Prevalence of the Complications Related to the Foreign Body Patients (Laceration, Perforation of Tympanic Membrane and Bleeding)

ABDUL LATIF1, SHER ZAMAN2, GOHAR AMIN3

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the prevalence of complications of foreign body patients, i.e. laceration, perforation of tympanic membrane and the bleeding.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted at Department of ENT, Civil Hospital, Quetta from 1st July 2017 to 31st December 2017. One hundred and forty four patients both males and females of different age groups having foreign bodies in their external ear were included. Patients detailed history previous or current was examine. General anesthesia used to those having previous visualization and those who were uncooperative.

Results: Eighty four patients were men and 64 were women. Eighty patients were aged between 3 to 11 years, 40 patients were aged between 12 to 31 years and 24 patients were aged between 32 to 46 years. Thirty eight patients found bleeding, 20 patients had laceration, 2 patients had perforation and 84 patients had not found any complication. Cotton bud was the most frequent cause in foreign bodies' patient 35.5%.

Conclusion: The use of sticks and cotton bud was found to be the most frequent cause of foreign body's external ear. People use this method for cleaning their ears frequently but it is very harmful for external ear and can cause severe complications like bleeding and laceration as observed in this study. People should have to aware about this painful medical emergency.

Keywords: General anesthesia, External auditory canal, Foreign body, Complications

INTRODUCTION

Foreign bodies in the ear are the otorhinolaryngological emergencies. 12% people visited ENT emergency because of foreign bodies. Rate of complication is high as 23%. Many studies showed that most of the cases have foreign body in the external auditory ear^{1,2}.

Foreign bodies in external auditory canal (EAC) mostly found in children and people age of >16 years3. Most of the cases found in children aged between 4 to 11 years than the children <4 years^{4,5}. The objects causes the FB in the ear can be in the solid state like stone, buttons and many other inorganic objects or rather than inorganic like small piece of match sticks, cotton buds, small food particles, seeds, insects etc. However insects are the most frequent cause in patients aged of greater than ten years.6 Beads, small pearls and cotton buds are the most common FB types that were observed in 30% incidences⁷. Problems/complications rate of FB in ear is high as hemorrhage 51.9% than the complications of tympanic membrane and laceration rate is 1%2. Most of the studies show that the rate of complication increases due to the abandoned attempt to extract the FB in the ear in the 1st attempt because mostly patients are uncooperative and make the treatment critical8.

The extraction of the harmful objects (foreign bodies) from the ear is the common procedure performed at the ENT Department. This procedure is very simple but sometime it acquired GA (general anesthesia) and extraction under operating microscope^{9,10}. When the patient

is uncooperative especially children or when the objects are found deeply in the ear, and these factors make the extraction procedure complicated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Department of ENT, Civil Hospital, Quetta from 1st July 2017 to 31st December 2017. One hundred and forty four patients both males and females of different age groups having foreign bodies in their external ear were included. Those patients having other ear problems such as wax in ear, otitis, fungus and those having previous failed extraction treatment and those who were not willing to participate in this study was excluded. Patients detailed history of age, gender, and complaint status and time duration having the foreign bodies in the ear was examine. Otoscopic observation had done to the included patients.GA (general anesthesia) used due to the abandoned attempt to extract the FB in the ear in the 1st attempt because some patients are uncooperative such as children and make the treatment critical. The statistical data was analyzed by SPSS 17 Software. The pvalue was considered significantly < 0.05.

RESULTS

There were 84(58.33%) men and 60(41.67%) women patients. Eighty (55.56%) patients were aged between 3 to 11 years, 40(27.76%) patients were aged between 12 to 31 years and 24(16.67%) patients were aged between 32 to 46 years. Thirty eight (26.39%) patients found bleeding, 20 (13.89%) patients had laceration, 2 (1.39%) patients had perforation and 84(58.33%) patients had not found any complication (Table 1).

Cotton bud was the most frequent type in foreign bodies found in 47(32.64%), beads found in 32 (22.22%),

¹Associate Professor of ENT, Bolan Medical College/Civil Hospital Quetta.

²Assitant Professor, ENT, Head & Neck Department, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta,

³District ENT Specialist, THQ Hospital Chakdara, Lower Dir KPK Correspondence to Dr.Abdul Latif Email: kakar617@gmail.com

small grains or seeds found in 21 (14.58%), broken match sticks found in 15(10.42%) patients, small stone or pearls found in 10(6.94%) patients, small insects found in 8 (5.56%) patients, paper pieces found in 6(4.17%) patients, small buttons found in 4(2.78%) patients, and 1(0.69%) patient found food particle in their ears. General anesthesia was given to 10 (6.94%) patients aged between 3 to 11 years. In this study most of the patients had no complications associated with foreign bodies EAC (external auditory canal) (Table 2).

Table 1:Demographic information of the patients (n = 144)

Variable	No.	%
Gender		
Male	84	58.33
Female	64	41.67
Age (years)		
3 – 11	80	55.56
12 – 31	40	27.77
32 – 46	24	16.67
Complications		
Hemorrhage/Bleeding	38	26.39
Laceration	20	13.89
Perforation TM	2	1.39
Not found	84	58.33

Table 2: Foreign bodiesobjects/type

Characteristics	No.	%
Cotton bud	47	32.64
Beads	32	22.22
Small grain/seeds	21	14.58
Broken sticks	15	10.42
Small stone/pearls	10	6.94
Small insects	8	5.56
Paper piece	6	4.17
Button	4	2.78
Food particles	1	0.69

DISCUSSION

Extraction of foreign bodies from the ear is the simple and common procedure performing at ENT Department, but it can be complicated especially in children due to multiple factors such as noncooperation of younger children, facilities available, experience of doctor, and object of foreign body^{11,12}. Several unsuccessful attempts for removing the foreign body from the same year can damage the external canal and can cause the perforation of TM (tympanic membrane) and the object impacted deeply in the ear.³Foreign bodies objects mostly found in children.

Our study shows that mostly 80(55.56%) patients were aged of 3 to 11 years. This result is less regarding age group as compared to the research of Thompson et al. ¹¹If we go through the other research of Fasnula et al ¹² the estimated mean age was 10.9 years but the age range was from two to fifty nine years.

In this study, maximum patients were found aged between 3 to 11 years and 11 is the point break differ from children and adults and this results shows similarity to the other studies^{4,5}. However, many other research shows that the ages of 18 and 15 years is the point of differ between children and adults^{12,13}.

In this study, we observed that male patient's rate was higher 58.33% than the female 41.67% patients and

this is comparatively similar to the some other studies. 14,15 In our research we found complications or problems in patients such as laceration, bleeding and perforation. We found 38 (26.39%) patients found bleeding, 20 (13.89%) patients had laceration, 2 (1.39%) patients had perforation and 84 (58.33%) patients had not found any complication these results showing approximately similarity to the some other studies. 16-18

In the present study, we found cotton bud was the most frequent type in foreign bodies found in 47 (32.64%), this result was approximately same to the Shahid18 and Amjad & Abbas¹⁴. We found beads in 32 (22.22%), small grains or seeds found in 21 (14.58%), broken match sticks found in 15 (10.42%) patients, small stone or pearls found in 10 (6.94%) patients, small insects found in 8 (5.56%) patients, paper pieces found in 6 (4.17%) patients, small buttons found in 4 (2.78%) patients, and 1 (0.69%) patient found food particle in their ears and these results was different from the other studies, it may be due to the number of patients and environmental factors. 2,9,19,20 General anaesthesia was given to 10 (6.94%) patients aged between 7 to 11 years because of noncooperation and severity of complication in the external auditory canal (EAC) and this rate is higher than some other studies. 12,21,22 In this study most of the patients had no complications associated with foreign bodies external auditory canal.

CONCLUSION

We concluded that use of sticks and cotton bud was found to be the most frequent cause of foreign bodies external ear. People use this method for cleaning their ears frequently but it is very harmful for external ear and can cause severe complications like bleeding and laceration as observed in this study. People should have to aware about this painful medical emergency.

REFERENCES

- Friedman EM. Removal of foreign bodies from the ear and nose. N Engl J Med 2016; 374:e7
- Iseh KR, Yahaya M. Ear foreign bodies: observations on the clinical profile in Sokoto, Nigeria. Ann Afr Med 2008;7:18-23.
- Figueiredo RR, Azevedo AA, ÁvilaKós AO, Tomita S. Complications of foreign bodies in otorhinolaryngology: a retrospective Study. Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol 2008;74:7-15.
- Peridis S, Athanasopoulos I, SalamouraM,Parpounas K, Koudoumnakis E, Economides J.Foreign bodies of the ear and nose in children and its correlation with right or left handed children. Int J PediatrOtorhinolaryngol 2009;73:205-8.
- Santos F, Selensick SH, Grunstein E. Diseasesofexternal ear. In; Lalwani AK, ed. Currentdiagnosis& treatment in otolaryngology-head &neck surgery.Singapore; McGraw-Hill 2004.p.659- 77.
- Endican S, Garap JP, Dubey SP. Ear, nose andthroat foreign bodies in Melanesian children: an analysis of 1037 cases. Int J PediatrOtorhinolaryngol 2006;70:1539-45.
- Ologe FE, Dunmade AD, Aflolabi OA. Auralforeign bodies in children. Ind J Pediatr 2007;74: 755-8.
- Ahmed Z, Matiullah S, Rahim D, Marfani MS. Foreign bodies of ear in children presenting at Civil Hospital Karachi. Pak J Otolaryngol 2008; 24:12-4.
- Weiser M, Levy A, Neuman M. Ear stuffing: an unusual form of self mutilation. J NervMent Dis 1993;181:587-8.

- Schulze SL, Kerschner J, Beste D. Pediatric external auditory canal foreign bodies: a review of 698 cases. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2002; 127:73.
- Thompson SK, Wein RO, Dutcher PO. External auditory canal foreign body removal: management practices and outcomes. Laryngoscope. 2003;113: 1912-5.
- Fasunla J, Ibekwe T, Adeosun A. Preventable risks in the management of aural foreign bodies in western Nigeria. Internet J Otolaryngol 2007; 7(1):43-5.
- Smith M, Darrat I, Seidman M. Otologic complications of cotton swab use: one institution's experience. Laryngoscope 2012; 122:409.
- Amjad M, Abbas N. Foreign bodies in ear in children. Pak Paed J 1999;23:61-2.
- Tsunoda K, Baer T. An uninvited guest in the ear.AmFam Physician 2000;61:2606-2611.
- Olusesi AD, Opaluwah E, Hassan SB. Subjective and objective outcomes of tympanoplasty surgery at National Hospital Abuja, Nigeria 2005-2009. Eur Arch Otolaryngol 2011;268(3):367–72.

- Ryan C, Ghosh A, Wilson-Boyd B, Smith D, O'Leary S. Presentation and management of aural foreign bodies in two Australian emergency departments. Emerg Med Austr 2006;18(4):372-8.
- Shahid N. Growing seed as a foreign body ear. Pak J Otolaryngol 2003;19:48-9.
- Ibekwe TS, Nwaorgu OGB, Onakoya PA, Ibekwe PU. Paediatric otorhinolaryngology (ORL) emergencies: A tropical country's experience. Emerg Med Australia 2007;19(1):76-77.
- Kumar S. Management of foreign bodies in the ear, nose and throat. Emerg Med Australla 2004; 16(1):17-20.
- Ngo A, Ng KC, Sim TP. Otorhinolaryngeal foreign bodies in children presenting to emergency department. Singapore Med J 2005;46:172-8.
- Smith, M., Darrat, I., Seidman, M. Otologic complications of cotton swab use: one institution's experience. Laryngoscope 2012;122:409–411.