yrs</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorioamnionitis</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prelabour Rupture of Membrane</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction Delivery Interval Age &gt; 24 hrs</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0155</td>
<td>Statistically Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meconium Stained Liquor</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>Extremely Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby Weight (&lt; 2 kg + &gt; 3.5 kg )</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>Extremely Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Maternal complications (Intrapartum / Postpartum) (n:90)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal Complications</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal uterine action</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical Tear</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Partum Haemorrhage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual removal of placenta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Transfusion Reaction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Complication</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other observations and results of the study are as follows:
induction of labour outcome although it depends on many other factors interacting during labour and fetomaternal co
morbidities which are not necessarily related to the induction process. The time interval between the second
dose of PGE2 and the delivery time has not been quantified. Timely onset of labour and delivery is an
important determinant of maternal and perinatal outcome.

Post term births are associated with higher rates of perinatal morbidity and mortality especially a risk of sudden
death in utero than pregnancies delivered at term. The condition of cervix at the start of induction is an
important predictor, with the modified bishop score being a widely
used scoring system. Induction of labour results in higher
failure if the cervix is not ripe. It is consistent with our
study as well where bishop score < 5 was also found
significantly associated with failed IOL. The most important
element of the bishop score is cervical dilatation. In our
study the induction delivery time was found to be 19 hours.
In a study done by Michelson et al, women with failed
induction were 3 times more at odd of having prolonged
latent phase and 1.6 times more likely to have prolonged
second stage.

Certain characteristics of the foetus predispose to
induction failure. Higher birth weights have been found to
increase the risk of failed induction and a lower rate of
vaginal delivery. This is consistent with our study results
where we found both the SGA and LGA fetus to
significantly increase the rate of caesarean section. Similar
results were found by other investigations. A significant
number of study population were induced due to prolong
rupture of membranes and hypertensive disorders of
pregnancy. In these patients fetal distress and deterioration
of maternal hypertensive disorders were the major
contributing factors leading to increase caesarean section
rate. Khan et al and Vaharatian A have also found
consistent results in their studies.

Birth asphyxia and Foetal hypoxia ( meconium staining ) was 2% at 40 completed weeks, 6% at 41
completed weeks an 12% at 42 completed weeks in the
study by Teghar 2002. The data of our study also
depicted gestational age > 41 weeks, oligohydramnios and
meconium stained liquor, all the risks related to prolonged
pregnancy, as the risks of failed induction and in increased
caesarean section rate as well. The main limitation of the
study was, ours being a retrospective study. But the result of
this study gave valuable information on one of the most
common interpretation in the obstetric practice which is
linked to maternal and perinatal morbidities and mortalities
and the rate of caesarean section deliveries.

CONCLUSION

The fetal distress and the abnormal uterine action were the
main causes of failure of induction of labor.

REFERENCES

the induction of labour in Latin America. BJOG 2009; 116:
1762-1772.
2002; 100 : 164-167
3. Vaharatian A, Zhang J, Troendle JF, Sciscione AC, Hofman MK. Labor progression and risk of caesarean delivery in
elective delivery in electively induced nulliparous. Obstet
4. Gülmezoglu AM, Crowther CA, Middleton P. Induction of
labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond
induction in France]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)
2003; 32: 638-646. French
6. Thorsell M, Lyrenäs S, Andolf E, Kajser M. Induction of
labour and the risk for emergency caesarean section in
nulliparous and multiparous w omen. Acta Obstet Gynecol
Scand 2011; 90: 1094-1099
7. Syed S, Chaudhari R, Rizvi F, Afzal M. Oral misoprostol for
induction of labour. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2010; 20:
102-105.
8. Vrouenusaets FP, Roumen FJ, Dehing CJ, van den Akker ES,
Aarts MJ, Scheve EJ. Bishop score and risk of caesarean
delivery after induction of labor in nulliparous w omen. Obstet
Gynecol
10. Mozurkewich EL, Chilimgras JL, Berman DP. Methods of
induction of labor: a systematic review, BMC Pregnancy
Childbirth. 2011;27(11):84.
11. Bishop EH. PELVIC SCORING FOR ELECTIVE
INDUCTION. Obstet Gynecol 1964; 24: 266-268. 4. Goffinet
F, Dreyfus M, Carbone B, Magnin G, Cabrol D.
12. Park KH, Hong JS, Shin DM, Kang WS. Predictors of
failed induction in parous w omen at term ; role of previous
obstetric history . digital examination and sonographic
; 35:301-6.
predicting the dose response to oxytocin for induction
15. Villar J, Vaddadare E, Wodjyla D, Zavaleta N, Carrol G,
outcomes : the 2005 WHO global survey on maternal and
perinatal health in Latin America . Lancet 2006 ; 367 : 1819-1829
16. Michelona KA, Carr DB , Easterling TR. The impact of
duration of labor induction on caesarean rate. Am J Obstet
Gynecol. 2008 ; 199 : 299.e1 – 4
17. Bhadd TA, Al-Amoudi AA, Alzahrani AS, Epidemiology,
clinical and complications profile of diabetes in Saudi Arabia:
18. Khan NB , Ahmad I, Malik A , Sheik L . Factors associated
with failed induction of labour in a secondary care hospital
. JPMJ-Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association . 2012 ;
62 (1 ) :6.
19. Addo VN. Body mass index . Weight gain during pregnancy
and Obstetrics Outcomes . Ghana Med J 2010 ; 114 : 261-
267.
M. Posterm Pregnancy : Should induction of labour consider
before 42 weeks ? Department of obs and gynae, Soroka
Medical Center , Facul of Health science, Ben Gurion
University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva , Israel. Maternal Fata