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ABSTRACT

Background: In peripheral nerve blocks, adjuvants are added to local anaesthetics to improve the quality of anaesthesia and analgesia. This study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of tramadol when combined with bupivacaine in axillary brachial plexus block for upper limb surgery.

Method: In this prospective randomized control trial, two groups of 30 patients each were investigated. Group I was administered 32ml of tramadol – local anaesthetic mixture [20ml 0.5% bupivacaine + 10ml xylocaine with 1:200,000 adrenaline + 2ml Tramadol (100mg)] and Group II was given saline – local anaesthetic mixture [20ml 0.5% bupivacaine + 10ml xylocaine with 1:200,000 adrenaline + 2ml saline]. The onset of sensory and motor block, duration of sensory and motor block and time of first request for analgesia postoperatively were assessed.

Results: The onset of motor and sensory block was faster in tramadol-bupivacaine mixture than bupivacaine alone and difference was significant (p=0.001) (p=0.01). In Group I, significantly prolonged duration of motor and sensory block was seen (p=0.000). In comparison to Group II, prolonged time of first request for rescue analgesia was seen in Group I (p=0.04). In group I, 5 patients (16%) did not require analgesia postoperatively. Only one patient complained of nausea in group I.

Conclusion: The study suggests that tramadol when added to local anaesthetic enhances the quality of anaesthesia and analgesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Brachial plexus block is used in our clinical practice as an alternative to general anaesthesia for upper limb surgeries. The axillary approach first demonstrated by William Halsted in 1884, later became popular among anaesthetists in 1959 after the publication by Burnham¹. It is often used for wrist, hand and forearm surgery because of its several advantages. It provides complete muscle relaxation, intraoperative haemodynamic stability, effective postoperative analgesia, early ambulation, early resumption of oral feeding and avoids the use of multiple drugs. Thus, the incidence of postoperative cardiovascular, pulmonary, gastrointestinal and thromboembolic complications is decreased²,³.

Combinations of local anaesthetics have been used in peripheral nerve blocks. Bupivacaine is the most commonly used local anaesthetic because of its prolonged duration of action and easy availability in developing countries. Studies have been published emphasizing the use of adjuvants with local
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METHOD

This randomized study was performed after approval from ethical committee of Teaching Hospital Ghazi Medical College, Dera Ghazi Khan, from January 2015 to June 2015. Sample size was calculated using data of previous study keeping alpha at 0.05 and power of study 80%. 60 patients aged 18-60 years of ASA I and II, scheduled for surgery of
Two groups of 30 patients in each were made using random number table. Group I (Bupivacaine+Lignocaine-Tramadol) received 32 ml of study drug mixture [20 ml 0.5% bupivacaine +10 ml xylocaine with 1:200,000 adrenaline + 2 ml Tramadol (100 mg)]. Group II (Control) (Bupivacaine+Lignocaine-saline) received 32 ml of drug mixture [20 ml 0.5% bupivacaine +10 ml xylocaine with 1:200,000 adrenaline +2 ml saline] Xylocaine with 1:200,000 adrenaline was added to bupivacaine for a quick onset and detection of intravascular injection.

In the operation theatre, monitors were applied to record the heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation. An intravenous line with 18G cannula was taken on the opposite hand and patient was given 0.03mg midazolam to relieve anxiety. A standard transarterial technique of axillary block was applied in all cases (arm abducted, supine, forearm flexed, externally rotated). After aseptic measures and infiltration of 1 ml plain xylocaine subcutaneously, the axillary pulse was palpated. A 22G needle was inserted keeping negative pressure and was passed posterior to artery where 13 ml of drug was injected. The needle was withdrawn keeping negative pressure and injection of study drug (13 ml), anterior to the artery was administered. The needle was then directed superiorly and proximally to pierce coracobrachialis muscle and 8 ml of drug was injected in a field block manner to block the musculocutaneous nerve.

After injection, patients were assessed for onset of sensory block using pinprick and graded as follows: 1 = No block (sharp sensation), 2 = partial block (blunt sensation), 3 = Complete block (No sensation).

Modified Bromage scale (0 = no motion, 1 = finger movement, 2 = wrist flexion, 3 = elbow flexion) was used to evaluate the time of onset of motor block.

The degree of pain was assessed by Verbal rating scale (VRS) on a 5 point rating. Patients with VRS of 2 were given 0.5 mg/ kg nalbuphine intravenously. General anesthesia was induced to patients with VRS of more than 2. Additional adverse events such as bradycardia, dizziness, nausea and vomiting were also recorded. The postoperative pain was recorded at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 hours and time of first request for rescue analgesia was noted.

Demographic data was expressed as mean ± SD. Data was analysed using SPSS 15. The time of onset, duration of motor and sensory block and postoperative first analgesic requirement time were compared by Independent sample t-test. Qualitative variables were analysed by Chi square. P<0.05 was taken as significant.

RESULTS

Patients were similar in age and weight. Sex is expressed as Male/female ratio (Table 1). Group I (Bupivacaine+Lignocaine+Tramadol) showed early onset of sensory block (16.20±0.96 min) than Group II (17.3±1.49 min) (p=0.001). The onset of motor block also showed significant difference between the two groups. (p=0.01) In group I motor block occurred earlier than group II (22.83±8.1 min vs 28.5±8.6 min) (p=0.01). Sensory block duration was prolonged in group I (6.9±0.76 hours) as compared to group II (4.7±1.07 hours). This difference was significant (p=0.000). In group I, increase in duration of motor block was seen (6.5±0.73 hours) as compared to group II (4.5±0.98 hours) (p=0.000) (Table 2).

In group I, 95% of patients achieved a successful block. Only two were given injection nalbuphine as they complained of mild discomfort at bone manipulation. General anesthesia had to be given to 4 (10%) patients in group II due to failure of block. The mean time of first request for rescue analgesia was noted. It was significantly prolonged in group I (6.7±4.3 hours) as compared to group II (5.02±1.2 hours) (p=0.04) (Table 2). In group I, 5 patients (16%) did not require any analgesia postoperatively. No adverse events were reported in either group except one patient complained of nausea in group I.

DISCUSSION

In recent years the administration of peripheral nerve blocks has increased in our clinical institution. Axillary brachial plexus block is ideal for surgical anaesthetics of hand and forearm. It not only provides...
an adequate block with minimum discomfort and complications but also gives a wide margin of safety.\textsuperscript{1,3} Bupivacaine, the most frequently used, when administered alone provides a short duration of postoperative analgesia.\textsuperscript{9} Various additives have been used with local anaesthetics such as opioids, midazolam, dexamethasone, clonidine, magnesium and dexmedetomidine.

In this study tramadol was used as adjuvant with bupivacaine-lignocaine mixture because of its two effects; 1) It does not cause respiratory depression like other opioids.2) It enhances the effect of block by inhibiting the reuptake of serotonin at nerve endings.\textsuperscript{10}

The results of our study showed significant difference in the onset of sensory block (p=0.000) and motor block (p=0.01). No anaesthetic effect was seen in 4 patients of group II and General anaesthesia had to be given. This failure to achieve the block could be due to anatomical variation of brachial plexus. Injection nalbuphine administered to two patients in group I could be because of difference in pain perception and anxiousness. In group I, only one patient complained of nausea that corrected on its own and antiemetic was not given.

The results of earlier studies using tramadol as adjuvant to local anaesthetic in brachial plexus block have shown comparable results. Nagpal et al demonstrated that the onset time of sensory and motor block was significantly early in tramadol-bupivacaine mixture as compared to bupivacaine alone when given in supraclavicular plexus block. The mean time of duration for rescue analgesia was prolonged in tramadol-bupivacaine mixture.\textsuperscript{11}

Ahmet et al also found significant difference in the onset time of motor and sensory block and prolonged analgesia time with combination of tramadol &ropivacaine vs ropivacaine alone. No adverse effects were reported with combination of tramadol.\textsuperscript{8}

Likewise, Suresh C et al assessed the efficacy of tramadol as adjuvant to bupivacaine (0.25%) in supraclavicular approach. They found the difference significant in time of onset and duration of sensory block and requirement for rescue analgesia in the first 24 hours postoperatively.\textsuperscript{12}

The parameters evaluated by Chatopadhyay et al. in use of tramadol (100mg) as adjuvant to bupivacaine (0.25%) were similar to our study. Their results were comparable to our study results and showed a quick onset in sensory and motor block and also enhanced analgesia postoperatively.\textsuperscript{13}

Geze et al compared the addition of fentanyl and tramadol to local anaesthetic mixture in axillary brachial plexus block and found tramadol to be superior in providing better quality of block and postoperative analgesia in upper limb surgery.\textsuperscript{14}

Limited number of studies are available in contrast to the results of our study, Kesimci et al. did not observe an improvement in speed of onset or an increase in duration of block with addition of tramadol (100mg) to ropivacaine (40ml). The reason could be due to difference in local anaesthetic used.\textsuperscript{15}

The difference in onset and duration of motor and sensory block was not significant when Dikmen et al added tramadol to ropivacaine in axillary block in uremic patients. This difference from results of our study could be because of acidosis and hyperdynamic circulatory status of patients with chronic renal failure.\textsuperscript{16}

Adequate pain control and patient comfort is a significant concern. The success of effective anaesthesia and optimal analgesia with tramadol-bupivacaine combination in our patients provided early ambulation and thus early discharge. Limitation of our study was non-availability of nerve stimulator in our institution. Though we measured the first request for analgesia time, we did not measure plasma levels of tramadol. Further studies can be done to evaluate the plasma levels and also use tramadol with different combinations in catheter placement for continuous analgesia with help of nerve stimulator.

In conclusion, tramadol provides good anaesthesia and analgesia in combination with bupivacaine when used in peripheral nerve blocks.
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