

Evaluation of the Medication Safety of Chemotherapy Drugs at a tertiary care hospital, Baptist Hospital, Bangalore

SAYNA JABALPEIKAR*, RAJU KONERI

Doctors of Pharmacy, Department of pharmacy practice, Karnataka College of pharmacy, Bengaluru, India
Correspondence to Sayna Jabalpeikar, Email: sainaj30h2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the medication safety of chemotherapy drugs at a tertiary care hospital. with complete reporting of prescription error, classifying prescription errors, complete detailing of watched medication administration errors by nurses (MAEs), ordering watched MAEs, and figuring improvement methodologies. likewise, of side effects, how to overcome side effects, anti-emetic treatments used, and to survey the appropriateness of requesting and apportioning.

Methodology: An imminent, observational, non-interventional contemplate drove at the Oncology Department, Baptist hospital, Bangalore for time of a half year. All patient related data was gathered according to case record structure. An aggregate of 70 patients tolerating chemotherapy were met for information on sort of side effects, prescription missteps and the other relevant information like demographics, finding, treatment, drugs used to manage the adverse effects were gathered from the patient's medical records. The data was characterized reliant on various parameters. The watched side effects were orchestrated into different organ frameworks and appeared differently in relation to the distributed writing and bundle embeds.

Result: Among the 70 patients, 22 (31.4%) were males and 48 (68.57%) were females. Out of the total number of 70 patients, 14 (20%) of them had only 1 chemotherapy cycle, 16 (22.85) had 2 chemotherapy cycles, 16 (22.85) had 3 chemotherapy cycles, 5 (7.14) of them had 4 cycles, 6 (8.57) had 5 cycles, 9 (12.85) had 6 chemo cycles, and 4 (5.71) of them had more than 6 cycles of chemotherapy, which mostly due to maintenance chemotherapy. investigation of our examination information uncovered that the cancer with most elevated predominance is breast cancer (24.28%) pursued by blood and bone marrow cancer (5.71%) in females and (4.28%) in males, and then followed by lung cancer (2.85%), non-Hodgkin lymphoma (2.85%), colon cancer (2.85%). The present investigation demonstrated that in both males and females, the most influenced organ framework was GIT, trailed by skin and subcutaneous tissue, musculoskeletal, Haeme and nervous system. The total percentage of errors in 70 number of prescriptions was found to be 24.28. most of the errors are due to drug-drug interactions (10 %). the total number of errors in the drug administration by nurses was found to be 11.42 %, out of which wrong dose was 2.85%.

Conclusion: The Adverse impacts related with the usage of anticancer medications were surveyed amid a time of half year. The AE commonness experienced suggest that for all intents and purposes all patients accepting cytotoxic medications suffer at least one AEs. Critical announced MAE rates on our hospital ward (0.04% of medications administrations and 0.03 MAEs/patient admission) give off an impression of being generally low because of utilization of current security rules. An accentuation on contemplating MAEs at individual foundations is likely going to result in important procedure changes, improved effectiveness of MAE detailing, and various focal points.

Key words: Medication safety, chemotherapy drugs, Adverse effects, error in prescription

INTRODUCTION

An extreme move in the comprehension of medical error went with the production of the 1999 Institute of Medicine (IOM) report, *To Err is Human*.^[1] The IOM board required a change in the manner health-care experts comprehend therapeutic error by standards from subjective psychology and human factors, the investigation of human execution in workplaces. Enhancements in aeronautics and other security arranged businesses, for instance, chemical engineering, manufacturing, and nuclear power, showed that complex systems, instead of individual specialists, were the fundamental wellsprings of error and an objective for improvement openings through modifications, systematization, and innovation. Sentinel events in oncology, including the death of Betsy Lehman in 1994 at Boston's Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, highlighted conspicuously in open impression of medicinal error. Past research has seemed certain patients are at an extended

danger of preventable damage that has been associated with their restricted physiological reserve, which typically join patients with intense ailments, comorbidities, different prescriptions, and harmful sickness^[2,3].

Chemotherapeutic prescriptions have a constrained therapeutic index and the dosage expected to accomplish a helpful response conventionally demonstrates poisonous to the body's quickly multiplying cells. The typical tissues antagonistically affected by these medications are those which are rapidly partitioning: the bone marrow, gastrointestinal tract and hair follicles. A couple of administrators have other organ explicit toxicities. Moreover, a couple of drugs are connected with speedy adverse reactions which are a consequence of their biochemical nature rather than their activity against tumors. Use of cancer chemotherapeutic meds is connected with a few adverse effects (AE), going from mild nausea to fatal myelosuppression. Amid the most recent decade it has been shown by various examinations that medication

induced morbidity and mortality is one of the significant general medical issues. The goal of each antiemetic treatment is to abrogate nausea and vomiting. Twenty years back, nausea and vomiting were typical adverse events of specific sorts of chemotherapy and obliged up to 20% of patients to postpone or delay possibly corrective treatment [4]. Clinical and major research over the span of ongoing years has provoked persistent enhancements in the control of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV). The improvement of the 5-HT₃-receptor antagonists (5-HT₃RAs) in the mid-1990s was a standout amongst the most imperative advances in the chemotherapy of cancer patients. Another gathering of antiemetic, the neurokinin₁-receptor antagonists (NK₁RA), has as of late been made, and the essential medication in this class, aprepitant, was consolidated into the refreshed antiemetic rules. In 1998, the main Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) antiemetic rules reliant on the outcomes of the Perugia understanding get-together were distributed, trailed by the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) rules in 1999^{5,6}. The two guidelines, similarly as the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) rules, were invigorated^{7,8,9}.

This audit contrasts these three rules and regard to the utilization of antiemetic. "Medication error rate" is dictated by ascertaining the level of errors. The numerator in the proportion is the absolute number of error. The numerator in the proportion is the complete number of error that they watch, the denominator is called "opportunities for errors" and incorporates every one of the dosages watched being controlled in addition to the portions requested but not directed. endorsing error happens at the time a prescriber orders a medication for a particular patient. error may consolidate the decision of an erroneous dose, dose structure, course of association, length of treatment or number of dosages. MEs including cancer chemotherapeutic administrators may be particularly unsafe as these drugs have a limited helpful file for which prescriptions have a confined or association may result in expanded toxicity and/or decreased tumor response. Furthermore, antineoplastic administrators are consistently coordinated to more established patients with comorbidities and may be a bit of novel and complex treatment shows less commonplace to nurse and medication pros. Along these lines, antineoplastic masters are among the most outstanding reasons of ME related deaths. These stresses have provoked national principles, including recommendations for a frameworks approach involving multidisciplinary checking of medicine use, endorsing rules, planning and directing procedures, and medication association. As shown by Study of Medication Errors on a Community Hospital Oncology Ward it is found that, attendants revealed 141 MAEs amid the examination time allotment, for declared rate of 0.04% of medicine associations. Twenty-one percent of these were structure making and interpreting errors, 38% were nurse or drug store apportioning errors, and 41% were nurse association errors. Only three MAEs achieved adverse drug occasions. Nurses were less inclined to report MAEs that they felt were harmless, especially late-arriving meds from the medication store. An audit study of 200 chemotherapy

associations found only a solitary clear MAE, a miscalculated dose that ought to have been caught.^[10]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An imminent, observational, non-interventional ponder led at the Oncology Department, Baptist hospital, Bangalore for time of a half year. All patient related data was gathered according to case record structure. Amid a 6months period, I directed an imminent report on the oncology ward in a tertiary care hospital, with the objectives of:

- complete reporting of prescription error
- classifying prescription errors
- complete revealing of watched medicine administration errors by nurses (MAEs)
- classifying watched MAEs, and
- formulating improvement procedures.
- occurrence of side effects
- how to overcome side effects?
- anti-emetic treatments used,

I in like manner drove a survey review of a self-assertively picked test of 70 chemotherapy solicitations to assess the appropriateness of mentioning and administering. An aggregate of 70 patients getting chemotherapy were met for information on sort of side effects, medicine errors and the other pertinent relevant information like, diagnosis, treatment, drugs utilized arrangement with the adverse effects were assembled from the patient's medical records. The data was arranged reliant on various parameters. A MAE was described as a preventable oversight in medicine association on account of error beginning in requesting, apportioning, or overseeing, including association of (1) the wrong prescription, (2) the wrong dose, (3) the wrong route, (4) the wrong time portrayed as association outside of 30 minutes of Page 43 the supported planning, (5) a medication to which the patient has a known sensitivity, as well as (6) a prescription with a known huge cooperation with another drug the patient is accepting. investigation included patients with affirmed malignancies who were confessed to get chemotherapy in oncology wards. As we expected to survey their encounters side effects. A six-month examine period was arranged. Number of patients getting chemotherapy in oncology ward amid a half years' time span was utilized to appraise the sample measure.

RESULT AND DISCUSION

Among the 70 patients, 22(31.4%) were males and 48(68.57%) were females. Further order dependent on the age uncovered that most extreme number in the two guys and females was in the age of 20-65. Out of the total number of 70 patients, 14 (20%) of them had only 1 chemotherapy cycle, 16(22.85) had 2 chemotherapy cycles, 16(22.85) had 3 chemotherapy cycles, 5(7.14) of them had 4 cycles, 6(8.57) had 5 cycles, 9(12.85) had 6 chemo cycles, and 4(5.71) of them had more than 6 cycles of chemotherapy, which mostly due to maintenance chemotherapy. Table 1

Chemotherapeutic agents: The normally endorsed chemotherapeutic agents in our setting were

(21.42%), carboplatin (17.14), paclitaxel (14.28), oxaliplatin (12.85), doxorubicin (11.420) and docetaxel (11.42).

Table 1: Distribution of type of Cancer among Patients

Type of Cancer	No. of Females	No. of Males
Breast	17	0
Ovarian	2	0
Lung	2	2
Lupus	1	0
Thyroid	0	1
Brain	1	0
Skin	0	1
Non hodgkin lymphoma	2	2
Hodgkin lymphoma	1	1
Skin and muscle	1	0
Neck	1	0
Colon	2	2
Blood and bone marrow	4	3
Soft tissue	1	1
Stomach	2	0
Anorectal	0	1
Uterus	1	0
Bone	0	1
Oral	1	2
Tongue	0	1
Peritoneal	1	0
Head and neck	1	1
Rectal	0	1
Testicular	0	1
Tonsil	1	1
Cervical	2	0
Esophageal	2	0
Larynx	0	1

Table 2: Distribution of types of Chemotherapeutic Agents

Name of drug	No of prescription	%age of prescription
Docetaxel	8	11.42
Paclitaxel	10	14.28
Carboplatin	12	17.14
Pemetrexed	2	2.85
Cyclophosphamide	7	10
Zoledronic acid	3	4.28
Epirubicin	2	2.85
Fluorouracil	3	4.28
Etoposide	4	5.71
Anastrozole	1	1.42
Cisplatin	15	21.42
Rituximab	2	2.85
Bendamustine	2	2.85
Vinorelbine	2	2.85
Oxaliplatin	9	12.85
Doxorubicin	8	11.42
Irinotecan	1	1.42
Vincristine	5	7.14
Daunorubicin	2	2.85
Methotrexate	3	4.28
Ifosfamide	3	4.28
Leucovorin	3	4.28
Gemcitabine	1	1.42
Atgam	2	2.85
Bleomycin	1	1.42
Bortezomib	1	1.42
Bendamustine	2	2.85
Herceptin	1	1.42

The present examination demonstrated that in the two guys and females, the most influenced organ framework was GIT, trailed by skin and subcutaneous tissue, musculoskeletal, Heme and nervous system. Most of the patients got affected by the side effects which are related to GIT, like nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and decreased appetite. So many of patient experience pain all over the body, especially in the muscle and joints. And most of the patients will have also experience alopecia (temporary hair loss). There are so many types of side effect from chemotherapeutic agents, chemotherapy affects the whole body, but mostly rapidly developing cells.

Anti emetics: Our analysis showed that all of the patients have used anti emetics in their treatment. The antiemetic which they have been used was a single anti emetic or a combinations of antiemetic. Ondansetron was prescribed for 81.42 % of patients and was utilized at doses of 8mg and 16mg, of which 8mg was most regular in patients recommended with single antiemetic though the utilization of 16mg was higher in remedies containing more than one antiemetic. Dexamethasone was endorsed for 44.28 % of patients and the measurement of dexamethasone utilized in our setting was in the range of 4mg - 20mg. Among these, 8mg was the most normally utilized separately just as in mix. The other antiemetic, Aprepitant represents 24.28 % of remedies. Palonosetron is likewise being recommended in the setting. Aside from the antiemetic, the other premedication utilized were Pantoprazole 20mg and 40mg, Ranitidine 150mg and Rabeprazole 20mg. Of these Pantoprazole 40mg was the most regular representing 72.85 % of the total prescriptions. Medication error in this project the percentage of error in the prescription as well as administration of chemotherapeutic drugs in an oncology ward have been established.

Table 3: Distribution of type of error in prescription

Type of error	No. of error	%age of error
Wrong drugs written on prescription	0	0
Dose of drug	0	0
Dosage of drug (inappropriate or wrong dosage forms written on prescription)	0	0
Route of drug	0	0
Frequency	0	0
Date	1	1.42
Sex of patient not written	0	0
Age of patient not written	2	2.85
Legible (clear enough to read)	2	2.85
Error in allergy documented	0	0
Error in location of treatment order	0	0
Nonstandard abbreviation used	0	0
Presence of therapeutic duplication, if any	0	0
Drug interaction if any	7	10
Food drug interaction if any	0	0
Signature of drug	0	0
Poorly written medication order	2	2.85
Miss interpreted handwritten medication error	1	1.42
Fails to complete order	2	2.85
Total counts	17	24.28

Prescription error: The total percentage of errors in 70 number of prescriptions was found to be 24.28. Most of the

errors are due to drug-drug interactions (10%). out of analyzing 70 prescription it was found that there are 10% drug-drug interaction, 2.8% not clear to read, 2.8 % of them age of patient was not written ,2.8 poorly written medication order, 1.42 % date was not written, and 1.4 % bad hand writing which made it difficult to read. A complete list of errors and their percentage have been obtained (Table 3).

Administration Error: Drug administration is done by nurses. The total number of errors in administration of chemotherapeutic drugs in 70 patients have been reported. the total number of errors in the drug administration by nurses was found to be 11.42%, out of which wrong dose was 2.85 %, administer outside guidelines was 2.85%, error in speed of drug administration was 1.42%, and wrong technique was 1.45%. different types of errors and their percentage have been mention in the table below. Table 4.

Table 4: Distribution of error in administration

Type of error	No. of error	%age of error
Wrong drugs Administered by nurses	0	0
Wrong dosage administered for a recommended drugs by nurses	0	0
Failure to give a drugs by the health care supplier	1	1.42
wrong dose Administration	2	2.85
Wrong technique	1	1.42
Administer to wrong patient	0	0
Medication discontinuation failure	0	0
Omission(failure to administer an ordered dose before the next scheduled dose)	1	1.42
Double dosing by the nurses	0	0
using of incorrect(wrong)drug vehicle	0	0
Administer after discontinue order	0	0
Administer incompatible medication	0	0
Administer without physician order	0	0
Administer outside the established guidelines	2	2.85
Administer expired drug	0	0
Error in the speed of drug administration	1	1.42
Food-drug interaction	0	0
Total Counts	8	11.42

The utilization of more up to date antiemetic specialists has fundamentally diminished the occurrence of nausea and vomiting however they have neglected to forestall this totally. Every one of the patients got antiemetic preceding the chemotherapy. Ondansetron, Palonosetron, dexamethasone and aprepitant separately or in mix were the normally endorsed premedication in our setting. The main high hazard emetogenic tranquilize utilized in our investigation was Cisplatin. The premedication generally regularly recommended for this medication was ondansetron 16mg and dexamethasone 8mg either separately or in combination. Cyclophosphamide, Carboplatin, Doxorubicin, Epirubicin, Oxaliplatin, Cytarabine and If osfamide were the medications of moderate emetogenicity which have been made do with

ondansetron 8mg and 16mg, dexamethasone 4mg, 8mg, 16mg, 20mg, Palonosetron 0.25mg and A prepitant 125mg. The overall adverse effects observed in both the genders were practically identical. Nevertheless, the effects on GIT and musculoskeletal were higher in females which may be accounted to higher affectability in this gender to these effects. Iron deficiency is seen as a moderately basic condition in patients with disease particularly those with solid tumors, lymphomas and receiving myelosuppressive chemotherapy. Treatment for CIA (chemotherapy induced anemia) is started when the hemoglobin level falls beneath 12mg/dl with oral or IV iron enhancements. Blood transfusions are picked in serious cases. The generally recommended specialists in our setting were ferrous sulfate, folic asides and Vitamin B12 Prophylactic estimates, for example, great oral hygiene, avoidance of spicy, utilization of mild-flavored toothpaste and saline-peroxide mouthwashes 3 or 4 times each day were ingrained where appropriate for limiting oral mucositis.

Regarding medication error; There are no totally sufficient strategies for estimating ME rates, and an assortment of self- reporting and non- self-reporting approaches have been utilized. The repeat of declared MEs will upon the careful quality with which MEs are looked for, the procedure used, the patient populace, and the importance of errors.

We have considered to think about medical attendant self- reporting for few reasons. To begin with, the overseeing nurse is the perfect single individual to discover a ME. By routinely surveying the suitability of the medication and differentiating the managed remedy to the doctor composed request, the nurse is accused of assessing the whole procedure between request composing and apportioning and after that by and by explicitly the association system. Second, nurse declaring of ME is the transcendent strategy in many, if not most, restorative centers rendering ponders went for understanding and improving the medical caretaker revealing procedure of progressively summed up application. Third, dislike of the way that everyone clearly ought to be effectively engaged with diminishing ME, ME aversion is an essential activity is a fundamental piece of significant worth nursing. As O'Shea has noted, nurse is accountable and responsible for the medications they administer,¹³ and ME anticipation is as of now a national nursing basic.

Since the jobs of drug specialists and nurses in MAE revealing cover, the benefit of including the drug store, at any foundation, would be conversely relative to the adequacy of nurse reporting. Considering our decreased rate of reporting late organizations, our MAE rates are presumably like to those detailed from different programs with compelling interception systems in place. In entirety, these prescribe current benchmarks for error rates of about 5% for association in plus intercepted MEs, and roughly 0.1% to 0.2% for MAEs. These numbers appear to be commonly autonomous of patient age and chemotherapy versus non chemotherapy solutions. For organization plus captured MEs, type 1 errors have been commonly typical. Our investigation shows that the MAE types circulation may fundamentally move toward nurse dispensing and organization. Our outcomes propose that so as to be best

in formulating MAE prevention strategies, each therapeutic center should initially make sense of where in the medicine mentioning, apportioning, and overseeing process the overwhelming number of MAEs starts.

CONCLUSION

The Adverse effects related with the utilization of anti-cancer medications were assessed amid a time of half year. The AE prevalence encountered experienced prescribe that basically all patients getting cytotoxic medications endure at any rate one AEs. Nausea, vomiting, decline appetite, alopecia, anemia, nail discoloration and anorexia were the most every now and again announced adverse effects. Correlation of the watched AEs with the distributed writing and bundle embeds did not some new adverse effects. The commonness of adverse effects was extensively high rouse of the utilization of existing premedication. Given the disclosures of the examination, attempts to confine the adverse effects related with the anticancer medications ought to be centered around expanding the mindfulness through informative intercession, actualize proper usage of premedication and non-pharmacological treatment for improved personal satisfaction. Treatment rules are noteworthy in light of the fact that they outfit clinicians with a movement of proposition made from the understanding feelings of international expert's dependent on their elucidation of the latest clinical trial data. In spite of certain qualifications among the MASCC, ASCO, and NCCN rule, all give invigorated references and proposals to direct the perfect use of anti-emetics. Be that as it may, the necessity for progressively reasonable usage of treatment rules is critical to improve nature of thought of consideration of cancer patients. Significant detailed MAE rates on our hospital ward (0.04% of medication organizations and 0.03 MAEs/patient admission) have all the earmarks of being generally low due to use of current security rules. An accentuation on contemplating MAEs at individual foundations is probably going to result in significant technique changes, improved effectiveness of MAE revealing, and various advantages.

REFERENCES

1. Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. To err is human: building a safer health system. Vol. 627. National Academy Press, 2000.
2. Brennan TA, Leape LL, Laird NM, et al. Incidence of adverse events and negligence in hospitalized patients: results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study I. *N Engl J Med* 1991; 324: 370–76. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199102073240604
3. Wilson, R. M., Runciman, W. B., Gibberd, R. W., Harrison, B. T., & Hamilton, J. D. (1996). Quality in Australian health care study. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 164(12), 754-754.
4. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *Cancer J Clin* 2011 Mar-Apr; 61(2):69-90.
5. Takiar, R., Nadayil, D., & Nandakumar, A. (2010). Projections of number of cancer cases in India (2010-2020) by cancer groups. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 11(4), 1045-9.
6. Patrick J. Medina. Cancer treatment and chemotherapy. In: Joseph T. Dipiro, Gary C. Yee editors. *Pharmacotherapy – A Pathophysiologic Approach*, 7th edition. United States: McGraw– Hill; 2008.p. 2085- 2116.
7. Joel G. Hardman. Chemotherapy of neoplastic diseases. In: Goodman & Gilman's. *The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics*. 9th edition. McGraw-Hill, New York. p. 1381-1388.
8. Adverse effects of chemotherapy and targeted agents. In: Koda-Kimble MA, Young LY editors. *Therapeutics: The Clinical Use of Drugs*. 9th edition. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia. p.88.1-88.42.
9. Classen DC, Pestotnik SL, Evans RS, Lloyd JF, Burke JP. Adverse drug events in hospitalized patients. *J Am Med Assoc*. 1997; 277:301–6.
10. Ford, C. D., Killebrew, J., Fugitt, P., Jacobsen, J., & Prystas, E. M. (2006). Study of medication errors on a community hospital oncology ward. *Journal of oncology practice*, 2(4), 149-154.