ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Correlates of Injection Initiation among Drug Users in Punjab, **Pakistan**

MUHAMMAD ALI RAZA¹, REHAN AHMED KHAN SHERWANI², SHAHID KAMAL³, MUHAMMAD AFTAB⁴, MUHAMMAD IRFAN⁵

ABSTRACT

Background: In Pakistan, like many other developing countries, situations surrounding drug users have not been well addressed. In the earlier period there has been an extensive transition from conventional method of drug use (chasing, smoking, sniffing) to injecting drugs in Pakistan, which has also played a significant role in increasing epidemics of hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HIV/AIDS among this high risk group. Present study assessed the impact of demographical characteristics, drug use behaviors and sexual activities on the initiation of injection among drug users in Pakistan.

Methods: Sample comprised of 533 male drug users, 313 of whom were injecting drug users was collected through cross sectional survey at five different cities of Punjab, Pakistan, Logistic regression was used to explore the risk factors of injection initiation.

Results: Significant factors associated with initiation of injection were age, being single, street survival, low income, illiteracy, juvenile arrest history, earlier age of drug initiation, type of drug used first time, multiple sex partners, earlier age of sexual experience and casual sex partners increased the risks of injection initiation. In addition, poor knowledge about the prevalence of HIV increased the likelihood of transition to injection.

Conclusion: Risk factors of Injection initiation were associated to a number of demographic characteristics, drug use and sexual behaviors. The results of the study will be helpful for authorities in making the policies for preventing or delaying into transition.

Keywords: DUs, IDUs, transition of drugs, Risk factors, Cross sectional survey, Adjusted odds ratio.

INTRODUCTION

Drug injecting is commonly a group activity among Injecting drug users¹. The common practice is to utilize same needle and syringe for all or some of the members of the group. If one member of the gathering has HIV disease, the contamination would promptly enter the remaining members. The possibility of contamination through the injecting route is much higher than sexual course of transmission. Subsequently once HIV enters into the circle of injecting drug users, the spread inside the IDU community is fast². In Asia, 16% of the HIV cases are injecting drug users and this trend has Pakistan^{3,4}. increased tremendously in Approximately, 75% of the countries are from developing nations where drug injection has now been accounted⁵. HIV/ AIDS are also prevailing in Pakistan at higher rate for last few years⁶. Injecting drug users (IDUs) infuse drugs into veins. Worldwide drug users had the tendency of switching from drugs to injection due to, cheaper in cost, more abusing and less time consuming'.

Asia houses more drug users (43%) than any other continent in the world. More than 70% of the HIV and 90% of the hepatitis C contamination has been accounted for in some Asian countries and various people are also living with both infections⁸. In Pakistan, 65% of the drug users now inject drug users that exposed to a high risk of HIV due to sharing syringes/needles9. The prevalence of Hepatitis C among IDU's in Lahore is very high (89%)¹⁰. The first epidemic of HIV among injecting drug users was registered in June 2004 in Larkana, a city of Sindh Province Pakistan. There were 17 out of 183(9.3%) injecting drug users tested HIV positive¹¹. From 2003 to 2007, HIV prevalence among IDUs increased from 0.3% to 23% and has reached 42% in 2011¹². In Faisalabad and Sargodha, the prevalence of HIV was reported 52.5% and 51.3% respectively¹³. Studies in numbers have explored the factors associated with route transition from traditional mode of drugs into injecting drug use; however most of them are accomplished in the developed world 14-19. Risk factors associated with injection initiation in

Correspondence to Dr. Rehan Ahmed Khan Sherwani, Ph.D. Email: rehan.stat@pu.edu.pk Cell: 0334-4118684

¹Assistant Professor, Government College University Faisalabad,

²Assistant Professor, College of Statistical and Actuarial Sciences, University of the Punjab Lahore, Pakistan.

³Professor, College of Statistical and Actuarial Sciences, University of the Punjab Lahore, Pakistan.

⁵Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan.

developing countries have not been well addressed²⁰. This research was planned to study the transition of drug users to intravenous drug among the male inhabitants in different cities of Punjab, Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cross-sectional survey (2013-14) was conducted at five cities (Lahore, Faisalabad, Sargodha and Jhang) of Punjab, Pakistan. The sample size comprised of 533 male drug users, 313 of whom were injecting drug users in contrast to the 220 drug users who were not injecting drug user. The data was collected from the different dropping centers of Non-government organizations or hot spots identified by the Non-government organizations where drug users could be approached. Drug users found at the 'problem area' were contacted, and were explained about the purpose of the study. Consent was taken from respondents before the collection of the data. A questionnaire was designed on the basis information collected from focus group discussions, in-depth interviews and systematic literature review. All the data was enter, screened and analyzed using SPSS v21. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated.

RESULTS

Descriptive results are presented in Table 1 and 2. The age of the drug users was from 16 to 70 years with 33.30 ± 9.7 years and their monthly income was 4172 ± 3301 rupees. Majority of the respondents were single (58.9%) and illiterate (48.2%). The occupation was coded into two categories as street survival (53.5%) and others (46.5%) that includeddaily wages worker, self-business, friends and family support and the street survival included the trading of sex for money or drugs, selling drugs, theft. Approximately 58.2% of the respondents had some juvenile arrest background to whom 31.6% were drug users and 68.4% were injecting drug users. The ratio of homeless injecting drug users (IDUs) was almost double in comparison to drug users (DUs).

The respondent's age of drug initiation was ranged from 9 years to 55 years with 18.0±5.6 years. Marijuana (54.6%) was the most common initiation drugs followed by Alcohol (14.6%) and majority of them used drugs at street (81.2%).

The respondent's age at the time of first sexual experience was ranged from 10 years to 35 years with 17.6 ± 4.2 years. Most of the respondents had two or more sex partners (63.8%). Majority of the respondents were not aware about the prevalence of

HIV (72.2%) and Hepatitis (63.6%) before initiation of drugs. About two-third of the respondents shifted to injecting drugs were not aware about the prevalence of HIV before drug initiation.

Table 1: Distribution of Study Population for Demographic Factors

City Lahore 88 57(64.8) 31(35.2) Jhang 182 90(49.5) 92(50.5) Faisalabad 98 71(72.4) 27(27.6) Cargaranwal and	1 401013	N (%)	IDU(%)	DU (%)	χ2(Sig.)		
Jhang 182 90(49.5) 92(50.5) Faisalabad 98 71(72.4) 27(27.6) Gujaranwal a Sargodha 84 65(77.4) 19(22.6) Age ≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 5.555 (.062) 26 ~ 35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) 5.555 (.062) >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) 29.954 (.062) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 (.062) Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000") Income (Rs.) 23000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 (.001") 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 (.001") >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 (0.374) Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) 0.789 (0.374) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (0.001") Survival 285(53.5) 186(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 (0.001") <	City						
Faisalabad 98 71(72.4) 27(27.6) Gujaranwal a Sargodha 81 30(37.0) 51(63.0) Sargodha 84 65(77.4) 19(22.6) Age ≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 26 ~ 35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000") Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 (.001") 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 (.001") >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) 0.374) Others 285(53.5) 186(59. 99(45.0) 10.805 (.001") Survival 248(46.5) 127(40.6)	Lahore	88	57(64.8)	31(35.2)			
Faisalabad Gujaranwal a Gujaranwal a Sargodha 81 30(37.0) 51(63.0) - Sargodha Age ≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 5.555 (.062) ≤ 25 120(32.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 5.555 (.062) ≥35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) 5.555 (.062) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 (.000°) Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000°) Income (Rs.) 3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 (.001°) ≥5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 13.411 (.001°) Seligion 1slam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 (0.374) Others 248(46.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) 0.34) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (.001°) Survival 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 (.000°) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 (.000°)	Jhang	182	90(49.5)	92(50.5)			
Gujaranwal a Sargodha 81 30(37.0) 51(63.0) Sargodha 84 65(77.4) 19(22.6) Age ≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 5.555 26 ~ 35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) (.062) >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) 79(35.9) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000") Income (Rs.) 3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 (.001") \$3000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 (.001") \$5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) 0.789 Others 248(46.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) 0.374) Occupation 186(59. 99(45.0) 10.805 0.01") Education 110 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 17.928		98	71(72.4)				
Sargodha 84 65(77.4) 19(22.6) Age ≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) >35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000") Income (Rs.) 33000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 (.001") 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 (.001") >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) 0.374) Occupation Street 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 Cubers 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.001") Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) <td< td=""><td>Gujaranwal</td><td>81</td><td>30(37.0)</td><td></td><td>-</td></td<>	Gujaranwal	81	30(37.0)		-		
Age ≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 5.555 26 ~ 35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) (.062) >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) 79(35.9) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000") Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 .5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (.001") Street 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 (.001") Survival 257(48.2) 168(53.7)			` ,	` ,			
≤ 25 120(22.5) 64(20.4) 56(25.5) 5.555 26 ~ 35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) (.062) >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) 79(35.9) Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000°) Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 (.001°) >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (.001°) Street 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 (.001°) Street 257(48.2) 1		84	65(77.4)	19(22.6)			
26 ~ 35 238(44.7) 153(48.9) 85(38.6) 5.555 >35 175(32.8) 96(30.7) 79(35.9) 5.555 Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000") Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 (.001") >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 Survival 285(53.5) 186(59. 99(45.0) 10.805 Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 Education 1Iliterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928		1	1				
26 ~ 35					5 555		
Marital status Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000**) Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) 13.411 >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59. 99(45.0) 10.805 Survival 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) 17.928 No 350(65.7) <	26 ~ 35	238(44.7)					
Single 314(58.9) 215(68.7) 99(45.0) 29.954 Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000**) Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) (.001**) >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.789 Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59.4) 99(45.0) 10.805 Survival 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.001** Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) 17.928 No 350(65.7) 189(6	>35	175(32.8)	96(30.7)	79(35.9)	(.002)		
Married 219(41.1) 98(31.3) 121(55.0) (.000 **) Income (Rs.) ≤3000 238(44.7) 151(48.2) 87(39.5) 13.411 3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) (.001*) >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) 0.01*) Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59. 99(45.0) 10.805 (.001*) Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (.001*) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 (.000*) Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 (.000*) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 <tr< td=""><td>Marital statu</td><td colspan="6"></td></tr<>	Marital statu						
Sano	Single	314(58.9)	215(68.7)	99(45.0)	29.954		
Sano	Married	219(41.1)	98(31.3)	121(55.0)	$(.000^{***})$		
3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59. 4) 99(45.0) 10.805 Curvival 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)							
3001~5000 179(33.6) 111(35.5) 68(30.9) >5000 116(21.8) 51(16.3) 65(29.5) Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59. 4) 99(45.0) 10.805 Curvival 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)	≤3000	238(44.7)	151(48.2)	87(39.5)	40.444		
No Seligion Seli	3001~5000						
Religion Islam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59. 99(45.0) 10.805 Survival 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 121(55.0) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) 17.928 Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)	>5000				(.001)		
Slam 509(95.5) 301(96.2) 208(94.5) 0.789 Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street 285(53.5) 186(59. 4) 99(45.0) (.001") Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 (.000") Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000") Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002") Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000")	Religion			, , ,			
Others 24(4.5) 12(3.8) 12(5.5) (0.374) Occupation Street Survival 285(53.5) 186(59. 4) 99(45.0) 10.805 (.001") Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (.001") Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 (.000") Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 (.000") Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000") Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 (.002") No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002") Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 (.000") No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000")	Islam	509(95.5)	301(96.2)	208(94.5)	0.789		
Occupation Street Survival 285(53.5) 186(59. 4) 99(45.0) 10.805 (.001") Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) 10.805 (.001") Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 (.000") Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 (.000") Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000") Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 (.002") No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002") Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 (.000") No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000")	Others	24(4.5)			(0.374)		
Street Survival 285(53.5) 186(59. 4) 99(45.0) 10.805 (.001") Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 77.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002") Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000")	Occupation						
Others 248(46.5) 127(40.6) 121(55.0) (.001) Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000°) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)	Street	285(53.5)	,	99(45.0)			
Education Illiterate 257(48.2) 168(53.7) 89(40.5) 17.928 Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000") Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002") Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000")		248(46.5)	127(40.6)	121(55.0)	(.001)		
Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 (.000°) Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000°) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 (.002°) No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 (.000°) No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)			, , , ,	` '			
Primary 105(19.7) 67(21.4) 38(17.3) 17.928 (.000°) Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000°) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 (.002°) No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 (.000°) No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)	Illiterate	257(48.2)	168(53.7)	89(40.5)			
Above Primary 171(32.1) 78(24.9) 93(42.3) (.000) Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000)							
Homelessness Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)					(.000.)		
Yes 183(34.3) 124(39.6) 59(26.8) 9.386 No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002°) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)	•	. ,	70(24.9)	93(42.3)			
No 350(65.7) 189(60.4) 161(73.2) (.002**) Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000***)							
Juvenile Arrest Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000°)							
Yes 310(58.2) 212(67.7) 98(44.5) 28.542 No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000)			189(60.4)	161(73.2)	(.002)		
No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000***)							
No 223(41.8) 101(32.3) 122(55.5) (.000***)							
	No	223(41.8)	101(32.3)	122(55.5)	(.000.)		

*p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01;*** p < 0.001

Age, marital status, income, occupation, education, homelessness, juvenile history, age of drug initiation, place of drugs, age at first sexual experience and multiple sex partners were significantly associated with injection initiation (P<0.05).Religion, Drug used first time and sexually raped or assaulted were not statistically significant in the current analysis. Awareness of HIV before initiating drugs was also played a significant role in preventing injection initiation.

Table 3 demonstrated that age of the respondent was independently correlated with IDU in the presence of other variables, drug users with age 26~35 years were more likely to switch to injection in comparison to their young associates (AOR=2.38). Comparing to drug users with married status, those with single civil status (AOR=2.90) had a higher risk of injection initiation. Comparison to those with higher education, illiterate (AOR=3.77) and primary level of education (AOR=3.87) increased the chances of transition to injection.

The result showed that street survivals had 2.09 times more chance to injection initiation in comparison to others. Comparing to drug users with income above 5000 rupees, those with income 3001~5000 (AOR=2.30) had a higher risk of injection initiation. Drug users with income less than 3000 rupees had 2.12 times more chances to transit to injection in comparison to the drug users with income greater than Rs.5000. The main reasons mentioned by the respondents of switching from heroin or other drugs to injecting legally obtained substances, sometimes in combination with illegal substances, are economics (they are less expensive).

Table 2: Distribution of Study Population for Drugs Use Characteristics

	N (%)	IDU (%)	DU (%)	χ2 (Sig.)		
Type of dr	ug used firs	t time				
Marijuana	291(54.6)	164(52.4)	127(57.7)			
Opium	54(10.1)	30(9.6)	24(10.9)	7.057		
Alcohol	78(14.6)	46(14.7)	32(14.5)	(0.133)		
Heroin	54(10.1)	31(9.9)	23(10.5)			
Others	56(10.5)	42(13.4)	14(6.4)			
Age of dru	g initiation					
≤17 yrs	277(52.0)	188(60.1)	89(40.5)	19.902		
>17 yrs	256(48.0)	125(39.9)	131(59.5)	(.000***)		
Place of D	rug Use					
Home	100(18.8)	46(14.7)	54(24.5)	8.222		
Street	433(81.2)	267(85.3)	166(75.5	(.004**)		
)			
Age at Fire	Age at First sexual experience					
≤17 yrs	131(24.6)	93(29.7)	38(17.3)	10.785		
>17 yrs	402(75.4)	220(70.3)	182(82.7)	(.001**)		
Having been raped or sexually abused						
Yes	93(17.4)	58(18.5)	35(15.9)	0.616		
No	440(82.6)	255(81.5)	185(84.1)	(.432)		
Casual sex partners before injection initiation						
≥2	340(63.8)	214(68.4)	126(57.3)	6.889		
<2	193(36.2)	99(31.6)	94(42.7)	(.009^)		
Awareness about HIV before injection initiation						
Yes	148(27.8)	56(17.9)	92(41.8)	36.876		
No	385(72.2)	257(82.1)		(.000.)		
Awareness about Hepatitis before injection initiation						
Yes	194(36.4)	111(35.5)	83(37.7)	0.286		
No	339(63.6)	202(64.5)	137(62.3)	(0.593)		

*p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

Table 3: Adjusted Odds Ratio for Factors Associated with Initiation of Injection

Initiation of Injection	Coefficient	OB	CI					
Ama (was ===)	Coefficient	OR	CI					
Age (years)			Б.					
≤ 25	2 222 (222)	1	Reference					
26 ~ 35	0.869(.003)	2.384	1.349~4.212					
>35	0.439(.169)	1.551	0.830~2.899					
	Marital Status							
Single	1.065(.000)	2.901	1.823~4.616					
Married		1	Reference					
Income (Rs.)								
≤3000	0.751(.006)	2.118	1.246~3.602					
3001~5000	0.834(.003)	2.303	1.316~4.031					
>5000		1	Reference					
Occupation								
Street Survival	0.741(.001)	2.098	1.370~3.212					
Others		1	Reference					
Education								
Illiterate	1.328(0.00 0)	3.772	2.322~6.129					
Primary	1.354(0.000)	3.871	2.153~6.960					
Above Primary		1	Reference					
Homelessness								
Yes	0.481(.043)	1.617	1.014~2.578					
No	01101(1010)	1	Reference					
Juvenile Arrest		-						
Yes	0.808(.000)	2.444	1.505~3.348					
No		1	Reference					
Age of Drug initia	tion	-						
≤ 17 years	0.664(0.002)	1.942	1.278~2.952					
> 17 years	(0.000)	1	Reference					
Type of drug used	first time							
Marijuana	1.211(.001)	0.298	0.142~0.625					
Opium	-1.57(.001)	0.208	0.080~0.538					
Alcohol	1.115(.011)	0.328	0.138~0.779					
Heroin	0.741(.117)	0.477	0.189~1.204					
Others	0.7 11(.117)	1	Reference					
Place of Drug Use								
Street	0.36(0.153)	1.433	0.875~2.347					
Home	0.00(0.100)	1	Reference					
Age at 1 st sexual e	ynerience		Reference					
≤ 17 years	1.104(.000)	3.015	1.785~5.091					
> 17 years	1.10-(.000)	1	Reference					
Casual sex partners before injection initiation								
≥ 2	0.733(0.002)	2.082						
_	0.733(0.002)	1	1.310~3.309 Reference					
One or no partner Awareness about	HIV before in	-						
	inv belole III	_						
Yes	1 646(0 000)	1 5 101	Reference					
No *n < 0.05: ** n .	1.646(0.000) < 0.01; ***	5.184	3.175~8.464					
*p < 0.05; ** p <	C U.U I,	p < 0.001						

DISCUSSION

Less expensive injection substances increased the likelihood to injection for the drug users with lower income. In terms of current living arrangement, homelessness was found to be independent predictor of IDU. An individual is homeless if: either, there is no accommodation that they are qualified for possess;

or, it is not sensible for them to keep on possessing this accommodation. The result showed that homeless drug users had 1.62 times more chances to transit to injection as compared to those who had stable accommodation. Injecting drug users were more likely to have juvenile arrest history in comparison to DU (AOR=2.44).

Earlier age of drug initiation was significantly associated with a higher risk of transition to injection and had 1.94 times more the risk of transition into injection than those who start to use drugs at the age of 18 or older. The factor drug used first time was coded as marijuana, opium, alcohol, heroin and others where others included the inhalants, local cough syrups, tranquilizers and bhang (a drink made of cannabis plant, seeds and milk/water). The drug users who used marijuana (AOR=0.298), opium (AOR=0.21) and Alcohol (AOR=0.33) as first drug had less chances of injecting drug initiation in comparison to other type of drugs. Place of drug was not found to be significant factor in multivariate analysis.

In terms of sex behavior, the earlier age of first sexual experience had significant impact on the likelihood of injection initiation: compared to those drug users whose first sexual experience age greater than 17 years, the risk of injection initiation was about 3 times more for those experiencing sex at the age of 17 years or less. Multiple sex partners (AOR=2.08) implied higher risk of transition as compared to those who did not have any or only one sex partner. Drugs users sharing of syringe are a mobile population and this will result in the spread of blood borne diseases (Hepatitis B&C, HIV/AIDS) between people using drugs in different cities of the Pakistan.

The drug users who are not aware about the prevalence of HIV had more chance (AOR=5.18) for initiation of injecting drugs. There was no significant difference between IDU and DU in proportion of those who has experienced forced sex (sexually raped or assaulted).

Risk factors of transition into injection were established in relevance to demographical variables, drug use and sexual behaviors of the respondents. Injection initiation was increased by the following factors. Age, Marital Status, Income (Rs.), Occupation, Education, Homelessness, Juvenile arrested history, Age of Drug initiation, Type of drug used for the first time, Place of Drug Use, Age at 1s Sexual Experience, Casual sex partners before injection initiation, Awareness about HIV before injection initiation. Respondents were included from five big cities of Punjab, Punjab.

In present study average age of the respondents while responding was 33 years. Universally drug users have low rates of education. 73% of the drug

users had no formal education. Study at hand revealed that 48% of the respondents were illiterate. Homelessness is the additional cause of transition of drugs suggested 53% of the IDUs as homeless. In current study 40% of the IDUs and 27% of the DUs were reported as homeless.

Occupation of the drug users is allied to injection initiation and commonly drug users are street survival. 49% of the IDUs, 88% of the DUs and 58% DUs were street survival (beggary and daily wages) respectively. Present study demonstrates 53.5% of the drug users as street survival. Respondents had no bases of earnings, compelled to use cheaper drugs (injections) to stimulate.

Preliminary age of injection initiation is also a risk for the wellbeing of drug users. The conventional age at injection initiation was nearly 19 and 17 years. The existing study specified that 52% of the respondents had age of drug initiation as less or equal to 17 years. Drug users had high rates of sexual activities in their undeveloped age. 45% of the drug users underway their first sexual practice at the age of 13 or less years, contrary to current study 24.6% of the respondents were of age less than or equal to 17 years at first sexual experience. Drugs and HIV transmitters are intersected. An estimated26.3% of the IDUs were HIV positive in Karachi, the prevalence of hepatitis B was 12(7.5%) and hepatitis C 151 (94.3%). In Faisalabad, Punjab province the HIV prevalence had stretched up to 52.5%. In Sargodha the second documented HIV outbreak was reported in 2007 more than half (51.3%) were confirmed HIV positive. In recent study most of the respondents were not aware of prevalence of HIV (72.2%) and Hepatitis (63.6%) before initiation of drugs. HIV also instigated by sharing of injection. IDUs who were injecting at public places were in more menace of needle sharing and caused more dangers for HIV as compared to those who were injecting solitary. The contemporary study indicated 81.2% of the IDUs and DUs were using drugs in exposed places.

Respondents that were younger in age or single, have low education; juvenile arrested history and having lack of awareness about Transmission ways of HIV/AIDS, were more probable to initiate injections. The drug users with fledgling age at drug start, are less matured, entrap sexual practices more. Occupation of the drug users is added component of transition into injection initiation. Most of the drug users were street survival.

The injection initiation was associated to a number of demographic, drug use factors and sexual behaviors of the respondents in Pakistan. The study had defined the risk factors of injection initiation in different cities of Pakistan and hence a better

approach to the reduction of usage of drugs would come into forth. Findings of the study are also valuable in segregating out some of the hidden factors, which were seemed insignificant. Moreover, it will help different non-governmental organizations working on drugs and harm reduction program about increasing understanding of the drug user's behaviors and in making the decisions for averting this.

CONCLUSION

Transition from drug use to injection drug use is alarming for sudden factors. Cautious practices such as access to sterile syringes, repossession and opiate exchange therapies are required to decrease high risk behaviors of IDUs in Pakistan. Awareness of the threats of injecting drugs and needle sharing should be highlighted thorough seminars, print and electronic media.

REFERENCES

- Azim, T., Chowdhury, E.I., Reza, M., Ahmed, M., Uddin, M.T., Khan, R., Ahmed, G., Rahman, M., Khandakar, I., Khan, S.I., Sack, D.A., Strathdee, S.A. Vulnerability to HIV infection among sex worker and non-sex worker female injecting drug users in Dhaka, Bangladesh: evidence from the baseline survey of a cohort study. Harm Reduction Journal 2006; 3(33).
- UNAIDS. (2006). Report on the global AIDS epidemic. Geneva: Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). Available www.unaids.org/en/media/unaids/contentassets/dataimport/p ub/report/2006/2006_gr_en.pdf. Accessed May 25, 2012
- Mathers, B. M., Degenhardt, L., Phillips, B., Wiessing, L., Hickman, M., Strathdee, S. A., et al. Global epidemiology of injecting drug use and HIVamong people who inject drugs: a systematic review. The Lancet 2008; 372: 1733-1745.
- Roy, E., Nancy, H., Pascale, L., Lyne, C., Jean, F.B. Drug injection among street youth the first time. Addiction 2002; 97(8):1003-1009.
- Wodak, A., Sarkar, S., Mesquita, F. The globalization of drug injecting. Addiction 2004; 99(7):799-801.
- Altaf, A., Sharaf, A.S., Najam, A.Z., Ashraf, M., Nadeem, R., Norman, W. High risk behaviors of injection drug users registered with harm reduction programme in Karachi, Pakistan. Harm Reduction Journal 2007; 4(7).
- Neaigus, A., Gyarmathy, V.A., Miller, M., Frajzyngier, V.M., Friedman, S.R., Des Jarlais D.C. Transitions to injecting drug use among non-injecting heroin users: social network influence and individual susceptibility. J Acquir Immune DeficSyndr 2006; 41(4):493-503.

- HIV Second Generation Surveillance in Pakistan. National Report Round (iv), 2011. National Aids Control Program and Canada-Pakistan HIV/AIDS Surveillance Project, Islamabad, Pakistan
- Samo, R.N., Altaf, A., Agha, A., Pasha, O., Rozi, S., Memon, A., et al., 2013. High HIV Incidence among Persons Who Inject Drugs in Pakistan: Greater Risk with Needle Sharing and Injecting Frequently among the Homeless. PLOS ONE 2013; 8(12):e81715.
- Aftab, M., Shahzad, I.D., Raza, M.A., Coorelates of Needle Sharing among Injecting Drug Users. World Applied Sciences Journal 2014; 31(6): 1072-1077.
- Shah, S.A., Altaf, A., Mujeeb, S.A., Memon, A. An outbreak of HIV infection among injecting drug users in small town in Pakistan: Potential for national implications. Int. J. STD. AIDS 2004; 15(3):209.
- Bokhri, A., Nizamani, N.M., Jackson, D.J., Rehan, N.E., Rehman, M., et al. HIV risk in Karachi and Lahore, Pakistan: an emerging epidemic in injecting and commercial sex networks. Int. J. STD. AIDS 2007; 18(7):486-492.
- Emmanuel, F., Archibald, C., Razzaque, A., Sandstrom, P.Factors associated with an explosive HIV epidemic among injecting drug users in Sargodha, Pakistan.J. Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2009; 51(1): 85-90.
- Crofts, N., Louie, R., Rosenthal, D., Jolley, D. The first hit: circumstances surrounding initiation into injecting. Addiction 1996; 91(8): 1187-1196.
- Fang, Y., Wang, Y., SHI, J., LIU, Z., LU, L. Recent trends in drug abuse in China. Acta Pharmacologica Sinica 2006; 27(2):140–144.
- Fuller, C.M., Vlahov, D., Ompad, D.C., Shah, N., Arria, A., Strarhdee, S.A. High-risk behaviors associated with transition from illicit non-injection to injection drug use among adolescent and young adult drug users: a case-control study. Drug Alcohol Depend 2002; 66(2):189–198.
- Fuller, C. M., Borrell, L.N., Latkin, C. A., Galea, S., Ompad, D.C., Strathdee, S.A., Vlahov, D. Effects of Race, Neighborhood, and Social Network on Age at Initiation of Injection Drug Use.Am J Public Health 2005; 95(4): 689–695.
- Neaigus, A., Gyarmathy, V.A., Miller, M., Frajzyngier, V.M., Friedman, S.R., Des Jarlais D.C. Transitions to injecting drug use among non-injecting heroin users: social network influence and individual susceptibility. J Acquir Immune DeficSyndr 2006; 41(4):493-503.
- Sanchez, J., Chitwood, D.D., Koo D.J. Risk factors associated with the transition from heroin sniffing to heroin injection: A street addict role perspective. Journal of Urban Health: Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine 2006; 83(5):896-909.
- Mehrdad, N., Nikpour, S., Hajikazemi, E. Haghani, H. Risk factors associated with injection initiation among youth drug users admitted to the three Drug Treatment Centers in Tehran, Iran. Archives Des Sciences 2012; 65(8): 404-420.