

Efficacy of Terlipressin and Albumin for treatment of Hepatorenal Syndrome of a tertiary care hospitals

BILAL RAFIQUE MALIK¹, HAMID KHALIL², MEHWISH EJAZ³

¹Assistant professor of Medicine, Mayo Hospital , King Edward Medical university , lahore ...

²Assistant professor of cardiology , Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore ...

³HO Medicine, Fatima Memorial Hosp , Lahore

Correspondence to Dr. Bilal Rafique Mali, Email: drbilalrafiq165@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a fatal complication of advanced cirrhosis with ascites and liver failure, with nearly 50% of patients dying within 2 weeks after the onset. HRS reportedly occurs in 7%–15% of patients with advanced cirrhosis and ascites; the estimated annual incidence of HRS in the United States is approximately 9,000–14,000 patients

Aim: To compare the efficacy of Terlipressin and albumin for treatment of hepatorenal syndrome

Setting: Department of Medicine, East Medical Ward , Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

Duration: .From January to December 2019.

Methods:: Randomized control trial. Patients were randomly allocated in 2 groups by using computer generated random numbers table,30, 30 patients included in Group A and Group B. Terlipressin plus Albumin was given in group A and dopamine was given in group B. Independent sample t test was applied for continuous variables and chi square test was used for categorical parameters. P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant

Results: The mean age of Group A was 38.43±10.94years and the mean age of Group B was 40.56±12.44years. There were 13(43.3%) and 12(40%) females in group A while there were 17(56.7%) females and 18(60%) females in group B. Among groups, Efficacy was present in 21(70%) in group A patients out of which 30 cases. But among controls, Efficacy was present in 12(40%) in group B patients out of which 30 cases. There was statistically significant difference was observed between both groups (p<0.001)

Conclusions: Terlipressin with albumin an effective therapeutic intervention for hepatorenal syndrome as compare to dopamine

Key words: Terlipressin, Albumin, Hepatorenal syndrome,

INTRODUCTION

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a fatal complication of advanced cirrhosis with ascites and liver failure, with nearly 50% of patients dying within 2 weeks after the onset¹. Hepatorenal syndrome is the development of functional renal failure in patients with advanced liver disease and renal portal hypertension, is the setting of chronic hepatitis and acute hepatic failure.

HRS reportedly occurs in 7%–15% of patients with advanced cirrhosis and ascites; the estimated annual incidence of HRS in the United States is approximately 9,000–14,000 patients² The prognosis for HRS-1 is poor, with more than 80% mortality within 3 months and a median survival time of only 2–4 weeks if left untreated³.

Terlipressin has been studied extensively as a splanchnic vasoconstrictor for HRS-1 treatment. Substantial data from clinical investigations and published meta-analyses have provided evidence that terlipressin improves renal function, which is the primary goal of therapy in HRS-1 patients^{4,5}. In another study was done in 2016, Terlipressin plus albumin was associated with greater improvement in renal function vs albumin alone in patients with cirrhosis and HRS-1. Patients had similar rates of HRS reversal with terlipressin as they did with albumin⁶.

In one study, hepatorenal syndrome is common and

is major issue in liver transplantation. There is no effective hepatorenal syndrome medical treatment. Forty-six patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome, were randomly assigned to receive either terlipressin (1-2 mg/4 hour, intravenously), a vasopressin analogue, and albumin (1 g/kg followed by 20-40 g/day) (n = 23) or albumin alone (n=23) for a maximum of 15 days. Treatment with Terlipressin and albumin in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome as compared to albumin⁷. Terlipressin is currently studied vasopressin analogue, the administration of Terlipressin and albumin significantly improve glomerular filtration rate and increasing the arterial pressure and reduction in serum creatinine in 42 to 77% of cases².

The rationale of the study, role of the Terlipressin and albumin combine effect on hepatorenal syndrome. The objective of the study was to compare the efficacy of Terlipressin and albumin for treatment of hepatorenal syndrome

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This randomized control Trial was conducted in the Department of Medicine, Mayo Hospital, Lahore. Data was collected from Department of Medicine of the above mentioned hospitals to complete the sample size and strictly fulfilling selection criteria during a period of one year i.e. from: January to December 2019 . Sample size of 60 patients is estimated using 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error and taking expected frequency of Renal

Received on 10-01-2020

Accepted on 27-06-2020

syndrome as sample technique was simple random sampling.

Inclusion Criteria:

Patients aged between 20-70years, both genders
Only hepatorenal failure diagnosis patients included.
Cretanine level > 2.0mg/dl

Exclusion Criteria: Pregnant females. Allergic of any medicine, ESRD. Liver transplant, cardiac patients .

Data Collection Procedure: 60 patients of hepatorenal syndrome, who were admitted in East medical ward mayo hospital, fulfilling the inclusion criteria was included in the study. Informed consent was obtained. Demographic details of patients (name, age, sex, BMI) were obtained. Patients were randomly allocated in 2 groups by using computer generated random numbers table,30, 30 patients included in Group A and Group B. Terlipressin plus Albumin was given in group A and dopamine was given in group B. After detailed clinical history and examination, complete blood count, liver function tests, renal function tests, serum electrolytes, urine complete examination and abdominal ultrasound were carried out in all patients. All patients were given intravenous albumin 1g/kg up to maximum of 100 gm. Ascitic fluid was examined for differential count, biochemistry and culture. All the data was recorded in proforma.

Data Analysis plan: SPSS version 21.0 was used to enter and analyzed the data. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) while qualitative variables were given as percentage. Independent sample t test was applied for continuous variables and chi square test was used for categorical parameters. P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

In this study, we included 60 patients; 30 group 1 (Terlipressin) and 30 for group B (Albumin). The mean age of group A was 38.43 \pm 10.94years and the mean age of group B was 40.56 \pm 12.44years. There were 13(43.3%) and 12(40%) females in group A while there were 17(56.7%) females and 18(60%) females in Group B (Table 1).

Before treatment, the mean AST of Group A was 42.96 \pm 13.35/liter while mean AST of Group B was 56.10 \pm 12.74 / liter that was AST value increase the normal range. The mean ALT of Group A was 56.26 \pm 13.56 / liter while mean ALT of Group B was 55.70 \pm 13.21/liter. There was significantly high AST and ALT among Group A as compared to Group B (p<0.001). The mean creatinine of Group A was 2.85 \pm 1.47/liter while mean creatinine of Group B was 3.54 \pm 1.08/liter. There was significantly high in normal range of Group A as compare to Group B. Table 2

After treatment, the mean AST of group A was 31.33 \pm 8.73/liter while mean AST of group B was 34.76 \pm 5.95/ liter that was AST value decrease the normal range. The mean ALT of group A was 32.80 \pm 12.51/ liter while mean ALT of group B was 36.13 \pm 7.43/liter. There was significantly high AST and ALT among group A as compared to group B (p<0.001). The mean creatinine of group A was 1.12 \pm 0.36/liter, While mean creatinine of group B was 1.38 \pm 0.59/liter. There was significantly low in normal rang group A as compare to group B (Table 2).

Among groups, Efficacy was present in 21(70%) in group A patients out of which 30 cases. But among controls, Efficacy was present in 12(40%) in group B patients out of which 30 cases. There was statistically significant difference was observed between both groups (p<0.001) (Table 3).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of patients

	Group A	Group B
N	30	30
Age (years)	38.43 \pm 10.94	40.56 \pm 12.44
Gender		
Male	13(43.3%)	12(40%)
Female	17(56.7%)	18(60%)

Table 2: Comparison of Patients before and after treatment

Characteristics	Group A	Group B	Significance
AST/litre	42.96 \pm 13.35	56.10 \pm 12.74	0.45
ALT/litre	56.26 \pm 13.56	55.70 \pm 13.21	0.87
Creatinine mg/dl	2.85 \pm 1.47	3.54 \pm 1.08	0.43
After Treatment			
AST	31.33 \pm 8.73	34.76 \pm 5.95	0.049
ALT	32.80 \pm 12.51	36.13 \pm 7.43	0.21
Creatinine	1.12 \pm 0.36	1.38 \pm 0.59	0.23

Table 3: Efficacy of Terlipressin combine Albumin with Dopamine

Efficacy	Group		Total
	Group A	Group B	
Yes	21(70%)	12(40%)	33(55%)
No	9(30%)	18(60%)	27(45%)
Total	30(100%)	30(100%)	60(100%)

P value 0.20

DISCUSSION

Chronic liver disease is a common disease in Pakistan due to an extraordinary increase in the incidence of hepatitis B and C viruses. Hepatorenal syndrome is a main cirrhosis complication. Hepatorenal syndrome occurs in critically ill patients with established organ failure and represents a significant management problem.(8) Our results are in keeping with results of several recent trials using Terlipressin alone or Terlipressin and albumin together.

Many treatment procedures can be used for hepatorenal syndrome, such as vasoconstrictors and albumin, transjugular intrahepatic stent shunt and extracorporeal albumin dialysis, but vasoconstrictors is the most commonly used therapy due to its therapeutic impact and comfort⁹. Vasoconstrictors also serve as a bridge waiting for liver transplantation at the same time. Terlipressin is the vasoconstrictor that is most successful and commonly used. It can not only reduce portal flow and thus decrease portal pressure, but also decrease the magnitude of systemic vasodilatation, resulting in an increase in systemic blood pressure, which in turn will enhance cardiac perfusion pressure¹⁰ and kidney function.

A very recent study indicates that combined Albumin/Terlipressin treatment appears to be safe and effective in patients presenting with acute hepatorenal syndrome associated with sepsis, further supporting early administration of this treatment .1 this medicine is not available in the USA and Canada up to now¹¹.

Overall treatment response 70% in our patient series, as judged by dropping creatinine values to or below 1.5 mg/dL was approximately 55% overall, which is in well accordance with previous studies which have indicated terlipressin treatment response in 40–60% of HRS patients¹² In result, combine response of Terlipressin and albumin was seen in 70% of patients as compare to sarwar study response rate was 58.3%¹³. Wang, H et al, response rate of combination of terlipressin and albumin was 58.3%

Complete response to combination of terlipressin and albumin was seen in 70% of patient in our study. Wang, H study was seen in 58.3% response rate of the patients¹⁴. In a study of 119 patients with HRS by Heidemann J et al., response rate was 55%¹⁵. Nazar A et al. has shown 46% response with decline in creatinine below 1.5mg/dl in a study of 39 patients¹⁶. In a small study by Licata A has shown dismal outcome with terlipressin and albumin with response rate of 9.1% in a study of 33 patients¹⁷.

CONCLUSION

Terlipressin with albumin an effective therapeutic intervention for hepatorenal syndrome as compare to dopamine. This treatment is more likely to react to patients with reduced baseline serum creatinine.

REFERENCES

1. Rajekar H, Chawla Y. Terlipressin in hepatorenal syndrome: Evidence for present indications. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*. 2011;26:109-14.
2. Colle I, Durand F, Pessione F, Rassiat E, Bernuau J, Barrière E, et al. Clinical course, predictive factors and prognosis in patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome treated with Terlipressin: a retrospective analysis. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*. 2002;17(8):882-8.
3. Fabrizi F, Aghemo A, Messa P. Hepatorenal syndrome and novel advances in its management. *Kidney and Blood Pressure Research*. 2013;37(6):588-601.
4. Gluud LL, Christensen K, Christensen E, Krag A. Systematic review of randomized trials on vasoconstrictor drugs for hepatorenal syndrome. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(2):576-84.
5. Schneider AG, Schelleman A, Goodwin MD, Bailey M, Eastwood GM, Bellomo R. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound evaluation of the renal microcirculation response to terlipressin in hepato-renal syndrome: a preliminary report. *Renal failure*. 2015;37(1):175-9.
6. Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Wong F, Frederick RT, Lake JR, O'Leary JG, et al. Terlipressin plus albumin is more effective than albumin alone in improving renal function in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome type 1. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(7):1579-89. e2.
7. Martín-Llahí M, Pépin MN, Guevara M, Díaz F, Torre A, Monescillo A, et al. Terlipressin and albumin vs albumin in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome: a randomized study. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134(5):1352-9.
8. Hamilton M. Hepatorenal syndrome treatment with terlipressin and albumin. *Critical Care*. 2000;2(1):6039.
9. Arroyo V, Fernández J. Management of hepatorenal syndrome in patients with cirrhosis. *Nature Reviews Nephrology*. 2011;7(9):517.
10. Wong F. Recent advances in our understanding of hepatorenal syndrome. *Nature reviews Gastroenterology & hepatology*. 2012;9(7):382.
11. Runyon BA. Introduction to the revised American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases Practice Guideline management of adult patients with ascites due to cirrhosis 2012. *Hepatology*. 2013;57(4):1651-3.
12. Lata J. Hepatorenal syndrome. *World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG*. 2012;18(36):4978.
13. Sarwar S, Khan AA. Hepatorenal syndrome: Response to terlipressin and albumin and its determinants. *Pakistan journal of medical sciences*. 2016;32(2):274.
14. Wang H, Liu A, Bo W, Feng X, Hu Y. Terlipressin in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2018;97(16).
15. Heidemann J, Bartels C, Berssenbrügge C, Schmidt H, Meister T. Hepatorenal syndrome: outcome of response to therapy and predictors of survival. *Gastroenterology research and practice*. 2015;2015.
16. Nazar A, Pereira GH, Guevara M, Martín-Llahi M, Pepin MN, Marinelli M, et al. Predictors of response to therapy with terlipressin and albumin in patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(1):219-26.
17. Licata A, Maida M, Bonaccorso A, Macaluso FS, Cappello M, Craxi A, et al. Clinical course and prognostic factors of hepatorenal syndrome: A retrospective single-center cohort study. *World journal of hepatology*. 2013;5(12):685.