

# Changes in Cardiac Structure with Increasing Adipose Tissue Mass

MEHR UN NISA, NOOR US SABA, MUHAMMAD ASHFAQ

## ABSTRACT

To determine the structural changes in left ventricle in moderate to severe obesity, 46 normotensive, healthy obese subjects (16 men and 30 women Mean±SE age 36.87±1.31 years; body mass index 36.46±0.57 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 41 normotensive, normal weight volunteers, 16 men and 25 women, matched for age and sex distribution were studied by 2-dimensional and M-mode echocardiography. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was higher normal in obese than in normal weight control subjects (Mean±SE 90.05±0.89 mmHg vs 94.65±0.82 mmHg, p< 0.001). It was directly correlated with LV mass/Height index in obese subjects (p<0.02). Sum of posterior wall thickness (PWT) and interventricular septal thickness (IVST) known as wall thickness (SWT) was significantly increased in obese than in control subjects (p< 0.001). LV internal diastolic diameter/height index (LVIDD/height index) was significantly increased in obese than in control subjects (p< 0.001) and was directly correlated with LVmass/height index (< 0.001). But the ratio of SWT to LV internal diastolic diameter/Height index (SWT divided by LV1DD/height index) known as relative wall thickness or RWT did not show difference between the two groups (p = 0.512). LV mass/Height index was higher in obese than in normal weight subjects (p< 0.001, highly significant). BMI was directly correlated with LV mass/Height index (r=0.724, p-<0.001), showing positive relationship between LVmass index and body mass index. LVmass increases as obesity becomes more severe and the mean arterial pressure (MAP) tends to increase with increasing LV mass/height index

**Key words:** Cardiac structure, LV Mass, adipose tissue

---

## INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a condition of increased adipose tissue mass<sup>1</sup>. Excess adipose tissue requires a higher cardiac output to provide for higher metabolic demands. Because of this, left ventricular (LV) stroke volume is augmented in obesity, and LV end-diastolic pressure may become elevated<sup>2</sup>. This hemodynamic alteration, when sustained, is capable of producing LV structural abnormalities that may predispose to congestive cardiac failure even in the absence of systemic hypertension or underlying heart disease.<sup>3</sup> Heart weight increases as the body weight does but without a linear relationship. This increased weight is due to an increase in LV weight resulting from eccentric wall hypertrophy<sup>4</sup>.

Body mass index (BMI) is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in metres<sup>5</sup>. Normal weight is defined by BMI <27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, overweight as 27-30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and obese as BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>6</sup>

Echocardiography has emerged as a sensitive, noninvasive technique for evaluating left ventricular dimensions, left ventricular mass and thus detecting

<sup>1</sup> left ventricular hypertrophy<sup>7</sup>. Studies on morbidly obese patients whose BMI was more than 40kg/m<sup>2</sup> reported increased LV wall thickness, cavity, size and mass compared with non-obese subjects<sup>8</sup>.

This study was designed to examine moderate to severe obese (BMI 30-40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) otherwise healthy, normotensive subjects for LV structural changes. We sought to examine the association of obesity with LV wall thickness, LV chamber size, LV relative wall thickness and LV mass index.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

We analysed a group of 46 asymptomatic moderate to severe obese normotensive subjects for LV structural abnormalities using the two-dimensional and M-mode echocardiographic techniques. Control group consisted of age, sex and height matched lean normotensive subjects. Patients between 22-50 years male/female, having blood pressure < 140/90 mmHg<sup>9</sup>, BMI 30-40 Kg/m<sup>2</sup> and postmenopausal females were included in the study. Pregnant women, patients on anti-hypertensive medication, patients with systemic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic heart disease, arrhythmias, heart blocks, asthma, chronic

---

*Department of Physiology, King Edward Medical University, Lahore*

*Correspondence to Dr. Mehru Nisa, Associate Professor  
Physiology Email: drmehrkemu@yahoo.com*

obstructive pulmonary diseases or valvular heart disease were excluded from the study.

Informed consent was obtained from each subject. Height and weight were measured and BMI calculated. Three blood pressure measurements were obtained in the sitting or supine and standing position with a mercury sphygmomanometer and a 14cm cuff, long enough to fit the most obese arm.<sup>10</sup> The average measurement of blood pressure was < 140/90 mmHg.<sup>11</sup>

Fasting blood sugar level, a resting electrocardiogram, chest X-ray and an echocardiogram (2 dimensional and M-mode) were obtained.

Echocardiogram was performed using a power vision SA-380 A sys model No. V<sub>2</sub>-31 ER001 and a probe of PSB 2.5MHz or PSB-2.75 MHz. These tests were done in the Department of Cardiology, Mayo Hospital Lahore.

Echocardiographic measurements and their normal ranges included the LV internal systolic (LVIDS) and diastolic (LVIDD dimensions (38-56 mm respectively) the LV posterior wall thickness (PWT) in systole and diastole (6-11 mm) and interventricular septal thickness (IVST) in systole and diastole (6-11 mm).<sup>12</sup> LVmass was calculated using the formula of Devereux and Reichek.

$$LVM = 1.04([LVIDD + PWT + IVST]^{1.3} - [LVIDD]^{1.3}) - 13.6$$

(Mureddu et al)<sup>13</sup>

1. LVmass index was calculated by dividing LVmass with height in metres.<sup>1</sup>
2. LV hypertrophy was defined as LVmass index
3. 136g/m in men and > 112 g/m in women.<sup>14</sup>
4. LV wall thickness was determined by adding up thickness of IVST and PWT. Relative wall thickness was calculated by dividing wall thickness with LVIDD/height<sup>15</sup>.

**Statistical analysis:** All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software (version 16.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Data are expressed as means ± SD unless otherwise stated. All continuous variables were normally distributed. Differences between groups were assessed by Student's unpaired t test. Obese and normal weight groups were matched as groups, not as pairs. A probability value of p < 0.05 was considered significant and two-tailed p values were used for all statistics.

## RESULTS

The study group consisted of 46 normotensive, healthy obese subjects. There were 16 male and 30 female subjects. They were matched with 41 normotensive, normal weight control subject, 16 male and 25 female.

The control and obese groups were comparable in age (37.15±1.44 years vs 36.87±1.31 years, p = NS), height (159.41±1.18 vs 158.48±1.12 cms, p = NS) and sex (male/female ratio 16/25 vs 16/30, p = NS for both groups). Body weight was significantly greater in obese group as compared with control group (60.12±1.25 vs 97.20±1.90 kg, p<0.001) (Table-1).

Body mass index was significantly greater in obese as compared to control group (23.48±2.53 vs 36.46±0.57 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, p<0.001).

The normotensive obese subjects had higher normal systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) as compared to their age, sex and height matched counterparts in the control group (P<0.01) (Table 2) between LVmass/Ht index

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of study group

Variables	Normal Weight (<n=41)	Obese (n = 46)	p-value
Age (years)	37.15±1.44	36.87±1.31	0.887
Gender (M/F)	16/25	16/30	0.652
Body weight (kg)	60.12±1.25	97.20±1.90	<0.001
Body Height (cms)	159.41±1.18	158.48±1.12	0.567
Body Mass Index kg/m <sup>2</sup>	23.48±0.2538	36.46±0.5702	< 0.001
Heart Rate Beats/min	81.51±0.84	78.78 ±1.24	0.078
Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) mmHg	119.51±1.09	127.28 ±1.24	<0.001
Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBF) mmHg	74.78±0.97	78.30±0.75	0.004
Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) mmHg	90.05 ±0.89	94.65 ±0.82	<0.001

Table 2: M-mode echocardiographic features

Variables	Normal Weight (n=41)	Obese (n=46)	p-value
LVIDD (mm)	43.39±0.56	49.56±0.70	<0.001
LVIDD/HT (mm/ht)	27.28±0.417	31.354±0.5116	<0.001
LVIDs (mm)	28.29±0.40	32.8±0.65	<0.001
PWT (mm)	7.663±0.115	9.222 ±0.156	<0.001
IVST (mm) or VST	7.88±0.117	9.148±0.174	<0.001

Table 3: Obesity and LV wall thickness relative wall thickness, LV massing and LV mass/Ht index

Variables	Normal Weight (n=4J)	Obese (n=46)	p-value
Wall thickness (mm) SWT Relative wall thickness SWT/LVIDD ratio	15.54 ±0.18 0.36±0.04	18.37 ±0.31 0.37±0.04	<0.001 0.512
LV mass (g)	115.707±3.347	187.37±8.4	<0.001
LV mass/Ht index g/m	72.7024 ±2. 131	118.03±5.085	<0.001

Table 3 shows that obese subjects had left ventricles with larger cavity size and thicker walls. The left ventricular internal diastolic (LVIDD) dimension was significantly more in obese as compared to control group (43.39±0.56 vs 49.56 ± 0.70 mm, p<0.001) even when normalized for height (adjusted values 27.28±0.41 vs 31.35±0.511 mm/metre, p<0.001). Left ventricular internal systolic dimension (LVIDS) was more in obese as compared to the control group (28.29±0.40 vs 32.8±0.65 mm, p<0.001). Left ventricular posterior wall (PWT) thickness was more in obese than in control group (7.663 + 0.115 vs 9.222±0.156 mm, p<0.001). The interventricular septal thickness (IVST) was also increased in obese group as compared to control (7.88±0.117 vs 9.148±0.174 mm, p<0.001).

However absolute increase in LVIDD which is >5.6cms was found in 7 obese subjects out of 46, yielding 15.2% prevalence rate of eccentric hypertrophy.

Absolute increase in PWT i.e., > 1.1 cm was seen in only 3 out of 46 obese subjects making 6.52% of the study population. Absolute increase in IVST i.e., > 1.1 cms was noted in 5 obese subjects out of 46, yielding 10.8% of the study population. Absolute increase in LVIDD, PWT or IVST was not found in the control group.

Table 3 also shows that though obese subjects had more wall thickness (SWT) than control subjects (15.54±0.18 vs 18.37 + 0.31 mm, p<0.001), relative wall thickness ratio (RWT) was not statistically different between normal weight and obese subjects (0.36±0.04 vs 0.37±0.04, p=0.512). LV mass was higher in obese than in control subjects, both in absolute values (115.7±3.3 vs 187.3±8.4 grams, p<0.001) and after normalization for height, LV mass/Ht index (70.70±2.1 vs 118.03±5 g/metre, p<0.001). LV mass/Ht index criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy was fulfilled in 43% of obese subjects.

## DISCUSSION

Results of present study demonstrate that moderate to severe obesity is characterized by specific changes in LV structure. A significant positive correlation between BMI and LVmass/height index was found in obese subjects. Relative wall thickness which is the ratio of wall thickness to LV internal diastolic dimension (SWT/LVIDD) in moderate to

severe obesity was similar in both groups. Another significant finding was that obese normotensive subjects had higher normal systolic blood pressure (119.51 + 1.09 vs 127.28± 1.24 mmHg, p<0.001) and mean arterial pressure (90.05 ±0.89 mmHg vs 94.65±0.82 mmHg, p< 0.001) as compared to the lean normotensive control group.

Previous echocardiographic studies on normotensive and asymptomatic morbidly obese subjects have reported prevalence rate of LV hypertrophy ranging from 64-87%. LV hypertrophy in these studies was defined by LVmass/height index >135g/m in men and >112 g/m in women<sup>8,14</sup>. Our study showed a positive correlation between BMI and LVmass/height index. LV hypertrophy diagnosed on the basis of same LVmass/height index criteria was found in 43.4% of the study population. The prevalence of LV Hypertrophy in our study is less because our subjects were moderate to severe obese (BMI 30-40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) while previous studies were done on morbidly obese (BMI>40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) subjects.

Messerli et al<sup>16</sup> studied 17 normotensive lean and obese patients and reported left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) (as defined by a posterior wall thickness (PWT) of greater than 11mm) in more than 50% of all obese patients and in less than 20% of the lean patients who had same arterial pressure. In our study the mean posterior wall thickness of obese was more than the control group (9 ± 1 vs 7 + 0.7 mm, p=<0.001) but absolute increase in PWT which is > 11 mm was found only in 5 subjects (10.6%). This striking difference could be due to a number of reasons. Firstly, the number of subjects recruited for both studies is different. Previous study was done only on 17 obese normotensive healthy subjects while present study examined 46 such subjects. Secondly, the important aspect of the duration of obesity was not addressed in both of these studies. Other criteria of weight, BMI, blood pressure and age of subjects were the same in two studies. Thirdly, the most important cause could be the regional difference between USA and Pakistan.

In 1995b Alpert et al<sup>3</sup> identified LVIDD as indirect index of LV preload and systolic blood pressure and relative wall thickness (an indirect index of LV wall stress) as indirect indexes of after load that influence the LVmass. They noticed positive relationship between each of these indexes and LVmass/height index<sup>3</sup>.

Nakajima et al<sup>17</sup> performed a noninvasive study on 35 obese patients who weighed 57 to 118 kg (85±16) aged 16-60 years (34.5±12). They showed significant increase in LVIDD (P< 0.001), LVIDS (P< 0.001) thickness of interventricular septum (P< 0.001) and posterior wall (PWT) (P< 0.001) compared with non-obese subjects<sup>17</sup>. Our results are therefore concordant with those of Alpert et al.<sup>3</sup> and Nakajima et al<sup>17</sup>.

## CONCLUSIONS

Normotensive obese subjects had higher normal systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) as compared to their age, sex and height matched control group members. Obesity is associated with preclinical structural abnormalities of left ventricle. There is increased left ventricular wall thickness, increased left ventricular internal diastolic and systolic dimensions and increased LVmass/height index. However in moderate obesity the relative wall thickness which is the ratio of LV wall thickness to LVIDD remains similar to the lean normotensive control subjects. This study demonstrates the potential importance of controlling for weight in studies detecting structural abnormalities of left ventricle in patients of different body mass indexes. Further studies are required to find out the left ventricular pump function and diastolic filling in uncomplicated moderate obesity.

## REFERENCES

1. Jones PH. Management of obesity in the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Methodist Debakey Cardiovasc J*. 2010 Nov-2011 Jan;6(4):33-6.
2. Masaidi M, Cuspidi C, Negri F, Giudici V, Sala C, Zanchetti A, et al. Left and right ventricular structural changes in obese hypertensives. *Blood Press*. 2009;18(1-2):23-9.
3. Alpert MA: Obesity cardiomyopathy: pathophysiology and evolution of the clinical syndrome. *Am J Med Sci* 2001 , 321:225-236.
4. Herrera MF, Deitel M. Heart function in massively obese patients and the effect of weight loss. *CJS* 2001; 34:213-216.
5. Oliver J Rider, Jane M Francis, Muhammad K Ali, et al. Determinants of LV mass in obesity; a CV MRI study. *J of CV magnetic resonance*. 2009;11:9-15.
6. Gottdiener JS, Reda DJ, Williams DW, Materson BJ. Left atrial size in hypertensive. Influence of obesity, race and age. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000; 29:551-58.
7. Anderson KM, Kannel WB, Levy D. The impact of obesity on left ventricular mass and geometry, The Framingham Heart Study. *JAMA* 2001; 266:31-36.
8. Alpert MA, Lambert CR, Terry BE, Cohen MV, Massey CV et al. Relation of duration of morbid obesity to left ventricular mass, systolic function and diastolic filling and effect of weight loss. *The Am J Cardiol* 2005a; 76:194-97.
9. The Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Arch Intern Med* 1997; 157: 2413- 46.
10. Hubert Hb, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: A 26 year follow-up of participants in the Framingham heart study. *Circulation* 1983; 67:968-77.
11. Mureddu OF, Simone GD, Greco R, Rosato GF, Contaldo F. Left ventricular filling pattern in uncomplicated obesity. *Am J Cardiol* 1996; 77:509-14.
12. Sahn DJ, DeMaria A, Kisslo J and Weyman A. Recommendations regarding quantitation in M-mode echocardiography: Results of a survey of echocardiographic measurements. *Circulation* 1978; 58:1072.
13. Adams KF, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Kipnis V, Mouw T, Ballard-Barbash R, Hollenbeck A, Leitzmann MF: Overweight, obesity, and mortality in a large prospective cohort of persons 50 to 71 years old. *N Engl J Med* 2006 , 355:763-778
14. Zarich SW, Kowalchuk GJ, McGuire MP, Benotti PN, Mascioli EA, Nesto RW. Left ventricular filling abnormalities in asymptomatic morbid obesity. *Am J Cardiol* 1991; 68:377-81.
15. Nath A, Alpert MA, Terry BE. Sensitivity and specificity of electrocardiographic criteria for left and right ventricular hypertrophy in morbid obesity. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 32:156-60.
16. Messerli FH, Riise KS, Reisin ED. Dimorphic cardiac adaptation to obesity and arterial hypertension. *nn Internal Med* 1983; 99:757-61.
17. Wong C, Marwick TH: Obesity cardiomyopathy: pathogenesis and pathophysiology. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* 2007 , 4:436-443