ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Frequency of Birth Defects and Associated Risk Factors

ABIDA NASREEN¹, JAMILA M. NAIB², MUHAMMAD IBRAR³

ABSTRACT

Aim: To determine frequency of congenital anomalies in new born & associated maternal risk factors. **Methods:** The cross sectional observational study was conducted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Unit A of Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar from 1st June 2007 to 30th June 2009. All the women giving birth to babies with congenital anomalies during this period were included. Demographic details, associated risk factors and the type of congenital anomalies in babies were recorded. Diagnosis of congenital anomalies was based on u/S and clinical evaluation of new born. **Results:** Maternal age ranged from 17-39 years and mean age was 24.63±5.97 years. 26.72% were nullipara and 21.55% mother were grand multipara with mean parity was 3.8. Major risk factor for congenital anomalies was consanguineous marriage (46.5%) which was marked high. Other frequent factors were previous h/o of miscarriages in 17% and past h/o congenital anomalies in 10.34% cases. **Conclusion:** Neural tube defects were the most prevalent anomaly detected and early prenatal diagnosis is helpful in decreasing the indirect prevalence of perinatal mortality by offering early termination. The commonest associated factor was consanguineous marriage the frequency of which may be reduced by creating awareness regarding the avoidance of consanguineous marriages.

Keywords: Birth defects, Risk factors, Neural tube defect

INTRODUCTION

The birth defects also termed as congenital anomalies remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality of neonates and infants in developing countries like ours^{1,2}. In our country it accounts for about 6-9% of perinatal deaths^{3,4}. Birth defects are defined as the macroscopic or microscopic abnormalities of body structure which are diagnosed in either antenatal or postnatal period. These anomalies can occur as single or multiple defects.⁵ Isolated congenital anomaly is the structural defect, which can be detected as one localized defect in the morphogenesis, while multiple birth defects results from two or more different morphogenic abnormalities that occur during the emberyogenesis⁶.

Evaluation before conception and counselling is recommend as preventive measures even before the pregnancy begins like for example daily intake of folic acid before conception reduces the rate of malformations⁷. About 65–75% cases of congenital malformations result from multiple causes not just a single factor is involved.⁸ Single or multiple gene defects², hereditary predisposition³ and diabetes mellitus⁹⁻¹¹ are commonly responsible for birth defects.

Early diagnoses of birth defects through frequent ultrasonography, maternal serum markers and

techniques like chorionic villous sampling during pregnancy and early termination of pregnancy has reduced the incidence of congenital anomalies and Its associated morbidity and mortality¹² in developing countries

Ultrasonography can detect 70-80% of the birth defects if performed during early pregnancy. Developing countries like ours are lacking these facilities at the primary health care level as a result more pregnant patients with congenitally malformed fetuses presents in the last trimester of pregnancy to tertiary health centres like Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar so the need was felt to conduct this study.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in Obstetrics and Gynaecology Unit A, Khyber Teaching Hospital from 1st June 2007 till 30th June 2009 for duration of 2 years. The data were collected from the antenatal clinic of the Gynaecology and Obstetrics, OPD and the labour room both booked as well as non-booked patients for the study. Patients once identified antenatally or postnatally were admitted for further workup, which included a detailed history and examination. History was specifically directed towards finding out of known risk factors associated with congenital anomalies in newborn viz history of diabetes mellitus, infection during pregnancy, exposure to medicines, radiation exposure, cousin marriage, past history of abortion or congenital anomalies and any family history of diabetes mellitus or congenital anomalies. Routine

¹Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor, Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar, ³Assistant Professor of Surgery, Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar

Correspondence to Dr. Abida Nasreen e-mail: abidaibrar@yahoo.com

investigations such as blood grouping, full blood count, urine routine examination and random blood sugar were carried out in all the patients along with obstetrical ultrasound. The data were collected on a predesigned proforma with all the investigations and findings. Data analysis was done by SPSS version 16.

RESULTS

During the study period of 2 years 6297 deliveries occurred and 116 neonates had congenital anomalies giving a prevalence of 18.4/1000 births or 1.8%. Among those, 80% patients were non booked (less than 3 visits in outpatient department). Maternal age ranged from 17-39 years and mean age was 24.63±5.97 years. 60 women (51.72%) were between 21-30 years of age and name of them were above 40 years. 31(26.72%) were nullipara and (21.55%) mother were grand multipara. Mean parity was 3.8 (Table 1).

Table 1: Type of congenital anomalies (n=116)

Anomalies	No.	%
Central Nervous System	58	50.0
Hydrocepalus	27	23.3
Anencephaly	18	15.5
Encephalocele	-	-
Meningocele	7	6.0
Meningomyelocele	3	2.6
Spina bifida	8	6.8
Microcephaly	2	1.7
Musculoskeletal System	14	12.1
Talipes equinovaurs	8	6.8
Syndactyly	2	1.7
Polyactyly	2	1.7
Achondroplasia	-	ı
Osteogenesis imperfect	-	ı
Congenital dislocation of hip joint	-	
Arthrogyposis multiplex	2	1.7
Facial Deformity	4	3.4
Gestational System	9	7.7
Fetal ascutes	3	2.6
Omphalocoele	6	5.2
Oesophageal atresia	-	-
Duodenal atresia	-	-
Miscellaneous	9	7.7

The comment congenital anomalies were neural tube defects seen in 58 patients (50%) amongst than hydrocephalus (27) was predominant followed by anen-cephalous (18 cases), while other neural tubo defects were lesser common including 8 cases of spina-bifida, 7 cases of nemegocele 3 cases of meningo mydocele and 2 cases of microcephalic 31 babies (18%) had multiple congenital anomalies all associated with neural tubo defect. Second comments system affected was of musculoskeletal

system including 14 cases (12%) and talepo equinovarus was the most frequent differently with 8 cases, among them in 7 cases talips equinovarus was associated. Other defects include hydrops fatalies in 9 cases, facial deformities 4 cases, gastrointestinal (9 cases). Table 2 depicts relevant risk factors associated with congenital anomalies of fetus. Major risk factor for congenital anomalies was consanguineous marriage (46.5%) which was marked high. Other frequent factors were previous history of miscarriages in 17% cases and past history of congenital anomalies in 10.34% cases.

Table 2: Associated risk factors for congenital anomalies (n=116)

Risk factors	No.	%
Past H/o abortion	25	21.5
Past H/o S.B./NND	7	6.0
Past H/o congenitally abnormal babies	12	15.8
H/o fever / infection	5	6.6
H/o drug intake in pregnancy	2	5.3
H/o diabetes mellitus	8	6.8
H/o smoking	1	1
H/o irradiation in pregnancy	1	0.8
H/o consanguineous marriage	1	1
Family H/o congenitally abnormal	4	3.4
babies		
Family H/o diabetes	4	3.4

DISCUSSION

The frequency of birth defects in our study was 18.4/1000 deliveries or 1.8% which is in correspondence with other studies 14,15, whereas this figure was reported as 87 per 1000 16 United Arab Emeritus while in a study carried out in Aga Khan University Medical Centre in Karachi the frequency was 28/1000 birth. 17 This difference in results may be due to different characteristics of the study population, availability of diagnostic facilities and the duration of postnatal follow up.

In our study the maternal age was commonly in the range of 21 to 30 years and none was above 40 years which is in contrast to other studies where 32% of mothers were aged 35 years and above younger age group may be the reason for not detecting any case of Down syndrome in this study¹⁴.

The only significant risk factor in this study was prevalence of consanguineous marriage (46.5%). This is in comparison to other reported studies of 44%¹⁵ but it is in contrast to a study from Agha Khan University hospital, which claimed only 18.2% cases associated with consanguinity which does not correlate with other studies.¹⁷ This is a preventable and definite associated risk factor which can be resolved by creating awareness and providing marital and genetic counselling.

About 6(6.89%) mothers were diabetic almost similar to the results of others studies (5.26%)¹⁸ incidence of birth defects are more among diabetic mothers as compared to general population, which can be reduced by strict glycemic control around the time of conception and during the period of emberyogenesis. It is also reported that pregestational diabetes mellitus is also a significant risk factor¹⁹.

As in other studies, neural tube defect was the commonest anomaly found in this study (50%), which is comparable to a study from Karachi showing 63%²⁰. Neural tube defect was also reported as most common birth defects from India as 4-15/1000 live births and in United States as 1/2000 births²¹. Ensuring maternal folic acid supplementation during peri-conceptional period can lower the incidence of these anomalies^{15,22}.

Different skeletal system abnormalities either in isolation or in association with other anomalies were found in 14(12.06%) cases which is comparable to other studies²³. Again genetic counselling and early antenatal detection would help in lowering the incidence in newborns by earlier pregnancy termination the before the period of viability. A contrast of heart defects was found which may be due to under diagnosis because of lack of availability of sophisticated diagnostic tools and loss of neonates to follow. Increased rate of detection of cardiac anomalies is possible by incorporating four chamber views of the heart. Thus by creating awareness among the studied population regarding the avoidance of consanguineous marriage, periconceptional use of folic acid supplementation, screening of high risk cases and early prenatal detection by routine use of ultrasonography around 16-20 weeks and offering termination of pregnancy in cases of lethal anomalies the perinatal morbidity and mortality.

CONCLUSION

NTD were the most prevalent anomaly detected and early prenatal diagnosis is helpful is decreasing the indirect prevalence of perinatal mortality by offering early termination. The commonest associated factor was consanguineous marriage the frequency of which may be reduced by creating awareness regarding the avoidance of consanguineous marriages.

REFERENCES

 Khan A, Soomro N. Congenital anomalies as seen in Gynae Unit II of Civil Hospital, Karachi. Medical Channel 2000;6(4):13-7.

- Rehman A, Fatima S, Soomro N. Frequency of congenital anomalies and associated mutual risk factors in the lower socio-economic groups as seen in Dow University of Health Sciences Karachi. JCPSP 2006;22:169-73.
- Perveen F, Tyyab S. Frequency and pattern of distribution of congenital anomalies in the newborn and associated maternal risk factors. JCPSP 2007;17(6):340-43.
- Korejor D, Bhuta S, Noorani KH, Bhutta ZA. An audit and trends of perinatal mortality at the Jinnah Postgraduate medial centre, Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc 2007;57:168-71.
- Rasool CH, Hussain MA, Rehman NS. Congenital anomalies in the newborn. J Bangladesh Coll Physician Surg 1998;16(1): 15-23.
- Martinen Z-Frias ML, JL, Opit Z JM. Errors of Morphogenesis and devilment field theory. Am J Med Genetics 1998;76(4):291-6.
- Varner M. Obstetrics emergencies (post partum haemorrhage). Crit Care Clinic 1997:7:883897.
- Stergios K. Doumouchtsis sabaratnam arulkumaran. post partum haemorrhage: changing practice. Recent Advan 2010;24:89-103.
- Bobrowsri Ra, Jones JB. A thrombogenic uterine pack for post partum haemorrhage. Obstet Gynecol 1995;85:836-7.
- B-Lynch C, Corer A, Lawal AH, Abu J, Cowen MJ. The Blynch surgical technique for the control of massive post partum haemorrhage: An alternative to hysterectomy? Five cases reported. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1997;104:372-5.
- Ferguson JE, Bourgeois FJ, Underwood PB. B-lynch suture for postpartum haemorrhage. Obstet Gynaecol 2000; 95: 1020-22.
- Renna MD, Pisani P, Conversano F, Perrone E, Casciaro E, Renzo GCD, Casciaro S. Sonographic markers for early diagnosis of fetal malformations. WJR 2013; 5(10), 356–71.
- Allan LD. Fetal echocardiography. Clin Obstel Gynecol.1998.31:61
- Cunningham FG, Gant NF, Leveno KS, Gilstrap LC, Hauth JC, Katharine D, Williams obstetrics. 21st Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2001: 7.
- Berhan Y, Abdela A. Emergency obstetric performance with emphasis on operative delivery outcome: does it reflect the quality of care? Ethiop J Health Dev 2004;18(2):96-106.
- Macones GA, Peipert J, Nelson DB, Odibo A, Stevens EJ, Stamilio DM, et al. Maternal complications with vaginal birth after cesarean delivery: a multicenter study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;193(5):1656-62.
- Rizvi JH, Rasul S, Malik S, Rehamatuallh A, Khan MA. Experience with screening for abnormal glucose tolerance in pregnancy: maternal and perinatal outcome. Asia Oceania J Obstet Gynaecol 1992;18(2):99-105.
- Hussain S, Sabir MD, Afzal M, Asghar I. Incidence of congenital heart disease among neonates in a neonatal unit of a tertiary care hospital. JPMA 2014;64:175-8.
- Becerra JE, Khoury MJ, Cordero JF, Erickson JD: Diabetes mellitus during pregnancy and the risks for the specific birth defects: a population-based case-control study. Pediatrics 1990;85:1–9.
- Masood SN, Jamil N, Mumtaz SN, Masood MF, Muneer S. Congenital malformations in newborns of consanguineous and non-consanguineous parents. Pak J Med Sci 2011;27(1):1-5.
- 21. Arias F, Daftary SN, Bhide AG. Practical guide to high risk pregnancy and delivery. 3rd ed. Elsevier, India: 2008.
- Greenberg JA, Bell SJ, Guan Y, Yu YH. Folic acid supplementation and pregnancy: more than just neural tube defect prevention. Rev Obstet Gynecol 2011; 4(2): 52–9.
- 23. WHO. Craniofacial anomalies and 2 associated birth defects. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2015.