ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparison of Bipolar Diathermy and Suture Ligation for Haemostasis in Tonsillectomy

MAAMON AMEEN HEZAM¹, ALI NASIR², ARSHAD ULLAH AFRIDI³, FAISAL RAFIQ⁴, DAMISH ARSALAN⁵, ZUBAIR AHMED⁶

¹ENT Consultant, Gurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore.

³Assistant Professor, Shalamar Medical and Dental College. Lahore.

⁴Associate Professor, Sharif Medical and Dental College Lahore.

⁵Damish Arsalan, Assistant Professor, Fatima Memorial Hospital Lahore,

⁶Zubair Ahmed, Senior Registrar, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore.

Correspondence to Dr Nabila Aslam, Email: dr.nabilaaslam@gmail.com, Cell. 0334-4275960

ABSTRACT

Aim: To compare bipolar diathermy and suture ligation for hemostasis in tonsillectomy in terms of the amount of blood loss, number of ligatures applied, average time taken and incidence of postoperative hemorrhage

Methods: Randomized controlled trial conducted in the Department of ENT, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore **for a** period of one year from July 17, 2017 to July 16, 2018. 66 patients were enrolled. Informed consent and demographic information was taken from all the patients. Patient himself was the control and the trial. One tonsil was treated with bipolar diathermy technique (group A) for haemostasis and other with ligation technique (Group B). Both the tonsil side and the technique were randomized. The outcome in both groups was noted. All the collected data was entered and analyzed on SPSS version 22.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 12.39±7.41 years; male to female ratio was 0.8:1.The mean haemostasis time in group A was 10.62±1.76 minutes whereas in group B patients was 14.32±2.81 minutes. The mean blood loss in group A patients was 28.015±8.619 ml whereas in group B patients was 42.82±13.360 ml. The incidence of post-operative hemorrhage was zero for both bipolar diathermy and suture ligation, which shows the safety of both procedures.

Conclusion: Bipolar diathermy is safe, effective, easier and faster technique for haemostasis in tonsillectomy than surure ligation.

Keywords: Tonsillectomy, Bipolar Diathermy, Suture Ligation

INTRODUCTION

Tonsillectomy is a very commonly performed surgery and represents approximately 20% to 40% of all surgeries performed in the field of otolaryngology.1Tonsillectomy is surgical removal of palatine tonsils. Tonsil is usually removed with its capsule from its bed (formed by superior constrictor muscle) by dissecting in peritonsillar space.²

Many different surgical techniques are used to perform tonsillectomy surgery which include guillotine excision, blunt dissection and snare method, electro-surgery (monopolar cautery, bipolar cautery, laser), ultrasonic removal, cryosurgery, ablation and ligasure tonsillectomy³. Choice of the surgical technique depends on surgeon's preference with the aim of reducing the morbidity and complications of the surgery^{4,5,6}. Although, tonsillectomy is a relatively simple procedure, it is considered a major surgery because of its known postoperative hemorrhage and anesthesia complications^{7,8}.

Hemorrhage in tonsillectomy is classified according to the time of occurrence into primary hemorrhage occurring within 24 hours and secondary hemorrhage occurring after 24 hours from the surgery^{9,10}. Primary and secondary hemorrhage rates of 0.6% and 3% respectively and an overall 0.9% of patients returning to operating theatre for hemorrhage control was reported in the National Tonsillectomy Audit; an audit of more than 40000 patients

Received on 16-12-2019 Accepted on 26-07-2020 in the United Kingdom hospitals from 2003 to 20049.

Effective haemostasis is very important part of tonsillectomy and unsuccessful haemostasis can lead to persistent hemorrhage that can lead to shock, increased operating time, aspiration of blood and delay in healing process. Various techniques are used for hemostasis in tonsillectomy varying from gauze pack pressure in the tonsillar fossa, application of adrenaline pack, use of diathermy and application of ligatures to newer technique like Ankaferd blood stopper and ligasure vessel sealing system⁶.

Electro-cautery and suture ligation are the two most commonly used methods for controlling hemorrhage during and after tonsillectomy with variable results. Use of ligatures in tonsillectomy has been a time tested technique of haemostasis, but it has the disadvantages of taking more time to control bleeding, so more blood loss will occur and increase operation and anesthesia time; moreover muscle fibers from tonsillar bed may get gripped with ligatures and pain postoperatively^{6,11}. cause more Secondary hemorrhage after suture ligation method is due to loosening of knot and slipping of ligature while straining during coughing or vomiting¹².

In United Kingdom, the use of diathermy for haemostasis during tonsillectomy remains controversial and 56% of otolaryngologists in U.K don't use diathermy thinking that it can increase patient morbidity and postoperative hemorrhage rates.¹³ In bipolar diathermy, cauterization is localized to tissues present between the tips of diathermy forceps and leads to less damage to

²Senior Registrar, Fatima Memorial Hospital Lahore.

tissues in a controlled and precise fashion resulting in less pain postoperatively.¹¹

Many studies addressed tonsillectomy hemostasis with special concern to safety and speed of the technique but have produced conflicting results. In a published prospective study conducted on 180 patients comparing silk ligation with bipolar diathermy it was concluded that less operative time is taken with bipolar diathermy method (15 minutes) as compared to tonsillectomy using silk ligation (30 minutes) for haemostasis. Chances of primary hemorrhage are equal but secondary hemorrhage is less with silk ligation¹⁴.

In another published prospective comparative study conducted on 250 patients it was concluded that less mean operative time (20 minutes) is taken by bipolar diathermy as compared to silk ligation (30 minutes) and the incidence of primary bleeding was more with silk ligation while the secondary hemorrhage was significantly less with silk ligation¹⁴.

In tonsillectomy haemostasis, the favorite surgical technique would be the one that results in minimal postoperative pain, minimal bleeding, less operation time and allows the patient to return to their normal daily activities in the shortest period of time. With this aim in mind and in order to clarify the conflict produced by the previous studies, this study (comparison between bipolar diathermy and suture ligation for haemostasis in tonsillectomy); a prospective study is undertaken. As there are physiological, pathological and operative technique factors effecting the result of tonsillectomy and haemostasis¹⁵, bipolar diathermy and suture ligation was done on the same patient in order to compare more accurately.

The rationale of this study is to determine the effectiveness of bipolar diathermy in decreasing postoperative bleeding (secondary hemorrhage) as its role in postoperative bleeding is still controversial. The local study which have been carried out in Pakistan demonstrate that haemostasis with bipolar diathermy is associated with more incidence of postoperative hemorrhage (secondary hemorrhage) with incidence rate of (13.33%) compared to (4.15%) with suture ligation method¹².

This is contrary to study done internationally which states that there is no significant difference between the two methods regarding the postoperative hemorrhage^{8,11}.

Hence, this study would help in counter checking the effectiveness and safety of bipolar diathermy for haemostasis in tonsillectomy and if it is found to be safe and effective it would help reduce surgical and anesthesia time, operation theater time using sutures and will be cost effective.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Study Design: Randomized controlled trial

Setting: ENT Department, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore **Duration:** One year from July 17, 2017 to July 16, 2018 **Randomization Process:** For two procedures bipolar diathermy and ligation, randomization was done by lottery method. Patient undergoing tonsillectomy was asked to pick the slip for procedure to be conducted for removal of tonsil either on right or on left side. On each slip for 66

patients, the surgical procedure was written as ligation left or ligation right, similarly bipolar left and bipolar right. The slip was taken out by patient him/her self and the same procedure was followed on the side as written on slip and for the other tonsil the other procedure was applied.

The sample size of 132 (66 in each group) was estimated by using 95% confidence level, 90% power of test with an expected mean operative time during surgery of tonsillectomy 20.00 ± 15.00 with bipolar diathermy and 30.00 ± 20.00 with Ligation for haemostasis.

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients of both gender
- Age: between 4-40 years of age
- Patients with recurrent or chronic tonsillitis
- Enlarged tonsils that cause difficulty breathing, swallowing and obstructive sleep apnea

Exclusion criteria

- Patients with bleeding diathesis or level of INR more than 1.5
- Patients on anticoagulants
- Patients with acute tonsillitis or co-existing upper/lower respiratory infection
- Patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy.
- Patients with anemia and acute infection
- · Hypertensive patient
- Suspicious of malignancy

Data collection procedure: 66 patients were enrolled. Informed consent and demographic information was taken from all the patients. Patient himself was the control and the trial. One tonsil was treated with bipolar diathermy technique (group A) and other with ligation technique (Group B). Both the tonsil side and the technique were randomized. The outcome in both groups was noted. All the collected data was entered and analyzed on SPSS version 22.

Data analysis procedure: The collected data was analyzed statistically by using SPSS version 22.0. Quantitative variables like mean intra operative blood loss, number of ligatures and time was presented in form of mean \pm SD. Qualitative variables like gender and postoperative hemorrhage was presented in frequency and percentages. Operative time, amount of blood loss was analyzed by independent sample t-test to see the statistical significance in two groups. P-value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered significant. Chi-square test was used to analyze the frequency and incidence rate of postoperative primary and secondary hemorrhage. A pvalue of ≤ 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

In this study, total 66 patients were enrolled. The mean age of the patients was 12.39±7.41 years with minimum and maximum ages of 5 & 38 years respectively (Table 1)

In this study, 30(45.45%) patients were males whereas 36(54.54%) patients were females. Male to female ratio of the patients was 0.8:1. Fig#1

The mean blood loss of the patients was 35.42±13.44 ml with minimum and maximum values of 18 & 72 ml respectively (Table 2).

The mean haemostasis time of the patients was 12.47±2.98 minutes with minimum and maximum values of 3 & 22 minutes respectively (Table 3).

In our study the left side hemostasis tonsil, hemorrhage tonsil, blood loss tonsil and ligature tonsil was noted in 66(50%) patients respectively whereas the right side hemostasis tonsil, hemorrhage tonsil, blood loss tonsil and ligature tonsil was noted in 66(50%) patients respectively (Table 4).

According to this study the patients with left side hemostasis tonsil in group A were 31(47%) whereas the patients with left side hemostasis tonsil in group B were 35(53.0%). Similarly, the patients with right side hemostasis tonsil in-group A were 35(53%) whereas the patients with right side hemostasis tonsil in-group B were 31(47%). Statistically insignificant difference found between the study groups with hemostasis tonsil side i.e., pvalue=0.486 (Table 5).

In this study the mean value of hemostasis time in group A was 10.62±1.76 minutes whereas the mean value of hemostasis time in group B patients was 14.32±2.81 minutes. Statistically significant difference was found between the study groups with hemostasis time i.e., p-value<0.001 (Table 6).

According to this study, the patients with left side blood loss tonsil in group A were 31(47.0%) whereas the patients with left side blood loss tonsil in group B were 35(53.0%). Similarly the patients with right side blood loss tonsil in group A were 35(53.0%) whereas the patients with right side blood loss tonsil in group B were 31(47%). Statistically insignificant difference found between the study groups with blood loss tonsil side i.e. p-value=0.486 (Table 7).

According to this study the mean value of blood loss in group A was 28.015±8.619 ml whereas the mean value of blood loss in group B patients was 42.82±13.360 ml. statistically significant difference found between the study groups with blood loss i.e. p-value<0.001 (Table 8).

The study results showed the patients with left side ligature tonsil in group A were 31(47.0%) whereas the patients with left side ligature tonsil in group B were 35(53.0%). Similarly, the patients with right side ligature tonsil in-group A were 35(53.0%) whereas the patients with right side ligature tonsil in-group B were 31(47%). Statistically insignificant difference found between the study groups with ligature tonsil side i.e. p-value=0.486 (Table 9).

The study results showed that one ligature noted in 1(1.5%) in group A patients whereas one ligature in group B noted in 3(4.5%) patients, two ligature noted in 0(0%) in group A patients whereas two ligature in group B noted in 56(84.8%) patients, three ligature noted in 0(0%) in group A patients whereas three ligature in group B noted in 07(10.6%) patients. Similarly no ligature in group A noted in 65(98.5%) patients whereas no ligature noted in 0(0%) patients in group B. Statistically significant difference found between the number of ligature with study groups i.e. p-value<0.001. Table#10

No primary or secondary hemorrhage was noticed in all patients. So the incidence of postoperative hemorrhage was zero in both the groups.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of age (years)

Age (years)	Ν	66			
	Mean	12.39			
	SD	7.41			
	Minimum	5			
	Maximum	38			

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of blood loss (ml)

	N	66
Diagd logg	Mean	35.42
	SD	13.44
(111)	Minimum	18.00
	Maximum	72.00

Table 3: Descriptive statistics of hemostasis (minutes)

	n	66
Llamastasia	Mean	12.47
Minuteo)	SD	2.98
(iviiriules)	Minimum	3.00
	Maximum	22.00

Table 4: Frequency distribution sides of hemostasis tonsils, hemorrhage tonsil, blood loss tonsil and ligature tonsil side

		Frequency	Percent
Homostasis tonsil sido	Left	66	50.0
	Right	66	50.0
Homorrhago topoil aida	Left	66	50.0
Hemormage tonsil side	Right	66	50.0
Pland loss topsil side	Left	66	50.0
BIOOU IOSS LOIISII SIUE	Right	66	50.0
Lipptures tonsil side	Left	66	50.0
Ligatures tonsil side	Right	66	50.0

Fig. 1: Frequency distribution of gender



Table 5. Comparison of Hemostasis tonsil side with study groups

			Study Gro	Study Groups		
			Group A	Group B	IOIAI	
Hemostasis t side		Left	31	35	66	
	tonsil		47.0%	53.0%	50.0%	
		Right	35	31	66	
			53.0%	47.0%	50.0%	
Group A= BIPOLAR DIATHERM		MY	Group B= LIC	GATION		

		Study Groups		
		Group A	Group B	
	n	66	66	
Hemostasis (minutes)	Mean	10.62	14.32	
	SD	1.76	2.81	
Group A= BIPOLAR DIATH	ERMY	Group B= LIGATION		
Ind t test=-9.050		p-value<0.001		

Table 6. Comparison of Hemostasis (minutes) with study groups

Table 7: Comparison of blood loss tonsil side with study gro	ups
--	-----

		Study Gro	Total		
		Group A	Group B	TOLAI	
	Left	31	35	66	
Pland loss tanail aida		47.0%	53.0%	50.0%	
BIOOD IOSS TONSII SIDE	Right	35	31	66	
		53.0%	47.0%	50.0%	
Group A= BIPOLAR DIATH		Group B=	LIGATION		
Chi value=0.485		p-value=0	.486		

Table 8. Comparison of blood loss (ml) with study groups

		Study Groups		
		Group A	Group B	
	n	66	66	
Blood loss (ml)	Mean	28.015	42.82	
	SD	8.619	13.360	
Group A= BIPOLAR DIATH	ERMY	Group B= LIGATION		
Ind. t test=-7.56		p-value<0.001		

Table 9. Comparison of Ligatures tonsil side with study groups

			Study Groups		Total
			Group A	Group B	lotai
		1	31	35	66
Ligatures tons side	tonsil	Len	47.0%	53.0%	50.0%
		Right	35	31	66
			53.0%	47.0%	50.0%
Group A= BIPOLAR DIATHERMY				Group B= LIGATION	
Chi value=0.485					p-value=0.486

Table 10. Comparison of number of ligature with study groups

			Study Grou	100		
			Sludy Grou	Siddy Groups		
			Group A	Group B	Total	
		0	1	3	4	
		One	1.5%	4.5%	3.0%	
		Two	0	56	56	
Number o ligatures	of		0.0%	84.8%	42.4%	
		Three NO	0	7	7	
			0.0%	10.6%	5.3%	
			65	0	65	
			98.5%	0.0%	49.2%	
Group A= BIPOLAR DIATHERMY			Gr D-V	oup B= LIGATION		

DISCUSSION

This present randomized control trail was carried out at ENT Department Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore to compare applying suture ligation and bipolar diathermy coagulation for hemostasis in tonsillectomy with variables of time taken to achieve hemostasis and amount of blood loss intraoperatively and numbers of ligatures applied and the incidence of post-operative hemorrhage (primary and secondary).

Although it is one of the most commonly performed surgery in the world, tonsillectomy still raises more questions regarding its technique and complications.

Although tonsillectomy is considered simple and safe, yet surgeons are still keenly aware of its dreaded threat of

complications particularly post-operative hemorrhage that may lead to aspiration and shock.

So many studies have been conducted on tonsillectomy and hemostasis techniques and have created more controversies and more questions than answers.

In this study, statistically insignificant difference was found between the study groups with hemostasis tonsil side, blood loss tonsil side and the ligature tonsil side respectively i.e. p-value=0.486. In our study, statistically significant difference was found between study groups with blood loss. According to this study the mean value of blood loss in-group A was 28.015±8.619 ml whereas the mean value of blood loss in-group B patients was 42.82±13.360 ml i.e. p-value<0.001. Number of of no ligature was also significantly lower in bipolar diathermy group than to ligation group i.e. p-value<0.001. According to this study, the hemostais time was also significantly shorter in bipolar diathermy group than to ligation group. The mean value of hemostasis time in group A was 10.62±1.76 minutes whereas the mean value of hemostasis time in group B patients was 14.32±2.81 minutes i.e. p-value<0.001. Some of the studies are discussed below showing their results as. Many studies have addressed this issue with particular regard to safety and speed of the technique but have produced conflicting results. In a published prospective study conducted on 180 patients comparing silk ligation with bipolar diathermy it concluded that less operative time is taken with bipolar diathermy method (15 minutes) as compared to tonsillectomy using silk ligation (30 minutes) for hemostasis. Chances of primary hemorrhage are equal but secondary hemorrhage is less with silk ligation¹⁴.

In another study done by choy et al; they found that bipolar diathermy is easier and take less time to control bleeding and less operation and anesthetic time. It is equally safe and effective as suture ligation and did not cause more post-operative pain.16 In a study performed by Watson et al no difference was found in severity and frequency of postoperative hemorrhage although the surgical time was significantly reduced with diathermy¹⁷.

One study by Karan Sharma et al concluded that bipolar diathermy significantly reduced the time of operation and amount of blood loss as compared to suture ligation. Incidence of postoperative hemorrhage was less with bipolar diathermy and the pain postoperatively was comparable with suture ligation⁸.

Reactionary hemorrhage was found to be more in tonsillectomy with ligation (1%) as compared to diathermy (0.3%) in studies conducted by Watson et al¹⁷, Carmody et al 18 and Malik et al¹⁹.

This may be due to loosening or slipping of the ligature in the early post-operative period.

In another published prospective comparative study conducted on 250 patients, it was concluded that less mean operative time (20 minutes) is taken by bipolar diathermy as compared to silk ligation (30 minutes) and the incidence of primary bleeding was more with silk ligation while the secondary hemorrhage was significantly less with silk ligation.¹²

The risk of hemorrhage with bipolar diathermy in tonsillectomy dissection and hemostasis was 3% in national prospective tonsillectomy audit²⁰.

A study was conducted by Hemant et al on 50 patients where bipolar diathermy dissection was compared with dissection with snare tonsillectomy. They concluded that bipolar diathermy dissection is associated with less blood loss, less operative time, less postoperative pain, early discharge from hospital and reduced morbidity²¹.

Nunez²² reported a mean blood loss of 15.1 ml for the diathermy group & 33.7 ml for the dissection group.

On the other hand, a study by Khurshid Anwar et al²³ documented that both suture ligation and coagulation diathermy for control of bleeders during the procedure performed by this method are equally effective.

Kujawski et al²⁴ reported that there was no significant difference between the mean operating time for the diathermy & dissection groups being 36.9 min for diathermy & 35.9 for dissection technique using ligatures.

The local study which have been carried out in Pakistan demonstrate that hemostasis with bipolar diathermy is associated with more incidence of postoperative hemorrhage (secondary hemorrhage) with incidence rate of (13.33%) compared to (4.15%) with silk ligation method.14This is contrary to a study done internationally which states that there is no significant difference between the two methods regarding the postoperative hemorrhage^{8,13} which have been approved by this study as well.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that the bipolar diathermy is safe, effective, easier and faster technique for hemostasis in tonsillectomy than ligation technique in terms of blood loss, hemostasis, operative and anesthetic time and requires less number of ligatures.

REFERENCES

- Evans A, Khan A, Young D, Adamson R. Assessment of secondary haemorrhage rates following adult tonsillectomy–a telephone survey and literature review. Clinical Otolaryngology & Allied Sciences. 2003;28(6):489-91.
- Ahsan F, Rashid H, Eng C, Bennett D, Ah-See K. Is secondary haemorrhage after tonsillectomy in adults an infective condition? Objective measures of infection in a prospective cohort. Clinical Otolaryngology. 2007;32(1):24-7.
- Karatzanis A, Bourolias C, Prokopakis E, Panagiotaki I, Velegrakis G. Thermal welding technology vs ligasure tonsillectomy: a comparative study. American journal of otolaryngology. 2008;29(4):238-41.
- Windfuhr J, Wienke A, Chen Y. Electrosurgery as a risk factor for secondary post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology. 2009;266(1):111-6.
- Khan A, Sheikh ZA, Hameed MK. Bipolar versus unipolar diathermy for per-operative haemorrhage control during tonsillectomy. J Islamabad Med Dent Council. 2013;2:69-71.

- Adoga A, Okeke E. Hemostasis during cold dissection tonsillectomy: Comparing the use of adrenaline and normal saline. 2011.
- Aljabr IK, Hassan FM, Alyahya KA. Post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage after bipolar diathermy vs. cold dissection surgical techniques in Alahsa region, Saudi Arabia. Alexandria Journal of Medicine. 2016;52(2):169-72.
- Sharma K, Kumar D. Ligation versus bipolar diathermy for hemostasis in tonsillectomy: a comparative study. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2011;63(1):15-9.
- Sproat R, Radford P, Hunt A. Hemostatic glues in tonsillectomy: A systematic review. The Laryngoscope. 2016;126(1):236-42.
 K. L. Shivkumar KG, H. S. Satish. Incidence of Reactionary
- K. L. Shivkumar KG, H. S. Satish. Incidence of Reactionary Haemorrhage Following Tonsillectomy Using Electrocautery Vs Ligation as Method of Haemostasis . (IOSR-JDMS)). 2014;13, I8(Ver. I,): 39-42.
- Moonka P. Ligation vs. bipolar diathermy for haemostasis in tonsillectomy—a comparative study. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery. 2002;54(1):35-8.
- Khan AR, Khan A, Ali F, Khan NS. Comparison between silk ligation and bipolar cautery in tonsillectomy. Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences. 2007;5(1).
- Gooda MR, Sheikh I, Suleri A. Reducing post operative bleeding after tonsillectomies in children by modifying the monopolar diathermy technique: a study of 1500 patients. Pak J Med Sci. 2010;26(2):434-7.
- Sahib A, Ahmed HS. Haemostasis during tonsillectomy silk ligation versus bipolar diathermy. Medical Journal of Babylon. 2010;7(1-2):274-80.
- Alun T, Wendy H D Ow , Susan H, Victoria M and Mark T.(2011) Risk factors for postoperative hemorrhage following tonsillectomy, The Laryngoscope Volume 121.
- Choy A, Su A. Bipolar diathermy or ligation for haemostasis in tonsillectomy? A prospective study on post-operative pain. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 1992;106(1):21-2.
- Watson M, Dawes P, Samuel P, Marshall H, Rayappa C, Hill J, et al. A study of haemostasis following tonsillectomy comparing ligatures with diathermy. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 1993;107(8):711-5.
- Carmody D, Vamadevan T, Cooper S. Post tonsillectomy haemorrhage. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 1982;96(7):635-8.
- Malik M, Bhatia B, Kumar A. Control of haemorrhage in tonsillectomy. Journal of the Indian Medical Association. 1982;79(8):115.
- Lowe DA. Use of bipolar diathermy in tonsillectomy is a powerful risk factor for hemorrhage. Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery. 2004;131(2):P127-P8.
- Hemant K, Subrahmanyam, George Zacharias. Electrodissection tonsillectomy using bipolar cautery forceps versus dissection and snare method-comparative study. Asian J Ear, Nose and Throat. 2008:26-9.
- Nunez DA, Provan J, Crawford M. Postoperative tonsillectomy pain in pediatric patients: electrocautery (hot) vs cold dissection and snare tonsillectomy—a randomized trial. Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery. 2000;126(7):837-41.
- Anwar K, Ahmad R, Khan M. Control of bleeding by silk ligation and diathermy coagulation during tonsillectomy: A comparison of efficacy of the two techniques in the first 24 hours after surgery. Pakistan journal of medical sciences. 2015;31(4):961.
- Kujawski O, Dulguerov P, Gysin C, Lehmann W. Microscopic tonsillectomy: a double-blind randomized trial. Otolaryngology— Head and Neck Surgery. 1997;117(6):641-7.