

## Optimal Concentration of Food Coloring as Plaque Detector

NANING NUR HANDAYATUN\*, VALENTINA NURWATI KAYO, SLAMET RIYADI, KARIN TIKA FITRIA

Department of Dental Nursing of Poltekkes Kemenkes Jambi

Correspondence to Naning Nur Handaun, , Email: [naning\\_nh@poltekkesjambi.ac.id](mailto:naning_nh@poltekkesjambi.ac.id)

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Plaque is the cause of dental caries and periodontal disease. Food colouring with the pink rose colour can be used as a disclosing solution and it is better than common liquid used for plaque detection. It is not yet known the exact concentration of food coloring that can be absorbed to expose the plaque clearly.

**Aim:** To determine the most effective concentration of the food colouring to visualize the plaque as an alternative for plaque detector and the most comfortable concentration for the respondents. The benefits of research is finding the optimal concentration of food coloring as a disclosing solution to improve oral hygiene community.

**Method:** This was an experimental research using rose pink food colouring powder that available in the market. The object of this research was 10 students of the Department of Dental Nursing, divided into two groups, the treatment group and the control group. Common disclosing solution were used for control group, while a solution of food colouring with a concentration of 2.5gr/20ml (A), 5 gr/20 ml (B) and 10gr/20ml (C) without sweeteners and 2.5gr/20ml(D), 5 gr/20 ml (E) and 10gr/20ml (F) with sweeteners (1,25 gr, 2,5 gr and 5 gr of sugar respectively) were used for treatment group. Mann Whitney test were used to define which concentration of the food colouring solution were similar or better than common disclosing solution.

**Results:** Significant difference were found on colour intensity between treatment and control group except for concentration 2,5 gr/20 ml ( $p=0,222$ ). But the most intense colour and the most acceptable taste perception were at concentration 2,5gr/20 ml of food colouring with the 1,25 gr ( $p=0,04$ )

**Conclusion:** Solution of 25gr food colouring powder + 1.25 gr sugar / 20 ml is the most optimal concentration as a plaque detector and as the most acceptable taste of respondents.

**Key words:** Food colouring, disclosing solution, plaque

---

### INTRODUCTION

The most prevalent microbially mediated human diseases worldwide are dental caries and periodontal disease<sup>1</sup>. Dental caries even affecting 80–90% of the world population<sup>2,3</sup>. Acid production by the oral flora within dental plaque is the primary etiological cause of dental caries, while in periodontal disease, the immune-inflammatory response to bacteria in the dental plaque can lead to the periodontal tissue destruction<sup>4,5,6</sup>. In the mouth, however, teeth provide hard, non-shedding surfaces for the development of extensive bacterial deposits in the dental plaque<sup>2,7</sup>.

Bacterial plaque was firstly known by an American dentist who practiced in London, J. Leon Williams which in 1897 described it as a gelatinous accumulation of bacteria adherent to the enamel surface in relation to dental caries and in 1899, G.V. Black (1836-1915) used the term gelatinous microbic-plaque<sup>8</sup>. Dental bacterial plaque is a bio film that sticks obstinately to tooth surfaces, restorations, and prosthetic appliances<sup>2,7</sup>. Plaque formation can be divided into several phases, firstly the formation of the pellicle on the tooth surface and then the initial adhesion/attachment of bacteria and finally colonization/plaque maturation<sup>9</sup>. These process can happen in human early life which as teeth start erupt, bacteria start to establish colonies on it surfaces<sup>10</sup>. The biofilms are typically located at retention sites along the gingival margin and extend into the interproximal space<sup>11</sup>.

Dental plaque on the supra-gingival is difficult to be identified by bare eyes for both patient and dentist since it has similar color with the tooth surface. Plaque identification may be done by screening the plaque directly from the tooth surface, or using several products that can change plaque color by using a plaque dye solution (disclosing solution), or

by using the ability of natural teeth to fluorescence under blue light<sup>7</sup>.

Changing the color of dental plaque using disclosing dyes that contrast with the white tooth surface can differentiate the plaque from tooth surface. Dental plaque has the ability to retain a large number of dye substances which can be used for disclosing purposes<sup>7</sup>. Microbial biofilms on teeth become clearly visible after staining with a disclosing solution<sup>11</sup>.

The use of disclosing solution can give a great help in promoting oral hygiene throughout the community, or even can be used by parents to do a plaque control for themselves or their children. Unfortunately in Indonesia, especially in District of Jambi, disclosing solution are difficult to get and relatively expensive. In Indonesia, the price of food coloring were 40 to 60 times cheaper than disclosing solution, so that will be more affordable for promotive use or personal use. Previous research found that a 0,05% diluted of red food coloring from “Kupu-kupu” brand, can be used as a disclosing agent in vitro. But intra oral condition is different with preconditioned laboratory setting due to the environmental condition such as salivary flow and mucosal or lingual friction.

The first chemical reported to stain plaque was iodine but, over the time, a variety of dyes have been used in the disclosing solution on the market, such as fuchsine, erythrosine, merbromin, methylene blue, brilliant blue, crystal violet, gentian violet and fluorescein.<sup>7</sup> Erythrosine also found in the food coloring which allowed by the Indonesia National Agency of Drug and Food Control (BPOM). Previous study by Handayatun, et al. found that the powder food coloring of Bebek Angsa Brand with red color was the most effective to be used as a plaque dye. But the



uses erythrocyne coloring so that even in low concentrations (1/8) it can already color plaque as it is a factory-made disclosing solution.

Dental plaque is one of the etiologies of dental caries and periodontitis. The similarity of color of dental plaque with tooth surface made them hard to distinguish unless using dye.<sup>13</sup> Previous study by Handayatun, Valentina and Mudehir showed that the plaque may appear on a considered clean tooth surface after given the disclosing solution<sup>14</sup>. Dental plaque diagnosis using colored solutions is one of the easiest and fastest ways to diagnose dental plaque, which helps its subsequent removal under permanent control<sup>7</sup>.

The main purpose of tooth-brushing with whatever techniques recommended, is to remove dental plaque biofilm from the teeth and the gingival crevice without damaging the teeth and surrounding structures.<sup>15</sup> Proper plaque removal is very important in decreasing gingival inflammation or dental demineralization caused by plaque bacteria.<sup>16</sup> But due to the similarity of color that dental plaque had with tooth surface, sometimes patient does not realize that there may be dental plaque left after toothbrushing. Especially on the surface that difficult to access such as margin gingiva, posterior teeth, lingual and palatal surface<sup>15</sup>.

Disclosing agents can provide a guide to evaluating the thoroughness of cleaning the teeth. The use of disclosing agents, or discolorants allow the patient to see plaque in the mouth before or after brushing. These agents can give patients a literal road map to remove the plaque properly<sup>15,16</sup>. The importance of disclosing solution could help people to brush their teeth thoroughly or can help during dental health education and at the end of the day it can help to reduce the dental caries and periodontal disease prevalence.

However, if seen that the concentration of 2.5 gr / 20ml added with powdered sugar sweetener (D), it turns out that the results of statistical tests found significantly different color intensity significantly compared to the control group  $p < 0.5$ . This situation might be caused by the addition of sweeteners causing the solution to become thicker so that it can stick more.

The taste of each food coloring with different concentration was felt by respondents by dipping a cotton bud on sumba and smearing it in the middle of the tongue. The taste that can be accepted by respondent is tasteless and the least preferred is the saltiness. The results of the study in table 3 found that the most tasteless in sumba concentration of 2.5gr / 20ml added 1.25gr sweetener and furthermore was a 2.5 g solution of sumba / 20ml unsweetened water. This is probably because the concentration of sumba which is only 1/8 is a thinner solution and if added sweeteners produce a tasteless taste that can be accepted by the tongue. In a more concentrated solution it produces a thicker, tartier and saltier taste. This is like the case if we feel the cake / drink that has too much color will taste less delicious.

The results of the study are presented in table 2. It was found that food coloring which was more clearly distinguished from the control was food coloring with a concentration of 5gr / 20ml water and the solution found the highest plaque score when compared with other solutions. This also relates to Table 3 that there are differences

significant color intensity between the treatment group and the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ). But apparently this solution is less acceptable to respondents because only 10% of respondents said it tastes tasteless while 40% said that the solution was salty. Thus for a solution of 5gr food coloring / 20ml of water it can be recommended for people with a more mature age while for a solution of 2.5gr food coloring / 20ml added sweeteners or should not be used in children

## CONCLUSION

Solution of 25 gr rose pink food coloring powder + 1.25 gr sugar / 20 ml is the most optimal concentration as a plaque detector and as the most acceptable taste of respondents.

**Acknowledgement:** Thank you to the Director of Potekkes Kemenkes Jambi for their support, as well as the respondents and enumerators in this study

## REFERENCE

1. Valm AM. The Structure of Dental Plaque Microbial Communities in the Transition from Health to Dental Caries and Periodontal Disease. *J Mol Biol.* 2019;431(16):2957-2969. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.05.016.
2. Peterson SN, Snesrud E, Liu J, et al. The Dental Plaque Microbiome in Health and Disease. Highlander SK, ed. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e58487. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058487.
3. Petersen PE, Baehni PC. Periodontal health and global public health. *Periodontol* 2000. 2012;60(1):7-14. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0757.2012.00452.x.
4. Bowen WH. The Stephan Curve revisited. 2013;2-8. doi:10.1007/s10266-012-0092-z.
5. Dommisch H, Kebschull M. Chronic Periodontitis. In: Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA, eds. *Carranza's Clinical Periodontology*. 12th ed. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier; 2015:309-319.
6. Cekici A, Kantarci A, Hasturk H, Van Dyke TE. Inflammatory and immune pathways in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. *Periodontol* 2000. 2014;64(1):57-80. doi:10.1111/prd.12002.
7. Ion IR, Chetruş V. Dental Plaque-Classification, Formation, and Identification. *Int J Med Dent.* 2013;3(2):139-144.
8. Shklar G, Carranza FA. Introduction: The Historical Background of Periodontology. In: Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA, eds. *Carranza's Clinical Periodontology*. 12th ed. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier; 2015:1-8.
9. Teughels W, Godts C, Quirynen M, Jakubovics N. Biofilm and Periodontal Microbiology. In: Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA, eds. *Carranza's Clinical Periodontology*. 12th ed. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier; 2015:132-169.
10. Murakami S, Mealey BL, Mariotti A, Chapple ILC. Dental plaque-induced gingival conditions. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2018;45(August 2017):S17-S27. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12937.
11. Hausen H, Jossing M, Fejerskov O. Caries control in low-caries populations. In: Fejerskov O, Nyvad B, Kidd E, eds. *Dental Caries: The Disease and Its Clinical Management*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell; 2015:439-448.
12. PF F. *Silabus Periodonti.*; 2005.
13. Fasoulas A, Pavlidou E, Petridis D, Mantzorou M, Seroglou K, Giaginis C. Detection of dental plaque with disclosing agents in the context of preventive oral hygiene training programs. *Heliyon.* 2019;5(7):e02064. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02064.
14. Handayatun NN, NK V. Efektifitas berbagai macam Sumba sebagai bahan disclosing solution untuk mewarnai Plak. *J Poltekkes Jambi.* 2011;4.
15. Nathe CN. Toothbrushes and Toothbrushing Methods. In: Garcia-Godoy F, Harris NO, Nathe CN, eds. *Primary Preventive Dentistry*. 8th ed. Essex: Pearson; 2014:146-160.
16. Gomes APM, Silva EG da, Gonçalves SHF, et al. Relationship between patient's education level and knowledge on oral health preventive measures. *Int Dent Med J Adv Res - Vol 2015.* 2015;1(1):1-7. doi:10.15713/ins.idmjar.6.

